Other Document Form Number	[-	1481	+
THE EMAIL DEUPT WILLIAMS REPOSSIBLE MISCRADING FEN		LETTER	S
(Include source and any document number if relevant)			
Receivers instructions urgent action Yes / No			
Document registered / indexed as indicated	R		
No(s) of actions raised			
Statement readers instructions	Π	Code A	
Indexed as indicated			
No(s) of actions raised			
Examined - further action to be taken	Π		,
	Γ		
Further actions no(s)	┢	Indexer	
When satisfied all action raised Office Manager to endorse other Document Master Number Form.	L		

T

Code A

From:	Williams, David
Sent:	20 December 2006 15:11
То:	Code A
Cc:	Niven, Nigel; Code A
Subject:	RE: Rocheste

code A. Thanks..

There may be a simple explanation for this..

Nigel.. Appreciate this was eons ago .. prior to my arriving .. Any recollections? Code A Can you please do the research on this and let us know the final situation...

By 5th January 2007 please ...

Thanks...DW.

From:	Code A
Sent:	20 December 2006 14:55
То:	Williams, David; Grocott, David
Subject:	FW: Rochester

For your information it would appear that some of the final letters sent out have stated that the patients were graded 1 when in fact it appears that the KCT graded them as 2.

Roy

From:	Code A
Sent:	13 December 2006 15:11
То:	Code A
Subject:	Rochester

Roy

It appears that there may be possible discrepancies between the KCT medical gradings and the final disposition letter for the following.

Stanley Martin Lillian Taylor Lily Attree Horace Smith Irene Brennam Jean Stevensl