

**Kiran Bhogal**

---

**From:** Dowd Ann - Dr [Code A]  
**Sent:** 04 March 2009 09:22  
**To:** Stuart Knowles; Briony Ballard  
**Cc:** Kiran Bhogal; Mellor Peter - Company Secretary; Reid Ian - Consultant DMOP; Jill Mason  
**Subject:** RE: Barton statement 4/11/04  
**Attachments:** letter to Mills and Reeve LLP - March 09.doc; appendix re 10 cases.doc  
**Sensitivity:** Confidential

Dear Stuart/ Briony,

As requested, I have been through the expert statements of Dr Wilcock and Prof Black and some thoughts are attached below. I hope these are of use to you but if you need anything further, hopefully I can help at Friday's meeting  
 Regards  
 Ann

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Stuart Knowles [Code A]  
**Sent:** 03 March 2009 15:33  
**To:** Briony Ballard  
**Cc:** Kiran Bhogal; Mellor Peter - Company Secretary; Reid Ian - Consultant DMOP; Dowd Ann - Dr; Jill Mason  
**Subject:** FW: Barton statement 4/11/04  
**Importance:** High

Briony,

I have been in touch with the police and they tell me they do have a statement from Dr Barton from November 2004. They have a 'soft copy' and it is attached to this email as HTML text in exactly the same format as it was sent to me.

I have had it turned into a word document and have 'broken' it up into paragraphs and I will send this to you for ease of reading. I have not altered grammar spelling or phrasing.

It does make an interesting background read outlining her workload, problems faced in caring for patients and 'issues' she raised with management. Having said that there is no real 'meat' to the last point but IW or AD may have more for us on Friday.

As it has not been disclosed to us by the Coroner I assume he hasn't seen it or at the least is not intending to use it. I will check with the police on this point. I assume the MDU have it.

It is undated unsigned and I am afraid what you see is what we have got....

I will touch base before Friday

Stuart

**Stuart Knowles**  
 Consultant  
 for Mills & Reeve LLP

**Code A**

# Code A

78-84 Colmore Row  
Birmingham B3 2AB  
DX 707290 BIRMINGHAM 65

**From:** Code A

**Sent:** 03 March 2009 13:17

**To:** Chikodi Oraka

**Subject:** Barton statement 4/11/04

Chikodi

Sorry about that but I was in the middle of something else, Dr Barton's Statement is below, although it has copied in not the most reader friendly way it is complete

Roy Stephenson

I am Doctor Jane BARTON of the **Forton Medical Centre, Whites Place, Gosport**, Hampshire. I am a Registered Medical Practitioner and qualified in 1972 at Oxford University with the degrees MA, BMBCh, I joined my present GP Practice in January 1980, initially as an assistant for three months and then as a minimum full time partner. As a General Practitioner I had a minimum full time commitment. I had approximately 1500 patients on my list, I worked eight general practice surgery sessions weekly and carried out house calls on my own patients and I conducted half the out of hours on call responsibilities of my partners with one night in ten and one weekend quarterly on duty for the whole practice. In addition to my general practice duties I took up the post of the sole Clinical Assistant in Elderly Medicine at the Gosport War Memorial Hospital in 1988. The Gosport War Memorial Hospital was a cottage hospital, it had 48 long stay beds and was originally on three separate sites and was resourced, designed and staffed to provide continuing care for long stay elderly patients. The position of a Clinical Assistant is a training post and for me it was a part time appointment. Initially the position was for four sessions each week, one of which was allocated to my partners to provide out of hours cover. This was later increased so that by 1998 the Health Care Trust had allocated me five Clinical Assistant sessions of which one and a half were now given to my partners in the GP practice for the out of hours aspect of the post. I was therefore expected to carry out my day to day responsibilities in this post in effect within three and a half sessions each week. This was of course in addition to my GP responsibilities. By 1998 I was working on two of the wards at the hospital Daedalus and Dryad Wards. The two wards had a total of 48 beds, about eight of the beds on Daedalus Ward were for slow stream stroke patients. The remaining beds were otherwise designated to provide continuing care for elderly patients. Two consultants in elderly medicine were responsible for each of the wards. **Doctor Althea LORD** was responsible for Daedalus Ward and **Doctor Jane TANDY** for Dryad Ward. Both consultants however had considerable responsibilities elsewhere and thus their actual time at the Gosport War Memorial Hospital was significantly limited. Doctor LORD for example was responsible for an acute ward and a continual care ward at the **Queen Alexandra Hospital** in Portsmouth and had responsibilities at a third site, **St Mary's Hospital**, also in Portsmouth. As a result Dr LORD's presence at the hospital was limited to conducting a continuing care ward round on Daedalus Ward every other Monday. She would also be in the hospital conducting out patients on Thursday when she would carry out a further ward round in relation to the stroke patients. Dr TANDY took annual leave towards the end of April 1998 followed immediately thereafter by maternity leave so that she did not return to work until February 1999. In spite of the considerable workload the Trust took the decision that her post should not be filled by

a locum. Dr LORD kindly volunteered to make herself available to cover but the reality was, given her own position as a very busy consultant, she could not carry a ward round on Dryad Ward. For much of 1998 therefore I had no effective consultant support on one of the two wards for which I had responsibilities, with the consultant role on the other ward already being limited. At the time of my resignation from the Gosport War Memorial Hospital in April 2000 there were two elderly medicine consultants covering the wards and providing the weekly ward round. The consultant nominally in charge of Dryad was also Clinical Director for the Trust as well as his other extensive clinical commitments in two other hospitals and was not always available to provide the weekly service. In carrying out my duties as Clinical Assistant I would arrive at the hospital each morning when it opened at about 7.30am (0730). I would visit both Daedalus and Dryad Wards reviewing patients and liaising with staff before I then commenced my General Practitioner responsibilities at 9am (0900). I would return to the hospital virtually every lunch time, new patients of whom there were about five each week, would usually arrive before lunch time and I would admit patients, write up charts and see relatives. Quite often, in particular if I was the duty doctor, I would return to the hospital after GP surgery hours at about 7pm (1900). I was concerned to make myself available to relatives who were not usually able to see me in the course of their working day. This became a very important time commitment in the job. I would also attend the Daedalus Ward round on Monday's with Dr LORD but was unable to attend the round for stroke patients on Thursdays. I was also concerned to make myself available even outside those hours when I was in attendance at the hospital. The nursing staff would therefore ring me either at home or at my GP surgery to discuss developments or problems with particular patients. In the event that medication was to be increased, even within a range of medication, already prescribed by me it would be usual for the nursing staff either to inform me of the fact that they considered it necessary to make such a change or they would inform me shortly thereafter of the fact that the increase had been made. When I first took up the post the level of dependency of patients was relatively low, in general the patients did not have major medical needs. An analogy now would be to a nursing home. However over time that position changed very considerably. Patients who were increasingly dependent would be admitted to the wards so that in time and certainly by 1998 many of the patients were profoundly dependent with minimal Bartel Scores. There was in consequence a considerable increase in the medical and nursing input required to care for such patients. Further in 1998, as an example, the bed occupancy was about 80%, however the Trust was concerned to increase that still further and it then rose to approximately 90%. There would be therefore as many as 40 or more patients to be seen and/or reviewed by me when I attended each day. There was no increase in nursing staff, support staff, occupational therapy and physiotherapy and no support from Social Services to assist with the increase in patients and the increase in dependency and medical needs. On a day by day basis mine was the only medical input. Part of the list of duties laid down for me as Clinical Assistant was to be responsible for the day to day medical management of patients. My work involved looking after a large number of elderly patients, approaching the end of their lives, and requiring continuing care from the health service. The vast majority had undergone treatment in the acute sector and were transferred to our care for rehabilitation, continuing care or palliative care, after their acute management was completed. A major group of these patients were suffering from end Stage Dementia as well as major organ failure such as renal failure. A lot of my time would be spent attempting to forge a relationship with families and helping them come to terms with the approaching death of a loved one. This aspect of the job was often not helped by unrealistic expectations of the level of rehabilitation available at our cottage hospital or possible in these individual patients and difficult dynamics within the families. The act of transferring such frail patients also further compromised their condition, sometimes irreversibly. In carrying out my work I relied on a team of nurses both trained and untrained to support the work I did. Between us all we tried to offer a level of freedom from pain, physical discomfort, unpleasant symptoms and medical distress which is difficult to offer in an acute setting and is more allied to palliative care. Over the 12 years in which I

was in post I believe I was able to establish a very good working relationship with the nursing staff at the hospital. I found them to be responsible and caring, they were experienced, as I think I myself became, in caring for elderly dependent patients. I felt able to place a significant measure of trust in the nursing staff. Over the period in which I was in post there was only a marginal increase in the number of nursing staff with the significant number of patients, and the considerable increase in dependency over the period the nurses too were faced with an excessive workload. The picture therefore that was emerging, at least by 1998, at the hospital was one in which there had been a marked increase in the dependency of the patients and indeed an increase in their numbers. There was limited consultant input, reduced still further by the fact that no locum was appointed to cover Dr TANDY's position by this time the demands on me were very considerable, given that I was expected to deliver this significant volume of care within a mere three and a half sessions each week. I raised this management matter with management albeit verbally, saying that I could not manage this level of care for the number of patients but the reality was there was no one else to do it. In due course I felt unable to continue, I resigned from my post in 2000. It may have been of some significance that my position was then replaced, not with another part time Clinical Assistant but a full time Staff Grade. Indeed my present understanding is that this post may be increased to two full time positions and is a clear reflection of the very considerable demands upon me at the relevant time when I was struggling to cope with the care of patients. In addition the consultant cover to the two wards was increased to ten sessions per week in 2000. In 1998 I had tried to raise the issue with Trust Management but there was no one else to do the job. I could have said I couldn't do the job any more and walked away, resigning my position at that time. However I felt obliged to remain, to support my colleagues and more particular to care for my patients. I felt that if I left I would be letting down the nursing staff, with whom I worked for 12 years and letting down the patients, many of whom were in my practice and part of my own community. In reality I was trying to do my best in the most trying of circumstances. I continued to express my concern to Trust Management but to no avail and eventually I felt compelled to resign in April 2000. In caring for patients on a day to day basis therefore I was left with the choice of attending to my patients and making notes as best I could or making more detailed notes about those I did see but potentially neglecting others. In the circumstances I attended to my patients and I readily accept that my note keeping suffered in consequence, the medical records therefore do not set out each and every review with a full assessment of a condition of a patient at any given point. Of necessity they were sparse, the constraints on the caring, experienced nursing staff meant that they too had the same problem, to tend to the patients, keeping them clean, feeding them and attending to their other nursing needs or to write detailed notes. Similarly in relation to prescribing I felt obliged to adopt a policy of pro-active prescribing, giving nurses a degree of discretion and administering within a range of medication. As a result if the patients condition deteriorated such that they required further medication to ease pain and suffering that medication could be given even though the staffing arrangements at the hospital were such that no medical staff could attend to see the patient. This was of assistance in particular out of hours. It was a practice adopted out of necessity but one in which I had trust and confidence in the nurses who would be acting on my prescripts and indeed in which the nurses would routinely liaise with me as and when increases of medication were made, even within authority of the prescription. I accept that this would not be necessary in a teaching hospital or even a big district general hospital where a professor or consultant in geriatrics will have a plethora of junior staff. Someone will either be on the end of a bleep or available on the ward to write up or review a prescription for opiates. It may also be of some significance that prescriptions of this nature by me were inevitably reviewed on a regular basis by consultants when carrying out their own ward rounds. At no time was I ever informed that my practice in this regard was inappropriate. Less this observation and indeed others in relation to the degree of consultant support appear to be anyway to be critical of Dr LORD I am very anxious to emphasise that Dr LORD was caring, thoughtful and considerate. The reality is that Dr

LORD too had a considerable workload and she did what she could, given the constraints upon her.

\*\*\*\*\*

This electronic message contains information from Hampshire Constabulary which may be legally privileged and confidential. Any opinions expressed may be those of the individual and not necessarily the Hampshire Constabulary.

The information is intended to be for the use of the individual(s) or entity named above. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of the information is prohibited. If you have received this electronic message in error, please notify us by telephone +44 (0) 845 045 45 45 or email to [postmaster@hampshire.pnn.police.uk](mailto:postmaster@hampshire.pnn.police.uk) immediately. Please then delete this email and destroy any copies of it.

All communications, including telephone calls and electronic messages to and from the Hampshire Constabulary may be subject to monitoring. Replies to this email may be seen by employees other than the intended recipient.

\*\*\*\*\*



Please consider the environment - do you really need to print this email?

Celebrating 10 years in Birmingham

Regional Law Firm of the Year - British Legal Awards 2007

Law Firm of the Year - Birmingham Law Society Legal Awards 2008

**Mills & Reeve LLP - one of The Sunday Times 100 Best Companies To Work For in 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007 and 2008**

This email is confidential and may be privileged. If you are not the intended recipient please accept our apologies. Please do not disclose, copy, or distribute information in this email nor take any action in reliance on its contents: to do so is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. Please inform us that this message has gone astray before deleting it. Thank you for your co-operation.

Mills & Reeve LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales with registered number OC326165 and VAT number GB 104 8345 88. Its registered office is at Fountain House, 130 Fenchurch Street, London, EC3M 5DJ, which is the London office of Mills & Reeve LLP. A list of members may be inspected at any of the LLP's offices. The term "partner" is used to refer to a member of Mills & Reeve LLP. Mills & Reeve LLP is regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority and is subject to the Solicitors' Code of Conduct 2007, which can be viewed at: <http://www.sra.org.uk/code-of-conduct.page>.

Further information about Mills & Reeve LLP including the location of its offices can be found on our website at: <http://www.mills-reeve.com>.

This email has been checked for viruses by the screening system used by Mills & Reeve LLP. However, Mills & Reeve LLP cannot guarantee that this email and any attachments are virus free. To maintain service standards, emails sent to or by individuals at Mills & Reeve LLP may be read by others at the firm. Service cannot be effected on Mills & Reeve LLP by email.