

Other Document Form

Number

T245

Title EMAILS ASPT WILLIAMS RE CONTACT WITH

Code C

(Include source and any document number if relevant)

Receivers instructions urgent action Yes No

Document registered / indexed as indicated

No(s) of actions raised

Statement readers instructions

Indexed as indicated

No(s) of actions raised

Examined - further action to be taken

Further actions no(s)

When satisfied all action raised Office Manager to endorse other Document Master Number Form.

Code A			
		O/M	SIO
		Indexer	

LAW, Dick

From: Williams, David M
Sent: 17 February 2005 15:37
To: Code A
Cc: Code A Grocott, David; Niven, Nigel; Code A
Subject: Code C

Code A

I telephoned Code C at 1300hrs on Thursday 17th February..

His main complaint was that the Portsmouth News had printed an article suggesting that the casefile in respect of his Code C Code C had been passed to the Crown Prosecution Service and that the investigation had not the courtesy to inform him.

I responded by setting the record straight, that we received notice of the article (not the content) on the day of publication, and that the article tended to misrepresent the situation particularly in terms of the numbers of cases under investigation, the numbers submitted to CPS and the timescales. The reporting had been speculative.. probably upon the basis that the police had given little fresh information to the media..

I informed Mr Code C that the investigation would continue throughout 2005, and that the focus was soon to fall onto his father case, as a category 3. I went into detail regarding the thoroughness of the investigation, the detailed ongoing expert appraisal, and the volume of enquiries generally. I added that he was soon to receive a written update from DI NIVEN.

I concluded that I had telephoned personally as a courtesy given that he was one of a small number complaining about the level of contact and investigation progress. He agreed not to reveal to other family members or the media our contact, and we concluded on cordial terms.

David..

As discussed.. We agreed some time prior to this call that Code C was the next case to be actioned given the KCT members had graded as a 3b across the board..

From: Williams, David M
Sent: 14 February 2005 16:49
To: Code A
Cc:
Subject:

McKeown, Christopher
Sent: 14 February 2005 16:27
To: Williams, David M
Subject: Code C

SIR.

A RATHER TERSE GENTLEMAN, Code C, CALLED 16 10 HRS. MON. 14 02 2005. INITIALLY HE SAID 'I WANT READHEAD' I STARTED TO GIVE HIM THE GENERAL POLICE TEL.NO. THEN HE SAID I WANT THE OTHER ONE "WILLIAMS. I INFORMED HIM THAT YOU WERE NOT IN THE OFFICE AT THE TIME AND HIS REPLY WAS 'WHAT, HE'S GOT A FRONT PAGE SPREAD IN THE LOCAL NEWSPAPER AND HE CAN'T BE BOTHERED TO SPEAK TO PEOPLE, WHERE IS HE I WANT SOME ANSWERS, I WANT TO KNOW IF MY Code C CASE

IS ONE OF THOSE SENT TO THE CPS'
I CONVINCED HIM THAT YOU WERE NOT READILY AVAILABLE
AND HE ASKED/DEMANDED THAT YOU CALL HIM ON
MOBILE [Code C]

REGARDS

[Code A]

[Code A]

Attached a copy of my last letter to [Code C] is this the same case?.. Please speak with [Code C] discussed..

[Code C] Please confirm that the docs indicated in my response were forwarded to the GMC and NMC as discussed..

[Code C]

[Code C]

Thank you for your letters of the 18th November and 26th November 2004, raising your concerns in respect of the care afforded to your [Code C] and the final category 2 assessment by the multi-disciplinary panel of experts examining your [Code C]

You have received general feedback from the legal/medico lawyer commissioned to independently quality assure the findings of the panel of experts.

I can add that the experts took the view in your [Code C] that [Code C] [Code A] [Code A] It was difficult to assess whether the [Code A] driver needed increasing in the last 24 hours but overall the use of [Code A] appeared appropriate. [Code C] without [Code A] being used.

I take on board your concerns and confirm that I have received your enclosures highlighting issues around:-

1. The apparent readiness for the war memorial hospital to prescribe opiate analgesia to your [Code C] shortly after her admission, and the appropriateness of imposing this drug regime, particularly at the levels administered.
2. The issues around pain levels being experienced by your [Code C]
3. The issue that your [Code C] not treated for dehydration on 17th November 1998.
4. That generally your [Code C] did not receive reasonable medical and nursing care after her transfer to Gosport war memorial Hospital on 11th November 1998.

I will ensure that copies of these papers are forwarded to the General Medical Council and Nursing and Midwifery Council who are conducting their own investigations into the care afforded to category 2 patients at Gosport War Memorial Hospital and the conduct of healthcare professionals.

Finally whilst I appreciate that you do not accept the views of the multidisciplinary panel in terms of their assessment, the police have used this process to determine whether or not there is a sufficiency of evidence to justify ongoing criminal investigation.

To prove criminal allegations in respect of the death of your [Code C] requires proof of gross negligence and a standard of care more than minimally contributing towards you [Code C] here is not a sufficiency of suspicion or evidence to meet this standard.

Upon the basis that your [Code C] has been assessed of dying through natural causes there is no realistic prospect of a criminal conviction in this case.

Code A

From: Williams, David M
Sent: 14 February 2005 16:49
To: Code A
Cc:
Subject: Code A

McKeown, Christopher
Sent: 14 February 2005 16:27
To: Williams, David M
Subject: Code C

SIR.

A RATHER TERSE GENTLEMAN, Code C CALLED
16 10 HRS. MON. 14 02 2005. INITIALLY HE SAID 'I WANT READHEAD'
I STARTED TO GIVE HIM THE GENERAL POLICE TEL.NO. THEN HE SAID
I WANT THE OTHER ONE 'WILLIAMS. I INFORMED HIM THAT YOU WERE
NOT IN THE OFFICE AT THE TIME AND HIS REPLY WAS
'WHAT, HE'S GOT A FRONT PAGE SPREAD IN THE LOCAL NEWSPAPER
AND HE CAN'T BE BOTHERED TO SPEAK TO PEOPLE, WHERE IS HE
I WANT SOME ANSWERS, I WANT TO KNOW IF MY Code C CASE
IS ONE OF THOSE SENT TO THE CPS'
I CONVINCED HIM THAT YOU WERE NOT READILY AVAILABLE
AND HE ASKED/DEMANDED THAT YOU CALL HIM ON
MOBILE 07700-000700
Code C

REGARDS

Code A

Code A

Attached a copy of my last letter to Code C is this the same case?.. Please speak with Code C is discussed..

Code C Please confirm that the docs indicated in my response were forwarded to the GMC and NMC as discussed..

Mr WILSON

Code C

Thank you for your letters of the 18th November and 26th November 2004, raising your concerns in respect of the care afforded to your mother prior to her death and the final category 2 assessment by the multi-disciplinary panel of experts examining your Code C

You have received general feedback from the legal/medico lawyer commissioned to independently quality assure the findings of the panel of experts.

I can add that the experts took the view in Code C and fractured neck of femur, she was in pain and distressed hence the use of opiates. It was difficult to assess whether the dose of diamorphine via syringe driver needed increasing in the last 24 hours but overall the use of opiates appeared appropriate. Code C being used.

I take on board your concerns and confirm that I have received your enclosures highlighting issues around:-

1. The apparent readiness for the war memorial hospital to prescribe opiate analgesia to your Code C admission, and the appropriateness of imposing this drug regime, particularly at the levels administered.
2. The issues around pain levels being experienced by your Code C

3. The issue that your [Code C] was not treated for dehydration on 17th November 1998.
4. That generally your [Code C] did not receive reasonable medical and nursing care after her transfer to Gosport War Memorial Hospital on 11th November 1998.

I will ensure that copies of these papers are forwarded to the General Medical Council and Nursing and Midwifery Council who are conducting their own investigations into the care afforded to category 2 patients at Gosport War Memorial Hospital and the conduct of healthcare professionals.

Finally whilst I appreciate that you do not accept the views of the multidisciplinary panel in terms of their assessment, the police have used this process to determine whether or not there is a sufficiency of evidence to justify ongoing criminal investigation.

To prove criminal allegations in respect of the death of you [Code C] requires proof of gross negligence and a standard of care more than minimally contributing towards your mother death, there is not a sufficiency of suspicion or evidence to meet this standard.

Upon the basis that your [Code C] has been assessed of dying through natural causes there is no realistic prospect of a criminal conviction in this case.