MPSAL	
7	
3	
TARILY	

Other Document Form

MC7

Number:

25

VSTABULT						
Title	E-MALL	CENTE	Revenue	KEDICAL	verono!	Š
(Include source of	and any document num					•
Receivers instru	uctions urgent action	Yes / No				
					R	eceiver
Document regi	stered / indexed as in	dicated			Co	de A
No(s) of action	ns raised					
Statement read	ders instructions				Staten	nent Reader
Indexed as indi	cated				1	ndexer
No(s) of action	ns raised					
Examined - fur	ther action to be take	en			O/M	SIO
Further action no(s)			1	Indexer		
When satisfied © Hantspol Reprogra		ce Manager to end	lorse other Document Ma	ster Form.		

Code A

From:

Code A

Sent:

17 December 2007 08:55

To:

'Hall, Tamsin'

Cc:

Williams, David

Subject: RE: GMC v Dr Jane Barton

Tasmin

Come and view them anytime, when you take them away is all a matter of logistics.

Code A

From: Hall, Tamsir

Code C

Sent: 14 December 2007 15:50

To: [____ Code A

Subject: RE: GMC v Dr Jane Barton

Thanks for sending the information to me. I look forward to receiving it.

I have been in contact with the experts. In fact I have had a conference with Professor Black. He has agreed to act as the expert for us (although this is subject to final approval from the GMC and they have not made their final decision). I have also spoken to Dr Wilcock (who did not want to assist us due to other work commitments).

With regard to the medical records I think we would need them for the hearing definitely - this is listed for September and October 2008. It would be helpful to have the chance to review them before that though. As we discussed, perhaps we could have them and forward them to the Coroner as necessary?

Thanks for your help.

Tamsin

Tamsin Hall | Solicitor

for Field Fisher Waterhouse LLP

dd + Code A

Mobile Code A

Code A @hampshire.pnn.police.uk [mailto: Code A @hampshire.pnn.police.uk]

Sent: Friday, December 14, 2007 9:42 AM

To: Hall, Tamsin

Code C

Subject: RE: GMC v Dr Jane Barton

Tasmin

Firstly I can confirm that we have the original medical records for all those listed albeit some were from microfiche and that you are more than welcome to review them. When are you looking to proceed? depending on this and the date of the coroners inquest this may

affect the release of these records.

With regard to the witnesses I can confirm that we are happy for you to contact them directly they have all been informed in the past that the investigation would be referred to the GMC to determine whether general' standard of care' issues require further investigation.

I have today put in the post a copy of the documents that we have previously supplied to the GMC with respect of Alice WILKIE, Jean STEVENS and Code Code Con respect of Purnell and Wilkie their family contact was interviewed and a report of that interview was recorded by the officer. In respect of Stevens statements were taken from her husband Ernest, daughter June Bailey and her son in law Edward Bailey. As far as I can ascertain Mrs Stevens did not have a son.

I have also included a copy of the photographs VGR/1.

Can you please inform me whether you will be contacting any of the expert witnesses, in particular Dr's Black and Wilcock.

Thanks

Code A

From: Hall, Tamsin Code C

Sent: 12 December 2007 12:36

To: Code A

Subject: RE: GMC v Dr Jane Barton

Code A

Sorry for the delay in putting this in writing after our phone conversation on 5 December 2007.

Please find attached the list of the witnesses who I will be contacting. As agreed, I would be grateful if you would write to them to let them know that you have passed their details onto us and we will be contacting them on behalf of the General Medical Council very shortly. I am happy for you to put my contact details in your letter.

We are on a tight timeframe for this investigation and therefore I will need to write to them by the end of this week. Will this co-ordinate with your letters?

With regard to the patients we require the orginal records for - these are:

- 1. Gladys Richards
- 2. Elsie Lavender
- 3. Robert Wilson
- 4. Eva Page
- 5. Sheila Gregory
- 6. Arthur Cunningham

Page 3 of 5

7. Helena Service
8. Elsie Devine
9. Alice Wilkie
10. Ruby Lake
11. Geoffrey Packman
12. Code C
13. Enid Spurgin
14. Code C
15. Jean Stevens
We will require all original records that you have. I understand that the GP records are not in your possession but would be accessible if we need them in due course. I do not need them at this time.
Have you had a chance to look to see if you have any further information for Alice Wilkie/Jean Stevens and Edna Purnell?
Also, in some of the generic folder it mentions exhibits that are not included: eg photographs. exhibit VGR/1 which should be an album of photographs.
Thanks
Tamsin
Tamsin Hall Solicitor for Field Fisher Waterhouse LLP ddCode A
Mobile Code A
From: Code A @hampshire.pnn.police.uk [mailto: Code A @hampshire.pnn.police.uk] Sent: Wednesday, November 28, 2007 9:19 AM To: Hall, Tamsin Subject: RE: GMC v Dr Jane Barton
Tasmin Only a couple of guestions
Only a couple of questions, What medical records are you interested in can you supply me with a list of those that you
require ? How many witnesses do you intend to take further statements from? I may require a list

later.

Thanks

Code A

From: Hall, Tamsin

Code C

Sent: 26 November 2007 17:46

To: Code A

Code A

Subject: GMC v Dr Jane Barton

Code A

Thank you for your e-mail of 15 October 2007 which you sent via my secretary.

I note your comments about Professor Baker's report and we will request disclosure from the office of The Chief Medical Officer as you suggest.

I would like to request your help with some other issues.

Medical Records

Do you know the whereabouts of the original medical records? We will need these for the hearing in due course and it would be helpful to have them as soon as possible in order that we make sure that the copies we have are complete and that we are working from as legible a set as possible. If you have them then I can request disclosure formally under separate cover if you require me to do so.

Alice Wilkie

Were any statements taken for this case? We have the medical records and it would appear that a police officer spoke to her daughter M. _____ after she had made a complaint. However we do not have any statements. We would be grateful if you could confirm if there are any statements and provide us with copies if there are.

Jean Stevens / Code C

We do not have any information, other than medical records, with regard to these patients. I have spoken to Mrs Stevens son and he has informed me that interviews were carried out by the Police. We do not, however, have copies of any statements or transcripts of interviews. Please could you advise what information you do have in relation to these patients and then provide us with copies.

Witness addresses / contact

We are now in a position to contact those witnesses whom we would like to take a further statement from. We have their addresses on the witness lists that you sent us but I would like you to confirm if you are happy for me to contact them directly or if you would like to write to them to confirm that I will be contacting them.

I will be able to supply you with a list of those witnesses we wish to contact.

If you wish to discuss any of these issues in order to clarify exactly what we need then please do give me a call.

Thank you for your ongoing assistance.

Regards

Tamsin Hall | Solicitor for Field Fisher Waterhouse LLP

dd +{		
Mobile	Code A	

Consider the environment, think before you print!

Field Fisher Waterhouse LLP Portland Tower Portland Street Manchester M1 3LF Tel+44 (0)161 238 4900 Fax+44 (0)161 237 5357 E-mail info@ffw.com Web www.ffw.com CDE823

FFW does not accept service of documents by e-mail for Court or other purposes unless expressly agreed in writing beforehand. For service to be effective, the sender must receive an express acknowledgement of receipt from the person intended to be served.

This e-mail may contain privileged and confidential information. If you receive it in error please tell the sender and do not copy, distribute or take any action in reliance upon it. You should ensure this e-mail and any attachments are virus free. E-mail is not a 100% virus-free or secure medium. It is your responsibility to ensure that viruses do not adversely affect your system and that your messages to us meet your own security requirements. We reserve the right to read any e-mail or attachment entering or leaving our systems without notice.

Field Fisher Waterhouse LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales (registered number OC318472) and is regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority. A list of its members and their professional qualifications is available at its registered office, 35 Vine Street, London, EC3N 2AA.

We use the term partner to refer to a member of Field Fisher Waterhouse LLP, or an employee or consultant with equivalent standing and qualifications.

This electronic message contains information from Hampshire Constabulary which may be legally privileged and confidential. Any opinions expressed may be those of the individual and not necessarily the Hampshire Constabulary.

The information is intended to be for the use of the individual(s) or entity named above. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of the information is prohibited. If you have received this electronic message in error, please notify us by telephone

+44 (0) 845 045 45 or email to postmaster@hampshire.pnn.police.uk immediately. Please then delete this email and destroy any copies of it.

All communications, including telephone calls and electronic messages

to and from the Hampshire Constabulary may be subject to monitoring. Replies to this email may be seen by employees other than the intended recipient.
