	RECEIVED
	2 8 FEB 2013
B	Y H.M CORONER

Coroner Room T20 The Guildhall The Guildhall Square Portsmouth Hampshire PO1 2AJ

For the attention of Mr David Horsley

27 February 2013



New Kings Court, Tollgate, Chandler's Ford Eastleigh, Hampshire SO53 3LG

DX: 155850 Eastleigh 7



Our Ref: 558203/000001/JCW/RICHARD/S2D

Your Ref:

Dear Sirs

Mrs Gladys Mabel Richards (Deceased) - Inquest

Thank you for your letter dated 20 February 2013 reiterating the scope of the enquiry. We will forward a copy to Mrs MacKenzie so that she is reminded about this.

Yours faithfully du Cle ne Blake Laptho

David C. Horsley LLB Her Majesty's Coroner for Portsmouth and South East Hampshire



Coroner's Office Room T20 The Guildhall Guildhall Square Portsmouth PO1 2AJ

Fax: 023 9268 8331

Blake Lapthorn FAO: Mr White New Kings Court Tollgate Chandler's Ford Eastleigh SO53 3LG

20th February 2013

Dear Mr White,

Inquest - Gladys Mabel Richards:

Further to the recent correspondence I have received from you and Mrs Mackenzie regarding the Inquest, please may I remind you and your client that the Inquest will be directed solely towards answering the question of <u>how</u> Mrs Richards died (in other words, by what means she met her death) and will be restricted to the parameters set by Rules 36 and 42 of the Coroners Rules.

As Mrs Richards died in August 1998, the Human Rights Act 1998 does not apply in any way to the Inquest. Hence the evidence I can take into consideration must be geared towards assisting me with the task I have to perform under Rules 36 and 42. The Inquest will not look into wider issues of the kind suggested in some of the correspondence I have received from your client.

With regards to treatment Mrs Richards received during her admissions to Gosport War Memorial Hospital, what I will want to look at is the issue of whether this treatment caused or contributed to her death on 21st August 1998 in anything more that an insignificant way. This I believe to be the core issue for me.

I have repeatedly sought to make this clear but the message appears not to be getting across. Please could you draw your client's attention to this letter?

Yours sincerely,				
Code	Α			
David C Horsley	\sim			
Tel: Code A				
Email:	Code A]		





David C. Horsley LLB Her Majesty's Coroner for Portsmouth and South East Hampshire



Coroner's Office Room T20 The Guildhall Guildhall Square Portsmouth PO1 2AJ

Fax: 023 9268 8331

Blake Lapthorn FAO: Mr White New Kings Court Tollgate Chandler's Ford Eastleigh SO53 3LG

20th February 2013

Dear Mr White,

Inquest - Gladys Mabel Richards:

Further to the recent correspondence I have received from you and Mrs Mackenzie regarding the Inquest, please may I remind you and your client that the Inquest will be directed solely towards answering the question of <u>how</u> Mrs Richards died (in other words, by what means, met her death) and will be restricted to the parameters set by Rules 36 and 42 of the Coroners Rules.

she

As Mrs Richards died in August 1998, the Human Rights Act 1998 does not apply in any way to the Inquest. Hence the evidence I can take into consideration must be geared towards assisting me with the task I have to perform under Rules 36 and 42. The Inquest will not look into wider issues of the kind suggested in some of the correspondence I have received from your client.

with

regards to treatment Mrs Richards received during her admissions to Gosport War Memorial Hospital, what I will want to look at is the issue of whether this treatment caused or contributed to her death on 21st August 1998 in anything more that an insignificant way. This I believe to be the core issue for me.

I have repeatedly sought to make this clear but the message appears not to be getting across. Rease could you draw your client's attention to this letter?

Yours sincerely,

 David C Horsley

 Tel:
 Code A

 Email:
 Code A





Horsley, David

To: Subject: john.white Code A Inquest - Mrs Gladys Richards

Dear Mr White,

Further to the recent correspondence I have received from you and Mrs Mackenzie regarding this Inquest, please may I remind you and your client that the Inquest will be directed solely towards answering the question of <u>how</u> Mrs Richards died (in other words, by what means met her death) and will be restricted to the parameters set by Rules 36 and 42 of the Coroners Rules.

As Mrs Richards died in August 1998, the Human Rights Act 1998 does not apply in any way to the Inquest. Hence the evidence I can take into consideration must be geared towards assisting me with the task I have to perform under Rules 36 and 42. The Inquest will not look into wider issues of the kind suggested in some of the correspondence I have received from you and your client.

As regards the treatment Mrs Richards received during her admissions to Gosport War Memorial Hospital, what I will want to look at is the issue of whether this treatment caused or contributed to her death on 21 August 1998 in anything more than an insignificant way. This I believe to be the core issue for me.

I have repeatedly sought to make this clear but the message appears not to be getting across.

David C Horsley, LL.B, Solicitor HM Coroner Portsmouth & South East Hampshire

1