

**Other Document Form**

Number

1347

Title E-mail D.WILLIAMS re Prof BAKER action  
(Include source and any document number if relevant)

Receivers instructions urgent action Yes / No \_\_\_\_\_

Document registered / indexed as indicated \_\_\_\_\_

No(s) of actions raised See A1851

Statement readers instructions \_\_\_\_\_

Indexed as indicated \_\_\_\_\_

No(s) of actions raised \_\_\_\_\_

Examined - further action to be taken \_\_\_\_\_

Further actions no(s) \_\_\_\_\_

When satisfied all action raised Office Manager to endorse other Document Master Number Form.

<b>Code A</b>		
O/M	SIO	
Indexer		

**Code A**

---

**From:** Williams, David M  
**Sent:** 06 December 2005 07:30  
**To:** **Code A**  
**Cc:** Grocott, David; Niven, Nigel; **Code A**  
**Subject:** RE: Op Rochester  
**Sensitivity:** Confidential

Professor BAKER has correctly identified that his comments are not clear. However he provides supporting evidence re WILSON. Dave GROCOTT to write to Prof BAKER with the framework previously deployed to our experts to construct his statement in evidential terms. I think that we can restrict his specific commentary in terms of patient care to WILSON as Cunningham seems to be out of the frame according to WILCOCK and BLACK. Thanks.DW.

---

**From:** **Code A**  
**Sent:** 28 November 2005 12:48  
**To:** Williams, David M; Niven, Nigel; Grocott, David; **Code A**  
**Subject:** FW: Op Rochester  
**Sensitivity:** Confidential

Gents,

Please see report with this e-mail. I have not as yet fully read it myself, it will clearly require further discussion.

Regards

**Code A**

---

**From:** Baker, Prof R. [mailto: **Code A**]  
**Sent:** 28 November 2005 12:37  
**To:** **Code A**  
**Subject:** RE: Op Rochester  
**Sensitivity:** Confidential

Dear **Code A**

Here is a report. I hope it addresses the points raised by Counsel, but please let me know if my comments are not clear.

Richard Baker

**Code A**

---

08/12/2005

**Code A**

**From:** Williams, David M  
**Sent:** 06 December 2005 07:30  
**To:** **Code A**  
**Cc:** Grocott, David; Niven, Nigel; **Code A**  
**Subject:** RE: Op Rochester  
**Sensitivity:** Confidential

Professor BAKER has correctly identified that his comments are not clear. However he provides supporting evidence re WILSON. Dave GROCCOTT to write to Prof BAKER with the framework previously deployed to our experts to construct his statement in evidential terms. I think that we can restrict his specific commentary in terms of patient care to WILSON as Cunningham seems to be out of the frame according to WILCOCK and BLACK. Thanks.DW.

**From:** **Code A**  
**Sent:** 28 November 2005 12:48  
**To:** Williams, David M; Niven, Nigel; Grocott, David; **Code A**  
**Subject:** FW: Op Rochester  
**Sensitivity:** Confidential

Gents,

Please see report with this e-mail. I have not as yet fully read it myself, it will clearly require further discussion.

Regards

**Code A**

**From:** Baker, Prof R. **Code A**  
**Sent:** 28 November 2005 12:37  
**To:** **Code A**  
**Subject:** RE: Op Rochester  
**Sensitivity:** Confidential

Dear **Code A**

Here is a report. I hope it addresses the points raised by Counsel, but please let me know if my comments are not clear.

Richard Baker

**Code A**

06/12/2005