From: Jones, Prof D.R. **Code A** Sent: 29 August 2002 09:33 To: Baker, Prof R. Subject: RE: an investigation

Richard:

Fine. A few minor comments:

1 need ONS costings

2 \pm 2K for me looks a bit large as a proportion of the total , so you can reduce it to \pm 1500 if you wish.

3 2-stage GP comparison (post '93, and before if necessary) looks sensible

4 Proposed hospital comparisons look sensible, but perhaps emphasise that we'd try the comparisons various way (ie versus various formulations of a comparative group) to help assess sensitivity to somewhat problematical issue of comparator choice.

5 Section heading 'Issues to be addressed in reviewing..' may be abit misleading - I expected it to include objectives of investigation, given that heading, but in fact it includes precursors to the main investigation.

Regards

David J

-----Original Message-----From: Baker, Prof R. [mailto: Code A Sent: Wed 28/08/2002 16:36 To: Peter Goldblatt (E-mail); David Jones (E-mail) Cc: Subject: an investigation

Peter and David

Here is a revised proposal, with costings. Your comments would be helpful. I would like to send this off as soon as possible.

Richard