

Her Majesty's Coroner for Portsmouth and South East Hampshire



FAX

To: **Mr Ian BARKER**

From:

Number of pages: 3
(Including cover sheet)

Date: 14th March 2013

Dear Mr Barker,

Please find attached letter from HM Coroner.

I would appreciate if you would acknowledge receipt of this fax.

Kind regards.
Bea

My contacts details are: Tel:
Email:

IMPORTANT NOTICE

The information in this fax message is confidential, and may well be legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient (named above) then you are hereby notified that any use, review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this document, or any part of it, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this document in error, please notify us at once, by telephone or fax, and destroy the original message.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ASSISTANCE IN THIS MATTER.

David C. Horsley LLB
Her Majesty's Coroner
for Portsmouth and
South East Hampshire



Coroner's Office
Room T20
The Guildhall
Guildhall Square
Portsmouth
PO1 2AJ

Fax: 023 9268 8331

Blake Laphorn
FAO: Mr J. White
New Kings Court
Tollgate
Chandler's Ford
Eastleigh SO53 3LG

sent to
Mr Barker

13th March 2013

Dear Mr White,

Inquest - Gladys Mabel Richards:

I refer to recent exchanges of written and email correspondence involving yourself, Mrs MacKenzie, legal representatives of other interested persons and myself.

As I have previously made clear, Article 2 of the European Convention on Human Rights is not engaged in respect of Mrs Richards' death. For this reason, my verdict at the end of the Inquest must be limited to expressing my conclusion upon how she died but not upon the circumstances in which she died.

As I have also made clear, the core issue for the Inquest is whether the medication she was prescribed and administered during her stays in Gosport War Memorial Hospital caused or contributed in a more than insignificant way to Mrs Richard's death when it occurred on 21st August 1998.

Mrs Richards' detailed medical records have been examined in depth by Professor Black and, I assume, by Professor Ferner and their conclusions drawn from them are set out in the respective reports they are to present to me at the Inquest. Consequently, I do not consider that a lengthy dissection of those records during the examination of the other witnesses - as the correspondence from you your client seems to advocate - will assist me in a meaningful way in reaching a verdict under the above parameters.

Questioning of the witnesses on what was prescribed/administered to Mrs Richards, and when and why, can be adequately put and answered without complex reference to medical records and this is the way I shall conduct the Inquest. I do not consider that issues of when those records were compiled and by who need to be explored in any great depth to assist me with the task I have to perform and therefore I will not be permitting that to take place.

I have long feared that your client may not fully understand the parameters I have to set for this Inquest and the form it will take - nor the verdict I am able to return. Please ensure she is fully and realistically appraised of these issues.

Please note that I have copied this letter to all other interested persons.

Yours faithfully,

Code A

David C Horsley

Tel: **Code A**

Email: **Code A**