DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

## RECORD OF INTERVIEW

Number: Y22A

Enter type:

**ROTI** 

(SDN, ROTI, Contemporaneous Notes, Full Transcript)

Person interviewed: MACKENZIE, GILLIAN

Place of interview:

Code A

Date of interview:

17/11/1999

Time commenced: 1246

Time concluded:

1322

Duration of interview:

Tape reference nos.

(**♦**) W/MRS G **MACKENZIE** 

Interviewing Officer(s):

DCI Ray BURT

Other persons present:

Police Exhibit No:

Number of Pages:

Signature of interviewing officer producing exhibit

Tape counter Person speaking Text

times(◆)

0.10

**BURT** 

This is tape number two in an interview that I'm

having at the moment with Mrs MACKENZIE

by way of preparation for a witness statement in due course. It's still Wednesday the 17th of

November and I'm still sown here at | Code A

W14 OP **ROCHESTER-**CURRENT FROM TRAIN 140409

HZ042

L1212

Printed on: 10 July, 2009 09:14

Page 1

of 27

#### DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

Code A

It's 12.46 and what

I've asked Mrs MACKENZIE to do now...I'm going to show Mrs MACKENZIE a copy of a Portsmouth Health Care Trust Heath Record relating to her mother, Mrs RICHARDS, and this is referenced LH1 and each of the pages has been accorded it's own reference number and I would invite Mrs MACKENZIE, if she makes a comment based on the Health Record, perhaps to refer to the page number as she does so. So the next voice you'll hear is Mrs MACKENZIE and off we go.

1.28 MACKENZIE

The first page I refer to is LH/1/C/7 which is the past medical history of my mother and I would make the comment, "Deaf in both ears", yes, but she could hear with the aid of a hearing aid but the Nursing Home had lost the hearing aid and hadn't replaced it. "Cataract operations in both eyes", yes, but she could see with one eye, with her glasses, but the Nursing Home had lost her glasses.

**BURT** 

MACKENZIE

This is the Nursing Home at Lee-on-Solent?

At Lee-on-Solent, yes, and those details are in the Case Conference which was held by the Social Services Department into the Nursing Home. "Six month history of falls", yes, since she was put on tranquillisers Trizadone and Alaperidol. "Alzheimer's, worse over the last

W14 OP ROCHESTER -CURRENT FROM TRAIN 140409 HZ042

L1212

Printed on: 10 July, 2009 09:14

Page 2

of 27

#### DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

six months", yes, if it was Alzheimer's, and I understand you can't be sure of that unless you do a post mortem on the brain. "Worse over the last six months", I would contend, due to dementia and the added risk of tardive dementia due to the two drugs in question. I would then refer to LH/1/C/8 which is a note by, I think, Philip BEAD, the Charge Nurse at the Gosport War Memorial, where he mentions that, in addition to the treatment i.e., drugs that Haslar had recommended, they had added 'Oramorph'. Why? Because she hadn't needed it in Haslar, why did she need it at the Gosport War Memorial Hospital? The next page, LH/1/C/9, a letter from Doctor R I REED. In his letter he has commented that her mobility deteriorated over the last six to seven months, and I've just specified why I think that was, and that, according to her doctor, she has been 'knocked off' or 'knocked out' by this medication for months and has not spoken to them for six or to seven months. Well she has spoken, not long conversations, not always full sentences, but she certainly has spoken and has recognised who I was, although I'd come from Eastbourne, and I'd spent several visits down at the Nursing Home and certainly she knew who I He also mentions that, "Since they was.

W14 OP HZ042 **ROCHESTER -CURRENT FROM TRAIN 140409** 

L1212

Printed on: 10 July, 2009 09:14

Page 3

of 27

#### DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

stopped doing the trizodone we both thought she'd been much brighter mentally". I would say she'd been mentally more bright than she'd ever been in the last six months in the Nursing Home. He says, "Mrs RICHARDS was clearly confused and unable to give a coherent story". I would suggest that when you are questioning a lady who has dementia, and cannot hear a thing without a hearing aid, she is likely to be confused plus the fact she couldn't lip read because she hadn't got her glasses, "But she was however pleasant and co-operative". The next page, if you'll bear with me until I find it, LH/1/C/11, which I think are notes made by Doctor BARTON, dated the 11th of August, when she was transferred to Gosport from Haslar and Doctor BARTON has made a surprising statement, "I'm quite happy for the nursing staff to confirm death". There is no impression from Haslar that she was anywhere near death. Why should Doctor BARTON assume that she was going to die? The idea was that she should be about four to six weeks in Gosport before she was, for rehabilitation with her zimmer, before being sent on to another hospital. I don't see why she should be happy for staff to confirm death, if there was no need, unless she had already had it in her mind that

W14 OP HZ042 ROCHESTER -CURRENT FROM TRAIN 140409 L1212

Printed on: 10 July, 2009 09:14

Page 4

RESTRICTED

of 27

#### DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

she'd got a ninety one year old patient who was a damn nuisance and that was going to be the outcome. Then there's a note on LH/1/C/11, again, but it's actually a continuation.

**BURT** 

Yes, it's in brackets, yes...

**MACKENZIE** 

...and it's dated the 14<sup>th</sup> of August but the writing is, "Further to our telephone conversation. Thank you for seeing this unfortunate lady who has slipped from a chair, 1.30 yesterday, and appears to have done something to her hip. I'm now sending x-rays, many thanks", and then the dates go very peculiar. We've got the 14<sup>th</sup>, of the 8<sup>th</sup> and then we've got, what looks like, the 12<sup>th</sup> of the 8<sup>th</sup>, then the 18<sup>th</sup> of the 8<sup>th</sup>...

6.54 BURT

Yes, that may be the 17<sup>th</sup> perhaps

**MACKENZIE** 

Oh, it may be the 17<sup>th</sup> with a crossed 7

**BURT** 

Maybe, yes, yes

MACKENZIE

Yes, it maybe

BURT

HZ042

That's right. I guess that's when she was

referred back to Haslar isn't it?

**MACKENZIE** 

Very odd. Oh, and I'm sorry, I would refer back to LH/1/C/11, under the 14<sup>th</sup> of the 8<sup>th</sup>, "Is this lady well enough for another surgical procedure?". Well she didn't mention it to us about the surgical procedure and it's not up to a GP to decide that. It's up to the Consultant at

W14 OP ROCHESTER -CURRENT FROM TRAIN 140409

L1212

Haslar.

Printed on: 10 July, 2009 09:14

Page 5

of 27

#### DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BURT And this was prior to her second visit to Haslar

wasn't it?

**MACKENZIE** 

Yes

7.38 BURT

When, in fact, she received satisfactory...

**MACKENZIE** 

Yes

BURT

...treatment

MACKENZIE

She seems to have taken it upon herself that that's what's going to happen. "Still in great pain", dated the 18<sup>th</sup> of the 8<sup>th</sup>, "I will see the daughters today". Well she might have said she

was going to, but she certainly didn't.

BURT

So, yes..

**MACKENZIE** 

I think this has been written up afterwards. I'm sorry, I think it's been written up afterwards. Then we've got one the 21<sup>st</sup> of the 8<sup>th</sup> written by another, written by another nurse, different writing, and this I think is the nurse who was present, who came in and saw us when we told

her that our mother had died

BURT

Possibly a Staff Nurse GIFFEN

**MACKENZIE** 

"Relatives", yes, "two daughters, for cremation, condition very poor", but there's very little, the notes are very, very scanty, there were several nurses who saw my mother during the night, during that week but there doesn't seem to be

anything here

BURT

No, there are some nursing notes separate to this

**MACKENZIE** 

...Oh are they

W14 OP ROCHESTER -CURRENT FROM TRAIN 140409 HZ042

L1212

Printed on: 10 July, 2009 09:14

Page 6

of 27

#### DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

8.44 BURT

...but I notice that there's a gap between the 18th

and the 21<sup>st</sup>...

**MACKENZIE** 

...Yes

**BURT** 

I think I was advised that these are clinical notes

which should be the Doctor's notes and then the

nursing notes are separate.

**MACKENZIE** 

Yes, there's a little note on LH/1/C/13 which, there again, talks about Alzheimer's, last, "Worse since, during the last six months". I question who says it's Alzheimer's. I'm quite sure you can't tell unless you do a post mortem. It could be dementia but it doesn't have to be Alzheimer's. Then LH/1/C/14, "Admitted from E6 ward Royal Hospital Haslar into continuing

care". It is continuing care, not terminal care.

**BURT** 

Now this was the initial referral from Haslar having had the operation for recuperation

basically

**MACKENZIE** 

Yes

**BURT** 

Yes

**MACKENZIE** 

And then we have LH/1/C/15, "Patient has no apparent understanding of her circumstances due to her impaired mental condition". She knew she was in pain. She couldn't hear what anybody said to her. It's no good asking somebody a question when they can't hear a thing and then say it's due to dementia. You know, even I wouldn't be able to, would

W14 OP ROCHESTER -CURRENT FROM TRAIN 140409 HZ042

L1212

Printed on: 10 July, 2009 09:14

Page 7

of 27

#### DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

probably give the impression of being dementia. Then, just bear with me, I'm always amused by 'the abbreviated mental study' and the questions they ask people as to whether, which determines whether there 'ga ga' or not. It's the way you translate them.

10.59 MACKENZIE

Then we've got here LH/1/C/21

**BURT** 

Yes, now that's a series of notes...

**MACKENZIE** 

And I think this is quite, this is from Gosport starting off on the 13<sup>th</sup> of August, "Found on the

floor at 13.30. Checked for injury and none

apparent".

**BURT** 

And I note there the time is recorded at 13.00

isn't it

**MACKENZIE** 

Yes, now who checked for injury? Should have

been the doctor.

**BURT** 

Now, there's a time, there's a change in the time. It looks like it was 2000 hours and it's

been changed to 19.30.

**MACKENZIE** 

Where have we got, yes

11.47 BURT

That's in that first entry

**MACKENZIE** 

Oh, I haven't got that on here. Oh yes, 19.30,

"Hoisted into her seat". 19.30. That 7.30, "Pain in right hip. Internally rotated, Doctor BRIGG contacted". But that doesn't tally with the time my sister received a phone call. Doesn't tally at

all. It says here, "Inappropriate to transfer for x-

W14 OP ROCHESTER -CURRENT FROM TRAIN 140409 HZ042

L1212

Printed on: 10 July, 2009 09:14

Page 8

of 27

#### DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

ray".

BURT Can I just refer you to that incident there.

Perhaps, whether you could confirm that it's

your understanding. There was a reference,

later, that we'll see, to the fact that Doctor

BARTON was on site.

MACKENZIE

Was on site

**BURT** 

But there's no reference in the clinical notes, is

that right?

MACKENZIE

Yes

**BURT** 

Now, am I right in saying that...

MACKENZIE

Yes, she'd definitely..from the Portsmouth

Health Authority they say, "Doctor BARTON

was on site at the time"

BURT

But there's no, on the clinical notes there's no...

**MACKENZIE** 

... No, "And wrote up for 'Ormorof', right...

**BURT** 

Yes

**MACKENZIE** 

But if she's found on the floor at..see first of all we've got, "13.00". Then we've got, "Found on the floor at 13.30. Checked for injury and none apparent". Checked by whom? Doesn't say, except that we've got a note here, down the bottom, which just looks as though it's been

written up by an RGN...

13.10 BURT

...Yes there we've got...

**MACKENZIE** 

...So when they went to the Doctor and said,

"She's making a noise". 'Ormorof' or another

drug was given. Didn't they mention to Doctor

W14 OP ROCHESTER -CURRENT FROM TRAIN 140409 HZ042

L1212

Printed on: 10 July, 2009 09:14

Page 9

of 27

#### DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON that she'd had a fall?.

**BURT** Now where was it that it says that Doctor

BARTON, we may have to refer to another

document, that Doctor BARTON was nearby at

the time

**MACKENZIE** It says that in the Portsmouth Health Authority

Trust...that she was on the site at the time and

wrote up for painkiller

Now, we are talking about the 13th aren't we? **BURT** 

We're talking about that occasion, aren't we?

**MACKENZIE** Yes, when she first...yes

**BURT** But there's no reference to that on the contact

record here

No, there's no record in here that she was seen **MACKENZIE** 

by Doctor BARTON

And indeed there's no note made by... 13.53 **BURT** 

> But, "Inappropriate to transfer for x-ray". So **MACKENZIE**

> > that was Doctor BARTON's decision. There's

something funny...

So we've got Doctor BRIGG contacted, advised **BURT** 

x-ray 'a' in the morning and analgesia during

the night

**MACKENZIE** Yes

**BURT** And there's a full stop there and then it says

something about...

**MACKENZIE** "...inappropriate to transfer for x-ray"

**BURT** But we don't know who...why that was

inappropriate...we don't know that...

HZ042

W14 OP **ROCHESTER-**

CURRENT FROM TRAIN 140409

L1212

Printed on: 10 July, 2009 09:14

Page 10 of 27

#### DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

**MACKENZIE** 

No, and we've got down here, we've got in the notes from Portsmouth, that when she was found on the floor at 13.30 Doctor BARTON wrote up more tranquillisers. So Doctor BARTON checked her for injury, wasn't very thorough. Surely somebody falls on a new hip you would get them x-rayed anyway

**BURT** 

But that's something we need clarification in terms of Doctor BARTONS' action, shortly, alleged action, shortly after the fall.

**MACKENZIE** 

Yes. Then we have on that same page LH/1/C/21 a note under the 17<sup>th</sup> of August, "Returned from Haslar. Patient very distressed and appears to be in pain", and yet, when we arrive, we're told no she's not in pain, it's dementia

**BURT** 

Right

15.22 MACKENZIE

And then we have the added bit in, "No canvas under patient. Patient transferred on sheet by crew". That has been added, later, I would suggest. After I had spoken to the two care support workers who told me what had happened and yet it's got here, "To remain in a straight knee splint". Well it certainly wasn't straight when we pulled back the sheet. "Pillow between legs at night", but there wasn't a pillow put between her legs and we arrived half an hour after she had

W14 OP ROCHESTER -CURRENT FROM TRAIN 140409 HZ042

L1212

Printed on: 10 July, 2009 09:14

Page 11 of 27

#### DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BURT In fact these are a reiteration of the discharge

notes from Haslar are they, this is the advise

isn't it from Haslar?

MACKENZIE Yes, yes

BURT Because this says 4/52

MACKENZIE Yes

BURT 4 weeks that is isn't it?

MACKENZIE Yes

BURT To remain in straight knee splint for 4 weeks

MACKENZIE Yes, that's the advice, that's the advice. So for

half an hour that advice wasn't carried out.

16.21 BURT "No follow up unless complications"

MACKENZIE Yes, but the complication had already, when she

returned from Haslar the complication had already started. Then we've got LH/1/C/21 continued, on the 17<sup>th</sup> of the 8<sup>th</sup>, "Daughter reports surgeon to say, mother must not be left in pain if dislocation occurs again. Doctor BARTON contacted and has ordered an x-ray". This is not, this is totally different to what

happened. I mean Philip, the nurse, had been trying to get an x-ray and they hadn't accepted his signature and yet this is written up by the

other nurse, not even written up by Philip.

BURT So this is just again after her second return...

MACKENZIE ...Yes

BURT ...to the ward

MACKENZIE And here we've got I can't, "MRSA negative"

W14 OP ROCHESTER -CURRENT FROM TRAIN 140409

HZ042

L1212

Printed on: 10 July, 2009 09:14

Page 12 of 27

#### DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

and I don't know what, who's signature that is, "In pain and distress, agreed with daughter to give, give her mother 'ormorof' 2.5 milligrams in 5 mls, or whatever it is, but that's 13.05. I would suggest that is Philip

17.45 BURT

Yes

**MACKENZIE** 

Because we said for goodness sake give her a painkiller before we can get her down to the x-ray department. "Daughter reports surgeon to say mother must not be left in pain, if dislocation recurs again". They obviously were, they also, I would think had a query that she had in fact dislocated it again...

...but COUCHMAN shouldn't have written that up. That should be due to Philip and, here again, I think this has been written up later. Then we've got 'pm' no time...

BURT

This is, I believe, Philip I think

**MACKENZIE** 

Yes, then we've got, "Hip x-rayed at 15.45. Film seen by Doctor PETERS, a radiologist, no dislocation seen. For pain control overnight

receiving"...

**BURT** 

...On review

**MACKENZIE** 

.."reviewed by Doctor BARTON". If it was a massive haemetoma they must have seen that on the x-ray. So was decided then she was just going to have pain relief. Then we've got the

W14 OP ROCHESTER -CURRENT FROM TRAIN 140409 HZ042

L1212

Printed on: 10 July, 2009 09:14

Page 13 of 27

#### DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

18<sup>th</sup> of the 8<sup>th</sup> am, "Reviewed by Doctor BARTON for pain control via syringe driver". So they hadn't even considered surgical intervention. "Treatment discussed with both daughters", 11.15. That is not correct. We were there at 9 o'clock in the morning and we had the conversation with Philip who told us nothing could be done. She had a massive haemetoma. The kindest way to treat her was to put her on Diamorphine to ease her pain until she died. "They agreed to use the syringe driver to control pain and allow nursing care to begin". Yes, we did agree the syringe driver. We were under the impression she was going to die within 24 hours.

19.40 BURT

**MACKENZIE** 

Right

Then we've got, "Syringe driver, 11.45, commenced,". Yes, that is about the right time it did commence. Then we've got LH/1/C/21, still continued, 18<sup>th</sup> of the 8<sup>th</sup>, "Patient remained peaceful, daughter Gill stayed the night with Gladys". Now, the 18<sup>th</sup> of the 8<sup>th</sup>, if I look at my calendar, is Tuesday, which is the day we'd been told in the morning...

BURT

**MACKENZIE** 

Yes that's right, Friday the 21st ..

...and from that Tuesday, until the 21<sup>st</sup>, I stayed every night but no mention of that and my son came down and he came down twice that week.

W14 OP ROCHESTER -CURRENT FROM TRAIN 140409 HZ042

L1212

Printed on: 10 July, 2009 09:14

Page 14 of 27

#### DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

Then we've got the, I think it's the 19<sup>th</sup>, "Mrs RICHARDS comfortable, daughter seen", daughter, daughter's' it should be, I don't know whether it's daughter or daughter's', it should be daughter's', "Unhappy with various aspects of care complaint to be handled officially". We'd already started the complaints procedure. 21<sup>st</sup> we've got 19<sup>th</sup>, and then we've got the 21<sup>st</sup>, nothing else written up. "Patients overall condition deteriorating. Daughters visited during the morning". This is absolute tripe. We were there the whole time and I don't know whose writing that is, whose written that up.

BURT

**MACKENZIE** 

**BURT** 

**MACKENZIE** 

**BURT** 

**MACKENZIE** 

Which is this, the one on the 21st

This is on the 21<sup>st</sup> of the 8<sup>th</sup>

Yes

I mean this has been written up afterwards

Staff Nurse JOYCE, I think

"Daughters visited", we were living there. I mean I even went into a patient's private room so that I could have a wash and my sister, at that time, stayed with my mother. We didn't leave her for a moment. "Condition poor pronounced dead at 21...the nurse", I mean we called the nurse and said she's, you know, she's dying. I mean we knew the last few moments she was dying, "For a cremation". I mean there's no notice there that my sister and my niece washed

W14 OP ROCHESTER -CURRENT FROM TRAIN 140409 HZ042

L1212

Printed on: 10 July, 2009 09:14

Page 15 of 27

#### DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

and laid out my mother. Surely that should have been in there and we stayed in fact until the body went down to the mortuary.

22.27 **BURT** 

**MACKENZIE** 

Yes

And I went into the mortuary. Well, that's on the other papers with... Now, then we come to LH/1/C/22, "Nursing action. Observe for pain, remove dentures, call bell at hand". My mother couldn't move to get to a call bell, let alone if you put her on 'Ormorof', or whatever this drug is. She would be too 'zonked out' to get a call bell, absolutely. "Did not seem to be in pain". and yet they give her, "Very agitated and sharp and crying, didn't settle for more...", that's on the 12<sup>th</sup> of the 8<sup>th</sup>. There does seem to be some, "Daughter stayed with Gladys overnight". I mean one thing doesn't seem to carry on with the other. Then we've got LH/1/C/22/1, "Refused breakfast and lunch". I mean she couldn't refuse breakfast because she was 'zonked out', that's why she refused it and that's why...and she didn't have anything to drink either and that's why she was dehydrated when she went back to Haslar.

24.07 **BURT** 

And there's a big gap here and it goes right to the last day, "No food taken".  $21^{st}$  of the  $8^{th}$  , which I thought was rather odd, because by the 21<sup>st</sup> ....

HZ042 ROCHESTER -**CURRENT FROM** 

W14 OP

TRAIN 140409

L1212

Printed on: 10 July, 2009 09:14

Page 16 of 27

#### DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

MACKENZIE ....She hadn't had any food at all in that whole of

last week and no hydration either and there was

sometimes when she hadn't been washed.

BURT Yes

MACKENZIE Or anything else. I don't know quite what

LH/1/C/22/2 means at the end, because there's nothing sort of down, except...oh that's bowels

open, is it

BURT Bowels not opened, yes

MACKENZIE Well, I hardly think so if your practically

unconscious

BURT No, that calendar relates to bowel movements

MACKENZIE And if you hadn't had anything to eat. I mean

there's pages and pages of nothing on the Health Plan at all. Quite honestly when I was in personnel management. When I interviewed somebody and I wasn't going to take them on for a job I had to have detailed notes in case I

was taken to a tribunal..

25.18 BURT ... Absolutely

MACKENZIE And this seems very...well I don't know quite

what. It's a bit difficult to know which is...

BURT ...No

MACKENZIE Which is which...

BURT Obviously these notes would be subject to

scrutiny by...

MACKENZIE Yes, you see and when we found LH/1/C/24 I

can't make any comments on that because...

W14 OP ROCHESTER -CURRENT FROM TRAIN 140409

HZ042

L1212

Printed on: 10 July, 2009 09:14

Page 17 of 27

#### DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BURT No, that's the medication, isn't it. That would

be for a...

**MACKENZIE** Yes, I really don't know how much

> Diamorphine you need to...well five days of it anyway. You see patient...then we've got LH/1/C/24 continued, 12<sup>th</sup> of the 8<sup>th</sup>, "Given all of the medication". So really it should stipulate what all those medications were. "Patient drowsy", and the next thing we have is the 18th of the 8th, "Given by syringe driver". Pages of

nothing.

**BURT** And at the end there's the reference to the two

x-rays that I've asked to see...

26.58 **MACKENZIE** Now there's one here, you don't seem to have a

reference on it...

**BURT** Which one's that

**MACKENZIE** It's the Portsmouth Health Care

BURT Oh no is this the document, here, the accident

report...

**MACKENZIE** Yes

**BURT** Right, so let's put the Health Record to one side

for a moment then

**MACKENZIE** Yes

**BURT** And so we've, the next document to have a look

> at, perhaps whilst remembering the other document we just looked at. Now I'm referring now to a Portsmouth Health Care NHS Trust Risk Event Record for accidents and incidents

W14 OP HZ042 **ROCHESTER-CURRENT FROM** 

TRAIN 140409

L1212

Printed on: 10 July, 2009 09:14

Page 18 of 27

#### DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

and you've got a copy of that there.

**MACKENZIE** 

Yes

**BURT** 

Would you like to have a I don't know if you'd like to have a look at that one, it might be marginally, now that's been that was given to me by Mrs HUMPHREY and I think the reference number will be LH/2 but we'll clarify

that in due course.

**MACKENZIE** 

Yes. Now I've got a query on this

**BURT** 

Yes

28.05 MACKENZIE

On that item 7, "Patient sat in chair in room 3 and found on the floor by the nursing staff". Where did she actually fall from her chair? Was it in room 3 because, as I say, my niece saw her, I think, in the sitting room

BURT

Yes. Right

**MACKENZIE** 

I don't know why she'd been fully dressed that

day anyway

BURT

Not sure what room 3, I guess, is...

**MACKENZIE** 

Well, it was a little room, there was a little room she was in, by herself, and it was right opposite the reception desk, with a window, so that they could see in to see what she was doing. So whoever was sitting at that reception desk didn't see her fall, didn't hear her cry and the door was left open. The door was left open. You would think you would immediately go, if somebody's fallen, because if she fell from her chair in that

W14 OP ROCHESTER -CURRENT FROM TRAIN 140409 HZ042

L1212

Printed on: 10 July, 2009 09:14

Page 19 of 27

#### DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

room she would have been heard

BURT

Yes, yes

**MACKENZIE** 

And then item 11, on that same page, they've got that, "Specified painful hip". So they knew she had pain in her hip and yet they still only gave her tranquillisers and Doctor BARTON was on site. Then, under 11, "Check for injury, none apparent at the time and hoisted from the floor to chair and a safety table put in front of her". Pity they didn't put the safety table there before, wasn't it, when they had been told that if she wanted to 'spend a penny' she could agitate

and would try to get out of the chair

29.50 BURT There's an entry here under 12, "Was patient's doctor notified". It's got a tick, 'yes', and there's an additional entry put in there, "Seen by

Doctor BRIGG"

**MACKENZIE** 

Yes

**BURT** 

"Advised by telephone"

**MACKENZIE** 

Yes, but he wasn't her. I don't know who

Doctor BRIGG is because her own doctor was

Doctor BASSETT I think it was

**BURT** 

Next of kin informed, 'yes', it says here, on the

13<sup>th</sup>

**MACKENZIE** 

Yes, where are we under 13

**BURT** 

Under 12 still

**MACKENZIE** 

Under 12, where have I got it Yes, but,

'telephone', now they...

W14 OP ROCHESTER -CURRENT FROM TRAIN 140409

HZ042

L1212

Printed on: 10 July, 2009 09:14

Page 20 of 27

#### DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

**BURT** But that wasn't until the, later, as I understand

it, wasn't it

**MACKENZIE** Yes, but you see, they had been told that my

sister was, was, I think not going to be there but

she was contacted, you know, at night or

whenever it was

30.55 **BURT** I see, it's down the bottom here, it's got the,

"Seen by Doctor BARTON" and the date ....

**MACKENZIE** Seen by Doctor BARTON and it looks like, I

don't know

The best guess is the 14<sup>th</sup> but x-ray shows... **BURT** 

...Dislocation and transfer to Haslar for...but she **MACKENZIE** 

> wasn't x-rayed until the next day. So, I mean, seen on the 14th and she wasn't transferred

until...there's a query there

**BURT** Yes

**MACKENZIE** "Describe the action to prevent a reoccurrence,

> remain in bed over the weekend". What's that mean, because she'd been sent back to Haslar

**BURT** Right

**MACKENZIE** Now that Sue HUTCHINGS, Senior Nurse co-

> ordinator, she is the one who saw my mother on the Wednesday night, Thursday morning early, about 4 o'clock in the morning, and came in and said that she thought my mother was going to die soon and she had asked that, when she was due to come off duty, she would like to remain

until, you know, my mother was dead. She

W14 OP **ROCHESTER -CURRENT FROM** 

TRAIN 140409

HZ042

L1212

Printed on: 10 July, 2009 09:14

Page 21 of 27

#### DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

would like to remain until she actually did die

32.06 BURT Oh right, is this Sue

**MACKENZIE** 

That was on the Wednesday

BURT

**HUTCHINGS** 

**MACKENZIE** 

Yes, that was on the Wednesday, Thursday morning and every time any of the staff came on duty again, after the Tuesday, they kept on saying, "Well, I'm amazed your still here. You would have thought...she'd...we're amazed

she's still here, we can't understand it

**BURT** 

Which is indicative of her resilience, indicative

of..

**MACKENZIE** 

And, in fact, this Sue said, because Sue had been the one, I believe, who had discovered that she had in fact dislocated her hip and phoned my sister before so she said, "I'd been in, you know, this is such an appalling story and I would like to be here with you". Then she started this business with my sister-in-law, stroking the back of her hand, and stayed there for 10 minutes thinking my mother was going to die there and then. She still didn't. I don't think

there's any...

**BURT** 

No, so the issues there really are the...I suppose there is an issue about who, what doctor was

involved in that

33.08 **MACKENZIE**  Yes, because we've got that it was Doctor

BARTON in the Portsmouth Health thing

W14 OP **ROCHESTER-**CURRENT FROM TRAIN 140409

HZ042

L1212

Printed on: 10 July, 2009 09:14

Page 22 of 27

#### DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BURT What, that's in the reply letter wasn't it

MACKENZIE Yes, in the report

BURT Right, now there will be an opportunity,

obviously, for you to, when you get the draft, to

go back through these documents again..

MACKENZIE Yes

BURT To be sure, and the idea, today, of course is to

capture some basic information. Now is there

anything else at this stage. I don't think we, I

think we've probably we've..

MACKENZIE Well, am I allowed to make any points as to

why I came to the Police in the first place?

BURT Well, I mean, yes, there's no problem with that

at all. I'm just thinking that, for the benefit of

the transcript, for the first transcript...

MACKENZIE Yes.

BURT Probably it was...the idea was to quickly get

something down on tape, as it were...

MACKENZIE Yes.

RENZIE I 68

BURT ... to cover the general nub issues.

MACKENZIE Mmmm.

BURT In your statement you will be able to put

whatever...

MACKENZIE Yes.

BURT ... you want to put in.

MACKENZIE Yes. Yes.

BURT Now, in terms of preparing a draft, as a starter...

MACKENZIE Right.

W14 OP HZ042 ROCHESTER -

CURRENT FROM TRAIN 140409 2 L1212

Printed on: 10 July, 2009 09:14

Page 23 of 27

#### DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BURT

... it might be worthwhile hanging fire there.

**MACKENZIE** 

Okay.

**BURT** 

Now, having said that, of course, is that when it

comes to making a statement you can put

whatever you want in there.

**MACKENZIE** 

Yes.

**BURT** 

I mean, the only... I guess the only guidelines

really would be is, it would, you, and I suppose, the advice would be, because I don't know quite

what...is to make statements that you're quite

certain about.

**MACKENZIE** 

Yes.

**BURT** 

You know what I mean? And when, and in fact,

when it comes to like opinion...

MACKENZIE

Yes.

**BURT** 

... we need to be cautious and I suppose ...

MACKENZIE

Yes.

**BURT** 

... really, in your interests I need to say to you,

well we need to be careful about saying

anything in a signed and written form.

MACKENZIE

Yes.

**BURT** 

That is, that is, that represents accusations that

couldn't be substantiated.

**MACKENZIE** 

Yes. Well, I suppose I could say the reason I

came to the Police with an alleged allegation of

an...

**BURT** 

Oh, yes, yes.

**MACKENZIE** 

.... unlawful...

W14 OP ROCHESTER -CURRENT FROM TRAIN 140409 HZ042

L1212

Printed on: 10 July, 2009 09:14

Page 24 of 27

#### DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BURT

Yes.

MACKENZIE

... killing, was that I was, I was of the opinion

there was negligence...

**BURT** 

Yes.

MACKENZIE

... I don't think there's any doubt that there is

negligence.

BURT

Mmmm.

MACKENZIE

But that I'm aware that gross negligence can

lead to a charge of...

BURT

Indeed.

MACKENZIE

... manslaughter.

**BURT** 

And that's why...

**MACKENZIE** 

And that's why I came. I've no intention of

taking action if it was purely negligence as a

tort...

**BURT** 

No.

MACKENZIE

... where you sue and you get...

**BURT** 

Indeed.

MACKENZIE

... and you get compensation and all that.

**BURT** 

Yes.

MACKENZIE

That is of no interest. The fact that I thought it

was a criminal matter, was really, it couldn't

help my mother, but it could perhaps help

people in the future.

**BURT** 

And it was your belief that the negligence that...

**MACKENZIE** 

Was so gross...

**BURT** 

... was so gross that it had stepped...

**MACKENZIE** 

... that it lead...

W14 OP ROCHESTER -CURRENT FROM TRAIN 140409 HZ042

L1212

Printed on: 10 July, 2009 09:14

Page 25 of 27

#### DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

**BURT** 

... one step beyond....

**MACKENZIE** 

.... that it had led to the death of my mother...

BURT

Yes.

**MACKENZIE** 

... which was manslaughter.

**BURT** 

And that's my role. My role is to, to try and see

what evidence there is, to suggest, or otherwise,

whether there is evidence to suggest that this

kind of step, it went a step further.

MACKENZIE

Yes.

**BURT** 

And the...

**MACKENZIE** 

Plus the fact, even before I brought that

allegation, I mean, there was the business of the

death certificate and stuff, you know.

**BURT** 

Mmmm.

**MACKENZIE** 

But there was also the fact that I, I've took the

trouble to look it up.

**BURT** 

Yes.

**MACKENZIE** 

In Archibald, and the more I read, the more I

was convinced.

**BURT** 

Yes. Right, now, I think for the purposes of the

first draft, we'll call it...

MACKENZIE

..a day.

**BURT** 

There. Well, we'll call it, for just the taping

process...

**MACKENZIE** 

Yes.

BURT

... and we can have a little recap in a moment...

MACKENZIE

Okay.

**BURT** 

... about any other issues we need to remember.

W14 OP ROCHESTER -CURRENT FROM TRAIN 140409 HZ042

L1212

Printed on: 10 July, 2009 09:14

Page 26 of 27

#### DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

**MACKENZIE** 

Mmmm.

**BURT** 

So, I'm going to turn the tape off now and, according to my watch it's thirteen twenty two

(1322) and the tape's going off.

End of interview.

W14 OP ROCHESTER -CURRENT FROM TRAIN 140409 HZ042

L1212

Printed on: 10 July, 2009 09:14

Page 27 of 27