Other Document Form	umber	13	315
Title EMAIL DSUPT WILLIAMS/75D RE CONTACT WITH MRS  (Include source and any document number if relevant)	5 MI	ACKEN	7216
Receivers instructions urgent action Yes / No			eiver
Document registered / indexed as indicated			
No(s) of actions raised			l
Statement readers instructions		Coc	ie A
Indexed as indicated	-		
No(s) of actions raised			
Examined - further action to be taken		O/M	SIO
Further actions no(s)	· · ·	Inde	exer

When satisfied all action raised Office Manager to endorse other Document Master Number Form.

## Code A

From:

Williams, David M

Sent:

23 August 2005 15:19

To:

Niven, Nigel

Cc: Subject:

Code A FW: Phone call - Gillian Mackenzie

From:

Williams, David M

Sent:

23 August 2005 15:17

To:

Cc:

Code A Ugaen, Patricia

Subject:

RE: Phone call - Gillian Mackenzie

Emma..

Can you please attach to your MacKenzie file..

We have spoken with Mrs Mackenzie..

We have written to her...

I have arranged to meet her at Fareham Police Station on Friday 2nd September...

She is a nice woman with a genuine grievance in terms of the care afforded to her mother. however this in no longer a criminal investigation..

I have attached the policy entry detailing the rationale for releasing her case from criminal investigation, for the attention of PSD upon receipt of the inevitable complaint...

### Thanks

Dave WILLIAMS Det Supt OP ROCHESTER.



Operation CHESTER Richards I

From:

Sent:

Code A 19 August 2005 13:29

Williams, David M

Subject:

Phone call - Gillian Mackenzie

#### Good afternoon

I've just received a phone call from Gillian Mackenzie.

She spoke regarding the messages that you left on her answer phone.

She feels that sending an officer to see her at Eastbourne is a waste of police time and that she simply wants to know either way of the decision.

She also stated that she would be in Fareham in the next couple of weeks.

Kind Regards

Code A

Administration Assistant **Professional Standards Department** 



## Operation ROCHESTER. Policy Decision 17.08.2005.

The Death of Gladys RICHARDS (Category 2)
Decision to release from further police investigation.

Following the death of 91yr old Gladys RICHARDS at Gosport War memorial Hospital on 21<sup>st</sup> August 1998 her daughter made an allegation of unlawful killing (grossly negligent care)

A police investigation led to a file of evidence being submitted to the Crown Prosecution Service in the autumn of 1998. In March 1999 the CPS advised that there was insufficient evidence to commence criminal proceedings.

The investigation continued during 1999 and 2000 police commissioning further expert opinion.

A file of evidence was submitted to the CPS in January 2001. Again, after due consideration, the CPS advised the police in August 2001 that there was insufficient evidence to prosecute any individual or body.

In the light of additional allegations in respect of deaths of other patients further expert opinion was commissioned and files of evidence submitted to the CPS in September 2002.

In November 2002 the CPS again advised that was insufficient evidence upon which to base a prosecution.

Operation ROCHESTER a wider investigation into 'unlawful killing claims' commenced in September 2002, ultimately 90 deaths of Gosport War Memorial Hospital patients were and continue to be investigated by police.

All of these cases were reviewed by a multi-disciplinary team of experts in toxicology, palliative, geriatric, general medicine and nursing. Their task was to provide an analysis of the medical records of each of the patients and to categorise each case into 3 separate groups.

The category 1 group contained cases where the treatment provided was considered to be optimal. All have been released from police investigation.

The category 2 group contained cases where the treatment was considered to be sub optimal but not extending to gross negligence to a criminal standard.

The case of Gladys RICHARDS was assessed as a category 2 and at the lower end of that scale ie closer to a one than a three.

All but the category 2 cases of RICHARDS and PACKMAN have been released from police investigation and forwarded to the General Medical Council and Nursing and Midwifery Council for their consideration.

The category 3 group contained cases assessed as 'negligent' in terms of the care provided. It is these cases that continue to be forwarded to the CPS for their ongoing consideration.

The categorisation by the multi-disciplinary team was quality assured by a legal/medico lawyer who also took into account particular concerns raised by deceased family members.

The independent medico legal advisor suggested that in the light of ongoing concerns raised by the daughter of Glady's RICHARDS, Mrs Gillian MacKENZIE that a final expert witness view should be sought in respect of the categorisation.

Medical expert Dr David BLACK reported concerns in respect of the standard of medical and nursing notes, and anticipatory prescription and dosage of opioid analgesia, however it was reported that the expert did not believe that this contributed in any significant way to Mrs RICHARDS death. Dr BLACK added that the patient presented as one with major progressive and end stage pathology (a dementing illness) developing a second pathology (fractured neck of the femur) gradually deteriorating and dying. Whilst the dose of diamorphine prescribed on 17th August 1998 was sub optimally high it did not contribute in any significant way to Mrs RICHARDS death and her death was through natural causes, in his view fractured neck of the femur and severe dementia.

Upon the basis that this case does not fall into the category 3 status there is no justification for further police investigation, accordingly no further police action other than to forward papers to the GMC and NMC will be taken.

This decision will be delivered personally to the daughters of the deceased by the SIO Det Supt WILLIAMS and Dep SIO DI NIVEN. This is the culmination of a seven year police investigation during which Gillian MACKENZIE in particular has been passionate in the raising of a multiplicity of concerns surrounding the investigation. The SIO considers a personal visit and explanation of the decision entirely appropriate under these circumstances.

# **D.M.WILLIAMS** Det Supt