

Email Message

From: Code A [EX:/O=UKCC/OU=UKCC PORTLAND PLACE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN= Code A]
To: Code A [EX:/O=UKCC/OU=UKCC PORTLAND PLACE/cn=Recipients/cn= Code A]
Cc: Code A [EX:/O=UKCC/OU=UKCC PORTLAND PLACE/cn=Recipients/cn= Code A EX:/O=UKCC/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN= Code A Code A EX:/O=UKCC/OU=UKCC PORTLAND PLACE/cn=Recipients/cn= Code A]
Sent: 16/04/2010 at 16:36
Received: 16/04/2010 at 16:29
Subject: RE: Gosport War Memorial Hospital case (12053)

Attachments: GWMH 12053 PPC decisions and reasons (final) 20100413.tr5
GWMH 12053 PPC Section A 20100412.tr5

We spoke and I confirm that Ian is happy for you to have a copy of the decisions and reasons, given that it will inform any response you may be asked to make.

To make any sense you will need the allegations that were relied upon, but you will note that the PPC did not confine its consideration solely to them.

However, it is stressed that these attachments are not for disclosure and the content remains confidential: patients' names are given as well as those of referrers. I would also refer you back to the report sent on 24 March 2010 which will give you a full understanding of the history of the referrals. Again, I am afraid this cannot be disclosed outside this organisation.

We would like to set up a meeting between Comms and FtP concerning putting out a statement. Further email to follow.

I will confirm when letters are despatched to all parties. This should be on Monday, but I will email to confirm.

Code A

From: Code A
Sent: 16 April 2010 15:54
To: Code A
Cc: Code A
Subject: RE: Gosport War Memorial Hospital case (12053)
Importance: High
Sensitivity: Private

Hi Code A

Can we have the reasons now please?

Code A

Code A

Press and Public Relations
Communications and Stakeholder Relations

Code A

Code A

From: **Code A**
Sent: 14 April 2010 18:14
To: **Code A**
Cc: **Code A**
Subject: RE: Gosport War Memorial Hospital case (12053)
Sensitivity: Private

Hullo **Code A**

At the risk of being pedantic, its the PPC, which (unlike the PCC) meets in private and, as such, its proceedings are confidential.

The legal assessor's advice on this case, decisions and reasons on this case runs to 17 pages.

The committee spent much of the second day drafting reasons for its decisions and took a different step than usual in giving the decision and reasons, following guidance the NMC had received from outside counsel.

In summary, what has been produced is:

Practitioner's name and details.

A summary of what is being considered and what the panel considered in addition to the drafted allegations (where applicable).

The decision on each allegation.

(Robust) reasons for each decision, which read in the form of an analysis.

You'll appreciate from the report that I sent last month that the allegations vary from practitioner to practitioner (and indeed from complainant to complainant). This means that a lot of my time between now and Friday is going to be taken up with drafting up the various letters very carefully on this case.

I don't want to be difficult about disclosing the reasons and I appreciate that it would be desirable to have them in order to draft a press statement as [and more likely] when. I have time with Ian on Friday to discuss all things GWMH and will get back to you after that.

Code A

From: **Code A**
Sent: 14 April 2010 17:06
To: **Code A**
Subject: RE: Gosport War Memorial Hospital case (12053)
Sensitivity: Private

Thanks **Code A** I understand that as these are PCC cases the rules are different but will we be able to produce a robust set of reasons from the panel in light of the high profile nature of this case?

Code A

Press and Public Relations
Communications and Stakeholder Relations

Code A

From: **Code A**

Sent: 14 April 2010 17:00

To: **Code A**

Subject: Gosport War Memorial Hospital case (12053)

Preliminary Proceedings Committee

Referring back to my email (with attachments) of 24 March 2010, I confirm that the PPC met on 12 and 13 April 2010.

It considered various complaints against seven practitioners. The committee declined to proceed with the complaints against all seven practitioners.

Please note that the PPC's decisions have not been confirmed to the practitioners, complainants or the various interested parties and, as such, this information remains confidential.

Thanks

Code A

Nursing & Midwifery Council
61 Aldwych
London

WC2B 4AE

www.nmc-uk.org

020 7637 7181 (switchboard)