

Other Document Form

MC7

lumber: 1935

Title or stormer Rain of GMC FIR HORALING			
(Include source and any document number if relevant)			
Receivers instructions urgent action Yes / No			
	Rece	eiver	
Document registered / indexed as indicated		exer	
No(s) of actions raised			
Statement readers instructions	Statement Reader		
	į		
Indexed as indicated		Indexer	
No(s) of actions raised			
Examined - further action to be taken	O/M	SIO	
Further action no(s)	no(s) Indexer		
When satisfied all action raised, Office Manager to endorse other Document Master Form.			

Operation Rochester.

Review of General Medical Council Fitness to practise panel from 8th June to 21st August 2009.

Generic facts found proved and/or admitted.

At all material times you were a medical practitioner working as a clinical assistant in elderly medicine at the Gosport War Memorial Hospital ("GWMH"), Hampshire;

- (a) You did not keep clear, accurate and contemporaneous notes in relation to Patients A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J K and/or L 's care and in particular you did not sufficiently record,
 - (i) the findings upon each examination,
 - (ii) an assessment of the patient's condition,
 - (iii) the decisions made as a result of examination,
 - (iv) the drug regime,
 - (v) the reason for the drug regime prescribed by you,
 - (vi) the reason for the changes in the drug regime prescribed and/or directed by you,
- (b) Your actions and omissions in relation to keeping notes for Patients A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J, K and/or L were,
 - (i) inappropriate,
 - (ii) not in the best interests of your patients;

Lesile Pittock. Facts admitted and/or proved.

2. (a) (i) Patient A was admitted to Dryad Ward at the GWMH on 5 January 1996 for long term care,



(b) In relation to your prescriptions described in paragraphs 2(a)(ii) and 2(a)(iii),

Code A

- (d) Your prescription described at paragraphs 2(a)(vi) in combination with the other drugs already prescribed were excessive to the patient's needs,
- (e) Your actions in prescribing the drugs as described in paragraphs 2(a)(ii), (iii), were,
 - (i) inappropriate,
 - (ii) potentially hazardous,
 - (iii) not in the best interests of Patient A;

Dr Barton gave her evidence in chief on day 25 (Page 76) with regard to Mr PITTOCK during

Elsie Lavender. Facts admitted and/or proved.

3. (a) (i) Patient B was admitted to Daedalus Ward at the GWMH on 22 February 1996,



(b)

- (c) Your actions in prescribing the drugs described in paragraphs 3(a), (iii) and/or (iv) were,
 - (i) inappropriate,
 - (ii) potentially hazardous,
 - (iii) not in the best interests of Patient B,
- (d) In relation to your management of Patient B you,
 - (ii) did not conduct an adequate assessment as Patient B's condition deteriorated,
 - (iv) did not obtain the advice of a colleague when Patient B's condition deteriorated,
- (e) Your actions and omissions in relation to your management of patient B were,
 - (i) inadequate,
 - (ii) not in the best interests of Patient B;

Dr Barton gave her evidence in chief on day 25 (Pg 86) with regard to Mrs LAVENDER during which Dr

Code A

Eva PAGE. Facts admitted and/or proved.

4. (a) (i) on 27 February 1998 Patient C was transferred to Dryad Ward at GWMH for palliative care,

Code A

- (b) In relation to your prescription for drugs described in paragraph 4(a)(ii),
 - (i) Code A
 - (ii) the prescription created a situation whereby drugs could be administered to the patient which were excessive to the Patient C's needs,
- (c) Your actions in prescribing the drugs described in paragraph 4(a)(ii) were,
 - (i) inappropriate,
 - (ii) potentially hazardous,
 - (iii) not in the best interests of your patient;

Dr Barton gave her evidence in chief on day 26 (Pg 53) with regard to Mrs PAGE during which Dr Barton justified her prescription on 3rd March for two reasons, one was to covered to the covered to the

Alice WILKIE, Facts admitted and/or proved.

5. (a) (i) on 6 August 1998 Patient D was transferred to Daedalus Ward at GWMH for continuing care observation,

Code A

- (b) In relation to your prescription for drugs as described in paragraph 5(a)(ii),
 - (i) the dose range was too wide,
 - (ii) the prescription created a situation whereby drugs could be administered to Patient D which were excessive to the patient's needs,
- (c) Your actions in prescribing the drugs as described in paragraph 5(a)(ii) were,
 - (i) inappropriate,
 - (ii) potentially hazardous,
 - (iii) not in the best interests of Patient D;

Code A

interest.

Gladys RICHARDS. Facts admitted and/or proved.

6. (a) (i) Patient E was admitted to Daedalus Ward at GWMH on

11 August 1998 after an operation Code A

Royal Haslar Hospital,

Code A

(b) In relation to your prescription for drugs described in paragraph 6(a)(iii),

- (i) the dose range was too wide,
- (ii) the prescription created a situation whereby drugs could be administered to Patient E which were excessive to the patient's needs,
- (c) Your actions in prescribing the drugs described in paragraph 6(a) (ii) and/or (iii) were,
 - (i) inappropriate,
 - (ii) potentially hazardous,
 - (iii) not in the best interests of Patient E;

Ruby LAKE, Facts admitted and/or proved.

Patient F was admitted to Dryad Ward at GWMH on 7. (a) Code A on 18 August 1998 you (ii) Code A (as required), Code A In relation to your prescription for drugs described in paragraph 7(a)(iii), (b) (i) the dose range was too wide, the prescription created a situation whereby drugs could be administered to Patient F which were excessive to the patient's needs, Your actions in prescribing the drugs described in paragraphs 7(a) (iii) were, (c) (i) inappropriate, (ii) potentially hazardous,

(iii) not in the best interests of Patient F;

Code A

Arthur CUNNINGHAM. Facts admitted and/or proved.

8. (a) (i) Patient G was admitted to Dryad Ward at GWMH on

- (b) In relation to your prescriptions for drugs described in paragraphs 8(a)(ii) and/or
- (iii),
- (i) the dose range was too wide,
- (ii) the prescription created a situation whereby drugs could be administered to Patient G which were excessive to the patient's needs,
- (c) Your actions in prescribing the drugs described in paragraphs 8(a) (ii) and/or (iii), were,
 - (i) inappropriate,
 - (ii) potentially hazardous,
 - (iii) not in the best interests of Patient G,
- (d) You did not obtain the advice of a colleague when Patient G's condition deteriorated;

Dr Barton gave her evidence in chief on day 28 (Pg 2) with regard to Mr Cunningham during which Dr Barton justified her prescription on 21st Sentember 1998 as she was aware of how very ill Mr Cunningham

Code A

Dr Barton does not comment on the prescription written on 25th September 1998.

Code A

Dr Barton did not think that it was appropriate to take the advice of a consultant with regard to Mr Cunningham.

Robert WILSON. Facts admitted and/or proved.

9. Patient H was admitted to Dryad Ward GWMH on (a) 14 October 1998 for ongoing assessment and possible rehabilitation suffering Code A (ii) (iii) Code A (iv) (b) In lig to giv (i) inappropriate, (ii) potentially hazardous, (iv) not in the best interests of Patient H,

(c)	In relation to your prescription described in paragraph (9)(a)(iii),		
	(i)	the dose range was too wide,	

- (ii) the prescription created a situation whereby drugs could be administered to Patient H which were excessive to the patient's needs,
- (d) Your actions in prescribing the drugs described in paragraphs 9(a)(ii), (iii) and (iv) were,
 - (i) inappropriate,
 - (ii) potentially hazardous,
 - (iii) not in the best interests of Patient H.,
- (e) You did not obtain the advice of a colleague when Patient H's condition deteriorated;

Enid SPURGIN. Facts admitted and/or proved.

10 (a) (i) Patient I was admitted to Dryad ward at GWMH on
26 March 1999 following her treatment fo
the Haslar Hospital,

Code A

- (c) In relation to your prescription for drugs described in paragraph 10(a)(ii),
 - (i) the dose range was too wide,
 - (ii) the prescription created a situation whereby drugs could be administered to Patient I which were excessive to the patient's needs,
- (d) Your actions in prescribing the drugs described in paragraph 10(a)(ii) were,
 - (i) inappropriate,
 - (ii) potentially hazardous,
 - (iii) not in the best interests of Patient I,
 - (e) The dosage you authorised/directed described in paragraph 10(a)(iii) was excessive to Patient I's needs. This was,
 - (i) inappropriate,
 - (ii) potentially hazardous,
 - (iii) not in the best interests of Patient I;

Code A

Geoffrey PACKMAN. Facts admitted and/or proved.

11 (a) (i) Patient J was admitted to Dryad Ward at GWMH on 23 August 1999 following his treatment at the Queen Alexandra Hospital where the patient had been admitted as an emergency following a fall at home,

Code A

- (b) In relation to your prescription for drugs described in paragraph 11(a)(v),
 - (i) Code A prescribed were too high,
 - (ii) the dose range was too wide,
 - (iii) the prescription created a situation whereby drugs could be administered to Patient J which were excessive to the patient's needs,
- (c) Your actions in prescribing the drugs described in paragraphs 11(a)(v) were,
 - (i) inappropriate,
 - (ii) potentially hazardous,
 - (iii) not in the best interests of Patient J,
- (d) Your failure to obtain medical advice and/or undertake further investigation described in paragraph 11(a)(iv) was,
 - (i) inappropriate,
 - (ii) not in the best interests of Patient J;

Elsie DEVINE. Facts admitted and/or proved.

12 (a) (i) Patient K was admitted to Dryad Ward at GWMH for continuing care on 21 October 1999 from Queen Alexandra Hospital She was reported to

Code A

- (b) The prescription on admission described in paragraph 12(a)(ii) was not justified by the patient's presenting symptoms,
- (c) In relation to your prescription for drugs described in paragraph 12(a)(iv),
 - (i) the lowest doses of diamorphine and midazolam prescribed were too high,
 - (ii) the dose range was too wide, only with regard to the midazolam,
 - (iii) the prescription created a situation whereby drugs could be administered to Patient K which were excessive to the patient's needs,
- (d) Your actions in prescribing the drugs described in paragraphs 12(a)(ii), (iii) and/or (iv) were,
 - (i) inappropriate,
 - (ii) potentially hazardous,
 - (iii) not in the best interests of Patient K,

(e) You did not obtain the advice of a colleague when Patient K's condition deteriorated;

Jean STEVENS. Facts admitted and/or proved.

- 13 (a) (i) Patient L was admitted to Daedalus Ward at GWMH on 20 May 1999 following a period of treatment at the Haslar Hospital figure Code A
 - (ii) on 20 May 1999 you prescribed,
 - (a) Code A

Code A

- (b) In relation to your prescription for drugs described in paragraph 13(a)(ii) and/or (iii),
 - (i) there was insufficient clinical justification for such prescriptions,
 - (ii) Code A
 - (iii) the prescriptions created a situation whereby drugs could be administered which were excessive to the patient's needs,
 - (iv) your actions in prescribing the drugs described in paragraph 13(a)(ii) and or (iii) were,
 - (a) inappropriate,
 - (b) potentially hazardous,
 - (c) not in the best interests of patient L,
- (c) You did not obtain the advice of a colleague when Patient L's condition deteriorated;