
From: [Code A]
Sent: 02 August 2013 09:03
To: Baker, Richard (Prof.)
Subject: RE: Shared+from+BBC+News/Baker Report /Coroners' new regulations

Professor Baker

I said I would let you know if there was any change from the proposal to release your report today (Friday 2 August).

One of the Ministers has raised a few late questions. I expect we will be able to resolve these today but you should not assume that the report has been released until I confirm this. I will send an e-mail with a link to the DH website when it does go out.

Gerard

Gerard Hetherington
Department of Health
2E26 Quarry House
LEEDS
LS2 7UE

Tel: 0113 254 6032

Mob: [Code A]

From: Baker, Richard (Prof.) [Code A]
Sent: 29 July 2013 15:56
To: Hetherington, Gerard
Subject: FW: Shared+from+BBC+News/Baker Report /Coroners' new regulations

Mr Hetherington

Thanks for the message on publication of the report. I take it that Dr Barton's legal team raised no issues.

The email below is forwarded for your information. I have not replied to it.

Richard Baker

mobile: [Code A]

From: Gillian Mackenzie [Code A]
Sent: 27 July 2013 12:25
To: [Code A]
Subject: FW: Shared+from+BBC+News/Baker Report /Coroners' new regulations

For the attention of Prof. Richard Baker re. Mortality Audit into Gosport War Memorial Hospital Hants at the request of the Department of Health. Gillian M Mackenzie

From: Gillian Mackenzie [Code A]
Sent: 27 July 2013 09:24

To: 'Horsley, David'

Subject: FW: Shared+from+BBC+News/Baker Report /Coroners' new regulations

Missing statement – Linda Baldocchino who witnessed the transfer to Gosport from Haslar 17 August and the methods used despite what the Ambulance staff witness said – who would also have witnessed the removal of the splint which should have been in situ for 4 weeks as per discharge letter. Who gave the order for the removal on arrival. Why was the transcript of the police interview (which presumably took place) the only one missing from papers and likewise the statement. The latest from Hampshire police that her information comes under Data protection is extraordinary. On the other hand I query whether the reason I wanted Baldocchino's statement was ever conveyed to you by my solicitor – in the same way as I query why Prof. Ferner was never made aware of my evidence re. Beed.

Mrs. O'Brien should have been asked regarding the two witnessed diamorphine injections given by Beed and not written up on file and my eye witness account given on oath re Beed's actions on the 17th which she also witnessed.

The further information passed to me by Peta Bermingham (ex police HQ) and Lesley O'Brien after the inquest should be followed up re. Ray Burt and Prof. Livesley . I am under the impression it will take another 15 years to get any progress let alone any action from Blake Laphorn. Ray Burt is working as a civilian for the police as the Crime and Incident registrar – a post way below his capabilities. I have not approached MR Burt of course and only found this out due to his involvement with a totally unrelated case and missing papers after a judicial review – when despite the plaintiff being granted a review – the Hampshire police continued to keep the case on file and took no action until eventually a police Sgt had action taken against him - a Sgt Beale – case reported in the Daily Mail circa April 2013 and on the internet. I have not seen the details myself .

No doubt you are unable to take any action or comment (the law regulations are very peculiar and defy my logic) but as I have made reference to you I thought you should be made aware (and that comes under my code of ethics) - but I query just what you knew from Blake Laphorn or for that matter my barrister or medical expert possibly in a similar position. . Gillian M Mackenzie

From: Gillian Mackenzie [Code A]
Sent: 25 July 2013 11:50
To: [Code A]
Subject: FW: Shared+from+BBC+News

For information - please further consideration of jury inquests in cases where the police have been involved. Gillian M Mackenzie

From: Gillian Mackenzie [Code A]
Sent: 25 July 2013 11:15
To: 'health.minister@dh.gsi.gov.uk'
Cc: 'LLOYD, Stephen'
Subject: Shared+from+BBC+News

<http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-23446023>

BAKER REPORT

In addition where the PCA in 2001 and the IPCC circ 2003/2004 have upheld all complaints re. Hampshire Police Constabulary for investigative failures for case reported to them in 1998 – there should be a jury for an inquest held 15 years later. The Coroner should allow statements made to police by Gosport staff of which the family is aware. Witnesses should be cross-examined with a degree of expertise certainly not held by the Coroner at Portsmouth April 2013, when the inquest was granted in 2009 by Jack Straw. When further information has come to hand after the inquest, the Coroner's response should not be on the lines of "I have come to my verdict and the case is finished "- he should set in motion a further investigation of the allegation re. Hampshire Constabulary and not suggest I take him (the Coroner) to the High Court . He is well aware my income is a State Pension 26 years after being a widow.

I welcome the publication of the Baker Report – but not on line - it should be in the public domain and published by the TSO . It is a ludicrous argument that the Department of Health has further delayed publication awaiting comments from Prof. Baker. As with the Commission for Health Improvement’s report, published in July 2002 – Baker was not in a position to offer total confidentiality - the CHI Report was published without names of people who had provided information - but the facts were published including reference to me – not that I have any problem with that.

This case – mine and others – will not be closed until there is complete transparency or until I stop “singing” which is likely to be sooner than later as I approach 80 .

I suggest as have others, including the Coroner, that there should be a public inquiry and certainly an investigation by another authority into the extraordinary behaviour of Hampshire Constabulary under the leadership of the then Chief Constable Paul Kernaghan - who was rewarded by the Labour Government with a post at the House of Lords in charge of behaviour.

Gillian M Mackenzie

Elder daughter of Gladys Richards dec. 21 August 1998 – under the care of Dr. Jane Barton – but of more importance Philip Beed. First case brought to the attention of the Hampshire Police by myself.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Cable&Wireless in partnership with MessageLabs. (CCTM Certificate Number 2006/04/0007.)

DH users see Computer virus guidance on Delphi under Security in DH, for further details. In case of problems, please call the IT support helpdesk.

This e-mail and any files transmitted with it are confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, any reading, printing, storage, disclosure, copying or any other action taken in respect of this e-mail is prohibited and may be unlawful. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by using the reply function and then permanently delete what you have received.

Incoming and outgoing e-mail messages are routinely monitored for compliance with the Department of Health's policy on the use of electronic communications. For more information on the Department of Health's e-mail policy click here <http://www.dh.gov.uk/terms>

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.