

**Other Document Form**

Number

1490

Title **EMAIL** **Code A** RE FINAL GRADING / DISPOSITION LETTERS  
(Include source and any document number if relevant)

Receivers instructions urgent action Yes / No \_\_\_\_\_

Document registered / indexed as indicated \_\_\_\_\_

No(s) of actions raised \_\_\_\_\_

Statement readers instructions \_\_\_\_\_

Indexed as indicated \_\_\_\_\_

No(s) of actions raised \_\_\_\_\_

Examined - further action to be taken \_\_\_\_\_

Further actions no(s) \_\_\_\_\_

<b>Code A</b>	
Indexer	

When satisfied all action raised Office Manager to endorse other Document Master Number Form.

**Code A**

**From:** Williams, David  
**Sent:** 02 January 2007 09:46  
**To:**  
**Cc:**  
**Subject:** RE: Rochester

**Code A**

Thanks Kate..

DW.

---

**From:** **Code A**  
**Sent:** 01 January 2007 13:21  
**To:** Williams, David  
**Subject:** RE: Rochester

Sir,  
 When the initial gradings were issued, a number of cases could not be scored by the clinical team due to lack of information due to not being in possession of feeder notes. These cases were scored as 2 as a holding grade. In the case of Jean Stevens, she was graded a 3 and thought to be one of our more serious cases. After feeder notes were obtained and inspected by the KCT, DURING the 3rd meeting with the clinical team she was moved to a grade 1. the other cases were scored as 1's . Brennan initially was a 2 due to the fact that her daughter was working on the ward at the time!. I submitted an or at the time as I sat in on the meeting. This was policied at the time. I am unable to access holmes to give you the relevant or number. I have spoken with **Code A** and he has explained why the issue came up. Nigel should be aware of the rational. Sorry as this is brief but I'm not working today and will be away from 2/01/07 until 24/01. Nigel should be able to fill you in with the full sp

Hope this helps,  
 regards,

**Code A**


---

**From:** Williams, David  
**Sent:** 20 December 2006 15:11  
**To:** **Code A**  
**Cc:** Niven, Nigel; Weeks, Kathryn; Law, Dick  
**Subject:** RE: Rochester

**Code A** Thanks..

There may be a simple explanation for this..  
 Nigel.. Appreciate this was eons ago..prior to my arriving.. Any recollections?  
 Kate.. Can you please do the research on this and let us know the final situation..  
 By 5th January 2007 please..  
 Thanks..DW.

---

**From:** **Code A**  
**Sent:** 20 December 2006 14:55  
**To:** Williams, David; Grocott, David  
**Subject:** FW: Rochester

For your information it would appear that some of the final letters sent out have stated that the patients were graded 1 when in fact it appears that the KCT graded them as 2.

**Code A**

---

**From:** Code A  
**Sent:** 13 December 2006 15:11  
**To:** Stephenson, Roy  
**Subject:** Rochester

Roy

It appears that there may be possible discrepancies between the KCT medical gradings and the final disposition letter for the following.

Stanley Martin  
Lillian Taylor  
Lily Attree  
Horace Smith  
Irene Brennam  
Jean Stevensl