5 gen 21 NHE000426-0001 Copy to PCC CES e.e. BK+EJ , PI see affected for >=fo 2. Pl. D/W Ne. 1 Stubbs : F you wish to attend the Tune or Portsmouth HealthCare NHS Trust July events (We've bright into this support MEMORANDUM system from CMHSD for a year so pl. feel free to use it.) PORTSMUUTH & S.E. HANTS From: Neil Stubbs REALTH AUTHORITY To: District Implementation **Team Members** 18 MAY 20. 3. File M.H. Strategy. Ref: NS/HS cc: AREHAM & GOSPORT PCGs Date: 02 May 2000

## **Re: District Implementation Team Members**

Please find attached some notes of the Change Network held on 12 April 2000. The theme was one of "Inter-agency/Partnership Working" and you may feel the discussion would be worth having locally. Also attached is a record of the common threads of local Implementation plans as reflected by those on the Network. As members of the Network we have purchased some places on the Annual Congress - to be agreed at the next DIT.

Please note future dates listed in paragraph 1 of the notes, action points and flyer for 13 June 2000.

Thank you.



Neil Stubbs Divisional General Manager Havant/Petersfield

f:\Memo\DITApr12.doc



NHE000426-0002



Friars House 157-168 Blackfriars Road London SE1 8EZ Tel 020 7928 4994 Fax 020 7928 4101

LONDON

Founded 1829

Mr Neil Stubbs Divisional Manager Portsmouth Health Care NHS Trust Havant Civic Offices Civic Centre Havant PO9 2AR

20 April 2000

Dear Neil

()

## Change Network for Mental Health Organisations Notes from the Network Event, 12 April 2000

Please find attached notes and action points from the meeting on 12<sup>th</sup> April. Please note that there will be no Network Event in June. The next meeting will take place when we get together for the Annual Congress on 6-7 July. There will be a further three Network Events on 20<sup>th</sup> September, November and January.

In September we will review the progress of sites and how the different approaches adopted have worked. May I remind you that all Change Network sites are invited to the next Partnership in Mental Health Network meeting on 13<sup>th</sup> June 2000, I have attached flyer for your information.

Please call me on **Code A** if you would like to discuss this further.

Thanks for your help and I look forward to seeing you at the Congress.

Yours sincerely Code A Alix Crawford Project Manager

Portsmouth HealthCare NATS True. 6779 APR 2000 25 General Manager Havant & Petersfield Division

## **Change Network Event**

# 12<sup>th</sup> April 2000

# NOTES AND ACTION POINTS

#### **Action points**

- All Change Network Sites are invited to attend the CMHSD Partnership in Action Network day on 13<sup>th</sup> June (flyer enclosed)
- CMHSD Annual Congress, 6-7 July 2000, Shrigley Hall, Cheshire. All Change Network Sites are invited to bring your Local Implementation Team along to the Annual Congress. Fees for Change Network Members will be at a reduced rate.
- The date of the next Network meeting is 20<sup>th</sup> September 2000.

#### Notes from the Meeting

#### **Introduction**

Code A introduced the themes for the day, which included; exploring partnership relationships and cultural differences between partner agencies; comparing what sites have said they will do in their Local Implementation Plan to achieve the objectives they have set out.

#### Reflecting on our partners – agency groups

Key points made during the agency group discussions:

#### What health Trusts mostly admire about Social Services

### Saying No

Health service staff felt that mental health within social care was more clearly defined. Within the bounds of eligibility criteria, social services staff were able to 'say no' to requests for social care.

#### **Observation**

Health services staff find it hard to say no, as it rejects the caring NHS culture. Social services response to this was that they were unable to say no to society and felt 'like a dustbin'.

tend to stay in a job for 2-3 years and them move on, and their behaviour in their current job has half and eye on their next.

Social services managers usually have to have professional credibility with staff they manage. Generally speaking social services managers have a greater commitment to long-term local solutions. There are significant salary differences between health and social services at middle management level in favour of health staff.

#### Professional organisations

Health service staff are professionally accountable to their professional bodies, where as social services staff are not. Some health related professional bodies have political influence and lobbying strength.

#### **Observation**

The health culture tends to reward failure, e.g. if services are badly run more money is poured in improve it.

#### Spinning

There is limited local influence and control of a centrally driven organisation such as the NHS. This results in local organisations being able to put an acceptable 'spin' on reports and plans that are submitted to NHS regional offices.

#### **Observation**

Much of what we admire or express, as admiration in our partners is actually veiled envy, which in reality can block the development of partnership relationships and is ultimately divisive.

#### PCGs on Social Services

There was some concern expressed by PCGs about the level of seniority of social services reps on PCG boards. If the reps are middle managers from specific disciplines are they best placed to represent the more generic social services issues?

#### What Health does not understand about Social Services

#### Nuances of political decision making in local government

The internal politics, power and control exercised by local authority members varies between authorities and may influence by the relationships between members and officers.

The power of executive decision making within local government cabinet or committee meetings lies with elected members, local government officers are in attendance at meetings but have no voting rights.

3) Reconfiguration might blow them off course and therefore there is a need to manage the reconfiguration process.

#### Hillingdon

The mental health reference group has set up the LIT, which includes reps from the local trusts and PCT.

Priorities for the LIT are being driven by the NSF standards. There are specific task focused groups around specific themes that reflect local priorities. E.g. 24 hour nursed care/primary care.

#### **Observations**

Sites have adopted different approaches to implementing the NSF. Some have adopted a locality approach where others have focus groups on the standards in the NSF. Different groups have been set up to take forward the implementation including task groups, views groups, project groups, and standards groups.

#### **Next Meeting**

()

The next meeting of the Change Network will be at the Annual Congress on 6/7 July 2000. The next Change Network Event will be in September and we will review the progress of sites and how the different approaches adopted have worked.

We will run Network events in September, November and January.

IHE000426-0006

# Local Implementation Plans Common Threads



- Building on existing local planning structures
- Using the existing Health Improvement Planning structure with PCG focused fora
- One locality is establishing a health and social care partnership board with a mental health steering group. LIT is a accountable to the mental health steering group.

Founded 1820

# Local Implementation Plans Common Threads

- Common to all sites is the reconfiguration of mental health services into single or combined mental health trusts in tandem with the establishment of PCTs.
- The approach adopted in many areas is to develop a service which is sustainable whatever the outcome of local service reconfigurations.

Founded 1820

# Local Implementation Plans Summary of Priorities

Local priorities highlighted in LIPs

- Single sex accommodation
- Assertive outreach teams
- Clinical governance
- Secure services
- NHS Direct

# Partnership in Action Managing the integration of health and social services



# **Development** Network Tuesday 13<sup>th</sup> June 2000, London

Themes for the day: Integrated Provision - who is doing what, where?

## Programme

09.45	Coffee
10.00	Welcome
10.15	<ul><li>Current experiences of integrated provision</li><li>Who is doing what where? Where are we up to?</li></ul>
11.00	Coffee
11.15	<ul> <li>The Camden and Islington Experience</li> <li>Key principles that need to be in place to make it work</li> <li>Maintaining a locality focus</li> <li>David Stout, Director of Mental Health Services and Learning Disabilities, Camden and Islington Community NHS Trust</li> <li>Discussion</li> </ul>
12.30	Lunch
1.30	Other stories
	• The Harrow approach to unified mental health services Dick van Brummen, Assistant Head of Care Management, LB Harrow and Will Evans, Director of Nursing, Quality and Mental Health, Harrow and Hillingdon Healthcare Trust
	• 'The Third Way?' Manchester's approach to setting up an integrated provider Bob Bamford, Mental Health Services, Manchester City Council and Andrew Butters, Director of Mental Health, Manchester Health Authority (tbc)
2.30	Discussion
3.00	Future Topics
3.30	Close

#### **Facilitators:**

()

( )

Price: £65 per delegate

Dr Edward Peck, Director, Centre for Mental Health Services Development Richard Poxton, Senior Development Manager Alix Crawford, Project Manager Monica Balogh, Network Co-ordinator

An initiative by the Centre for Mental Health Services Development 0207 928 4994