

 Field Fisher Waterhouse

FFW/49/03

GENERAL MEDICAL COUNCIL

-and-

DR BARTON

GEOFFREY PACKMAN

GENERAL MEDICAL COUNCIL

-and-

DR BARTON

GEOFFREY PACKMAN

GENERAL MEDICAL COUNCIL**DR BARTON**

Index to Geoffrey Packman's Files

File 1

1. Summary of Evidence. ✓ *Missing*
2. Detailed Summary of Evidence. ✓
3. Report prepared by Dr Andrew Wilcock dated 28 March 2006. ✓
4. Interviews.
 - (a) Dr Jane Ann Barton dated 17 November 2005 at 09:14. ✓
 - (b) Dr Jane Ann Barton dated 6 April 2006 at 09:01. ✓
 - (c) Dr Jane Ann Barton dated 6 April 2006 at 09:42. ✓
 - (d) Dr Jane Ann Barton dated 6 April 2006 at 10:34. ✓
 - (e) Dr Jane Ann Barton dated 6 April 2006 at 11:21. ✓
 - (f) Dr Jane Ann Barton dated 6 April 2006 at 13:11. ✓
 - (g) Dr Jane Ann Barton dated 6 April 2006 at 13:54. ✓
 - (h) Dr Jane Ann Barton dated 6 April 2006 at 13:59. ✓
 - (i) Dr Jane Ann Barton dated 6 April 2006 at 14:53. ✓
 - (j) Dr Jane Ann Barton dated 6 April 2006 at 15:38. ✓

File 2

5. Statement of Dr Jane Barton regarding Geoffrey Packman (undated).
6. Witness List and Witness Statements.

- (a) Victoria Jane Packman dated 18 January 2006.
- (b) Betty Packman dated 17 January 2006.
- (c) Elliott Beresford dated 25 January 2006.
- (d) Dorothy Beresford dated 25 January 2006.
- (e) Mark Simon Packman dated 26 March 2006.
- (f) Ruth Elizabeth Topping dated 26 March 2006.
- (g) David Michael Latham dated 1 December 2005.
- (h) Margaret Miriam Sherwin dated 24 March 2006.
- (i) Richard Harrison Chinn dated 30 January 2006.
- (j) Stephen Gregory Chiverton dated 2 February 2006.
- (k) Stephen Gerard Keohane dated 22 February 2006.
- (l) Wendy Iona Beadles dated 22 April 2006.
- (m) Claire Louise Davies dated 10 January 2006.
- (n) Claire Teresa Dowse dated 16 January 2006.
- (o) Arumugam Ravindrane dated 19 January 2006.
- (p) Arumugam Ravindrane dated 23 March 2006.
- (q) Gillian Elizabeth Hamblin dated 17 February 2006.
- (r) Siobhan Marie Collins dated 4 February 2006.
- (s) Shirley Sandra Hallmann dated 1 February 2006.
- (t) Jeanette Elizabeth Florio dated 8 February 2006.
- (u) Beverley Anne Turnbull dated 27 February 2006.
- (v) Anita Tubbritt dated 27 April 2006.

- (w) Irene Margaret Dorrington dated 15 February 2006.
- (x) Mary Elizabeth Fields dated 2 May 2006.
- (y) Jennie Hazel Victoria Press dated 7 December 2004.
- (z) Kathy Doris Eborn dated 24 November 2005.
- (aa) Christopher Scott Yates dated 17 November 2005
- (bb) Christopher Scott Yates dated 11 January 2006.
- (cc) Geoffrey James Quade dated 9 January 2006.

~~Police officer witness statements~~
~~Transcript suspect interviews~~

SUMMARY OF EVIDENCE

CASE OF GEOFFREY PACKMAN

Background/Family Observations

Geoffrey PACKMAN was born in Derbyshire on 15th April 1932. He was known as Mick, he had three sisters and always worked in office jobs. He met his future wife Betty whilst working in local government in Derbyshire and they married in July 1956.

In 1964 Mick and Betty adopted their son Mark and in 1967 they adopted their daughter Victoria (known as Vicky). At this time Mick was working in insurance in London and in 1969 the family moved to Emsworth in Hampshire.

At this time Mick was fit and healthy; he was on the Committee of the Sea Cadets and would attend the annual camp. He would also 'run the line' for his son's football team. Whilst on an annual camp he injured his knee and his mobility decreased. Due to this his legs started to swell and he began to put on weight.

In 1983 Mick had a falling out at work and became a taxi driver for a local company. In order to do so he had a medical and was found to have high blood pressure and weighed 17/18 stone. In 1985 he started his own business with a friend but after a couple of years the business collapsed and Mick retired, he was 57 years old. During his time as a taxi driver he put on a considerable amount of weight.

By 1998 Mick was virtually housebound. He did not drink alcohol but drank fizzy drinks and liked sweets and crisps. He would sit in his chair in the lounge and listen to classical music. He even started up a music club and friends would visit and listen to music with him. He continued to put on weight and his legs would constantly weep fluid, he couldn't walk properly and had to lean on the furniture and walls to get around the house. For the last 2 or 3 years of his life he had a health visitor who came in and changed the dressings on his legs three times a week.

On 6th August 1999 Mick got stuck on the toilet at home. Four people were needed to get him off the toilet and downstairs. He was admitted via the A & E Department at Queen Alexandra Hospital to Ann Ward. He made good progress his legs dried up and he looked the best he had for years. He was happy, chatty, eating and drinking properly and keen to get home. After two to three weeks he was transferred to Dryad Ward at Gosport War Memorial Hospital for recuperation and rehabilitation.

The family visited on a daily basis and initially he was fine. He was eating and drinking properly, never complained of any pain and was in good spirits.

After a couple of days Mrs PACKMAN whilst visiting Mick was taken to one side by a lady doctor who said in a very abrupt manner, "Your husband is going to die and you have to look after yourself now". It wasn't explained to her why or when this would happen.

At about this time Mrs PACKMAN also received a call from the hospital telling her that Mick had had a heart attack. On visiting him he told her that he hadn't had a heart attack just that he had a bout of indigestion that he always suffered from.

Two or three days after this conversation Mick deteriorated and became 'spaced out'. His eyes were glazed, his head would nod and he had to be propped up in bed. When awake he could still talk but had to be fed. He then became unconscious, he was on diamorphine but no one explained why.

On 3rd September 1999 Mr PACKMAN died. The cause of death on the death certificate was given as heart attack.

Medical history of Geoffrey PACKMAN.

Geoffrey Packman was a sixty eight year old gentleman who was admitted as an emergency on the 6th August 1999 to Portsmouth Hospitals NHS Trust following an attendance at A&E.

He had suffered from gross (morbid) obesity for many years, he had also had venous leg ulceration for at least five years, he was hypertensive and had a raised prostatic specific antigen, suggesting prostatic pathology.

Following a fall at home he was completely immobile on the floor and two ambulance crews were needed to bring him to accident and emergency. He was currently receiving District Nursing three times a week for leg ulcer management. He had become increasingly immobile complicated by the fact that his wife who lived with him and provided care was being investigated for **Sensitive**. The admission clerking showed that he not only had leg ulcers but he had marked cellulitis, was pyrexial and in atrial fibrillation. Cellulitis was both in his groin and the left lower limb. He was totally dependent needing all help with a Barthel of 0. His white cell count was significantly raised at 25.7, his liver function tests were abnormal with an AST of 196 and his renal function was impaired with a urea of 14.9 and a creatinine of 173. These had all been normal earlier in the year. He was treated with intravenous antibiotics in a special bed.

He appeared to make some progress and on 9th August his cellulitis was settling. A Haemolytic Streptococcus sensitive to the penicillin he had been prescribed was identified. On 11th August the nursing cardex stated that there appeared to have been a deterioration of his heel ulcers with a "large necrotic blister on the left heel". His haemoglobin on 12th August was 13.5.

On 13th August white count was improved at 12.4, his U's and E's were normal and the notes recorded a planned transfer to the Gosport War Memorial Hospital on 16th August.

Later on the 13th black bowel motion is noted but the doctor who examines him records a brown stool only. It is not clear whether he has had a gastro intestinal bleed. On 16th August no comment is made on the possible gastrointestinal (G.I) bleed, but on 20th August his

haemoglobin is noted to be 12.9 no further black stools have been reported so he is planned for transfer on 23rd August. Albumin at this stage is now reduced at 29.

On 17th August sacral sores are now noted in the nursing cardex which by the 20th are now recorded as "deep and malodorous".

He is transferred to the Gosport War Memorial Hospital on 23rd August. A reasonable history and examination is undertaken which notes that there was a history of possible melaena, the clinical examination recorded suggests that he is stable. Blood tests are requested for the next day. The drug chart suggests that his weight is 148 kgs but it is not clear if this is an estimate or a measurement. He is very dependent with a Barthel of 6 and a Waterlow score of 18, putting him in high risk. His haemoglobin on 24th is 12. The nursing cardex on the 24th notes the multiple complex pressure sores on both the buttocks and the sacrum (96-100).

On 25th August the nursing cardex reports that he is passing blood rectally and also vomiting.

On 26th August a doctor (Dr Barton) is asked to see him and records that he is clammy and unwell. The notes suggest that he might have had a myocardial infarction and suggests treating him with Diamorphine and Oramorphine overnight. It records that as an alternative there might be a G.I. bleed but this is recorded as unlikely because he has not had haematemesis. It also notes that he is not well enough to transfer to an acute unit and he should be kept comfortable, including "I am happy for the nursing staff to confirm death". His Clexane (an anticoagulant given to prevent pulmonary embolus) is now stopped. The nursing cardex on the same day records further deterioration throughout the day with pain in his throat and records a verbal request for Diamorphine. A full blood count is taken (this fact is not recorded in the notes) but the result is filed in the notes recording a haemoglobin markedly reduced at 7.7. It also states "many attempts were made to phone Gosport War Memorial Hospital but no response from switchboard". These significant results are not commented on at any stage in the nursing or clinical notes.

On 27th August the nursing notes record some improvement in the morning but discomfort in the afternoon especially with dressings. On 28th August both the medical and the nursing records are noted to be very poorly with no appetite. Opiates are to continue over the weekend. 29th August he is sleeping for long periods and on 30th he is still in a very poor clinical condition but eating very small amounts of diet. He is re-catheterised the same day.

On 31st he is recorded as passing a large amount of blood rectally and on the 9th September he is reviewed by a consultant Dr Reid who notes that he is continuing to pass melaena stool, there are pressure sores across the buttocks and posterior aspects of both thighs, he is now significantly confused. Dr Reid records that he should be for TLC only and that his wife is now aware of the poor prognosis. Nursing notes note that the dose of drugs in the syringe driver should be increased; the previous doses were not controlling his symptoms. The nursing notes of the 2nd September record the fact the Diamorphine is again increased on the 2nd to 90mgs and on 3rd September he dies at 13.50 in the afternoon.

Dr Jane BARTON

The doctor responsible on a day to day basis for the treatment and care of Geoffrey PACKMAN was a Clinical Assistant Dr Jane BARTON. The medical care provided by Dr

BARTON to Mr PACKMAN following his transfer to Dryad Ward, Gosport War Memorial Hospital is suboptimal when compared to the good standard of practice and care expected of a doctor outlined by the General Medical Council (Good Medical Practice, General Medical Council, October 1995, pages 2-3) with particular reference to:

- good clinical care must include an adequate assessment of the patient's condition, based on the history and clinical signs including, where necessary, an appropriate examination.
- in providing care you must keep clear, accurate, and contemporaneous patients records which report the relevant clinical findings, the decisions made, the information given to patients and any drugs or other treatment prescribed
- in providing care you must prescribe only the treatment, drugs, or appliances that serve patients' needs
- in providing care you must be willing to consult colleagues.

The medical records were examined by two independent experts. Dr Robert BLACK in his review of Dr BARTON's care reported specifically:-

Mr Geoffrey Packman was a 68 year old gentleman with a number of chronic problems, in particular, gross (morbid) obesity. He is known to have had leg ulcers and is admitted with a common complication of severe cellulitis. His immobility and infection leads to significant and serious pressure sores in hospital. He develops a probable gastric or duodenal ulcer (again common in patients who are seriously ill), which continues to bleed slowly, then has massive gastro-intestinal haemorrhage in the Gosport War Memorial Hospital which is eventually the cause of death.

There are a number of weaknesses in the clinical care provided to Mr Packman:

- gastro-intestinal haemorrhage is suspected in Portsmouth but although never disproven, he is continued on his anticoagulant.
- despite the high risks being identified at admission, he does develop pressure sores rapidly during his admission in Portsmouth.
- on assessment on 25th August a further bleed does not lead to further medical attention.
- on 26th August when he is identified as seriously ill, examination is either not undertaken or recorded in the notes and an investigation which is performed is never looked at or commented on. Gosport War Memorial Hospital also has communication difficulties as the laboratory simply cannot contact the hospital.
- a difficult clinical decision is made without appropriate involvement, of senior medical opinion.
- prescribing management and use of drug charts by both the nursing and clinical staff, in particular for controlled drugs, is unacceptably poor.
- a higher than conventional starting dose of Diamorphine is used without any justification for that dose being made in the notes.

Despite all of the above it is my opinion that Mr Packman died of natural causes and these deficiencies probably made very little difference to the eventual outcome.

Dr Andrew WILCOCK reports:-

There was insufficient assessment and documentation of Mr Packman's clinical condition when he became less well on the afternoon of the 26th August 1999.

There was insufficient assessment and documentation of Mr Packman's clinical condition when he became acutely ill on the evening of the 26th August 1999.

Mr Packman was considered to have experienced either a myocardial infarction or a gastrointestinal haemorrhage, yet advice was not sought from other colleagues nor was he transferred to an appropriate place of care.

Mr Packman received regular oral morphine that may have been excessive to his needs and prescribed a syringe driver, as required, with upper dose ranges of diamorphine and midazolam likely to be excessive to his needs.

Over the days that followed, there was a continued lack of an appropriate medical assessment of Mr Packman's condition; the results of blood tests that would have indicated a gastrointestinal bleed were either not obtained or acted upon.

Mr Packman received increasing doses of diamorphine and midazolam that were likely to be excessive to his needs.

Dr BLACK further states

Both Dr Barton and Dr Reid had a duty to provide a good standard of medical practice and care. In this regard, Dr Barton and Dr Reid fell short of a good standard of clinical care as defined by the GMC (Good Medical Practice, General Medical Council, July 1998 pages 2-3) with particular reference to a lack of clear note keeping, adequate assessment of the patient, providing treatment that could be excessive to the patients' needs and willingness to consult colleagues.

Mr Packman was admitted for rehabilitation and it was not anticipated that he was likely to die. Although Dr Barton considered a myocardial infarction more likely than a gastrointestinal haemorrhage, the latter would have been confirmed as the more likely if the haemoglobin result was obtained that evening or the following day. A gastrointestinal haemorrhage (or a myocardial infarction) is a serious medical emergency and requires appropriate and prompt medical attention. The cause of Mr Packman's gastrointestinal bleed is unknown. However, as the most common cause is a peptic ulcer which can be cured with appropriate treatment, it is possible that Mr Packman's deterioration was due to a potentially reversible cause that could have been managed by transfer to the acute hospital for appropriate resuscitation with intravenous fluids, blood transfusion and further investigation. This view is in keeping with the opinion of a gastroenterologist, Dr Jonathan Marshall (report of 1st April 2005).

Dr Barton considered Mr Packman too unwell to move. In this regard it seems odd that a patient becoming acutely unwell at Gosport War Memorial Hospital would be at a disadvantage compared to if they had become acutely unwell at home. I see no reason that a patient could not be transferred by emergency ambulance if this was in their best interests. When possible they should be medically stabilised beforehand, but the lack of ability to do this should not be the reason not to attempt transfer at all. Even if one accepted the view that Mr Packman was too unwell to move, advice should have been sought on his management from the on-call physicians/geriatricians or cardiologists.

In short, Dr Barton in particular, but also Dr Reid, could be seen as doctors who breached the duty of care they owed to Mr Packman by failing to provide treatment with a reasonable amount of skill and care. This was to a degree that disregarded the safety of Mr Packman by failing to adequately assess his condition and taking suitable and prompt action. Mr Packman could have had a potentially treatable and reversible medical condition, which presented with a serious complication (i.e. bleeding). He should have been urgently and appropriately assessed and transferred to an acute medical unit. He was not appropriately assessed, resuscitated with fluids, transferred or discussed with the on-call medical team. In my view, there was no obvious reason why it was not appropriate to provide Mr Packman with this usual course of action.

Morphine and diamorphine are safe drugs when used correctly. The key issue is whether the use and the dose of diamorphine and other sedatives are *appropriate* to the patients' needs. Although some might invoke the principle of double effect (see technical issues), it remains that a doctor has a duty to apply effective measures that carry the least risk to life. Further, the principle of double effect does not allow a doctor to relinquish their duty to provide care with a reasonable amount of skill and care. This, in my view, would include the use of a dose of strong opioid that was *appropriate* and not excessive for a patient's needs. The stat doses of diamorphine could be seen as appropriate for the relief of severe pain. However, in my opinion, the ongoing use of regular morphine and subsequent use of diamorphine and midazolam were inappropriate; their use was not obviously justified and the doses were likely to be excessive to Mr Packman's needs. In my opinion, it is the inappropriate management of Mr Packman's gastrointestinal haemorrhage together with his exposure to unjustified and inappropriate doses of diamorphine and midazolam that contributed more than minimally, negligibly or trivially to his death. As a result Dr Barton and Dr Reid leave themselves open to the accusation of gross negligence.

Dr Jonathan Marshall a specialist Gastroenterologist specifically reports that :-

Mr PACKMAN was likely to have experienced a significant GI bleed approximately 3 days after transfer to GWMH. He was assessed as being unwell and was managed with escalating doses of opiate analgesia until he died on 3-9-99.

He further states that transfer for endoscopic therapy should have been considered in Mr PACKMAN's case, although this can only take place after resuscitative measures have been taken such as I/V fluids, oxygen etc. Endoscopic therapy allows accurate diagnosis of the site and cause of bleeding. It also allows further procedures to try and stop the bleeding and is 'bread and butter' emergency gastroenterology available in any endoscopic unit.

The critical determinant would be how fit Mr Packman was after resuscitative measures for the ambulance transfer to endoscopy.

'Do not resuscitate' orders refer specifically to not commencing cardiopulmonary resuscitation if the heart stops. Mr Packman was in this 'DNR' category reasonably (high chance of technical futility) but not in a group in whom no resuscitation is attempted if they simply becomes *unwell*.

Interview of Dr Jane BARTON.

Dr Jane BARTON has been a GP at the Forton Medical Centre in Gosport since 1980, having qualified as a registered medical practitioner in 1972. In addition to her GP duties she took up the post of the sole Clinical Assistant in elderly medicine at the Gosport War Memorial hospital in 1988. She resigned from that post in April 2000.

On Thursday 17th November 2005 Dr BARTON in company with her solicitor Mr BARKER, voluntarily attended Hampshire Police Support Headquarters at Netley where she was interviewed on tape and under caution in respect of her treatment of Geoffrey PACKMAN at the Gosport War Memorial Hospital. The interviewing officers were DC YATES and DC QUADE.

The interview commenced at 0914hrs and lasted for 27 minutes. During this interview Dr BARTON read a prepared statement, later produced as JB/PS/11. This statement dealt with the specific issues surrounding the care and treatment of Geoffrey PACKMAN.

On Thursday 6th April 2006 Dr Barton was interviewed a further nine times throughout the course of the day where a series of questions were put to her in essence challenging her medical management of Mr PACKMAN. Dr BARTON made 'no comment' to any of the questions.

DRAFT REPORT
regarding
GEOFFREY PACKMAN (BJC/34)

PREPARED BY: Dr Andrew Wilcock MB ChB FRCP DM
Reader in Palliative Medicine and Medical Oncology

AT THE REQUEST OF: Hampshire Constabulary

CONTENTS

- 1. SUMMARY OF CONCLUSIONS**
- 2. INSTRUCTIONS**
- 3. ISSUES**
- 4. BRIEF CURRICULUM VITAE**
- 5. DOCUMENTATION**
- 6. CHRONOLOGY/CASE ABSTRACT**
- 7. TECHNICAL BACKGROUND / EXAMINATION OF THE FACTS IN ISSUE**
- 8. OPINION**
- 9. LITERATURE/REFERENCES**
- 10. EXPERTS' DECLARATION**
- 11. STATEMENT OF TRUTH**

1. SUMMARY OF CONCLUSIONS

Mr Packman was a 67 year old man with obesity impairing his mobility, swelling of his legs and leg ulcers admitted to the Queen Alexander Hospital because of cellulitis (infection of the skin) affecting his left leg and groins. He also had pressure sores over his buttocks and thighs. He improved with treatment with antibiotics. He passed loose black stools, suggestive of melaena (blood in the stool) on a couple of occasions, but his haemoglobin was stable, excluding a significant gastrointestinal bleed. He was transferred to Dryad Ward for rehabilitation.

During his admission to Dryad Ward, the medical care provided by Dr Barton and Dr Reid was suboptimal; there was a lack of clear, accurate and contemporaneous patient records, inadequate assessment of Mr Packman's condition; a lack of consultation with colleagues and the use of diamorphine and midazolam in doses likely to be excessive to Mr Packman's needs.

Mr Packman became acutely unwell on the 26th August 1999. A blood test revealed a large drop in his haemoglobin which made a significant gastrointestinal bleed likely. This is a serious and life-threatening medical emergency which requires urgent and appropriate medical care. The commonest underlying cause, a peptic ulcer, can however, be cured. Mr Packman should have been transferred without delay to the acute hospital. However, Mr Packman was not transferred; the blood test result was not obtained or acted upon and he went on to receive doses of diamorphine and midazolam which were not obviously justified and likely to have been excessive to his needs.

In short, Dr Barton in particular, but also Dr Reid, could be seen as doctors who breached the duty of care they owed to Mr Packman by failing to provide treatment with a reasonable amount of skill and care. This was to a degree that disregarded the safety of Mr Packman by failing to adequately assess his condition and taking suitable and prompt action when he became unwell with a gastrointestinal bleed. He was not appropriately assessed, resuscitated with fluids, transferred or discussed with the on-call medical team. The use of regular morphine and subsequent use of diamorphine and midazolam in doses likely to be excessive to Mr Packman's needs were inappropriate. It is the inappropriate management of Mr Packman's gastrointestinal haemorrhage together with his exposure to unjustified and inappropriate doses of diamorphine and midazolam that contributed more than minimally, negligibly or trivially to his death. As a result Dr Barton and Dr Reid leave themselves open to the accusation of gross negligence.

2. INSTRUCTIONS

To examine the medical records and comment upon the standard of care afforded to the patient in the days leading up to his death against the acceptable standard of the day. Where appropriate, if the care is felt to be suboptimal, comment upon the extent to which it may or may not disclose criminally culpable actions on the part of individuals or groups.

3. ISSUES

- 3.1 Was the standard of care afforded to this patient in the days leading up to his death in keeping with the acceptable standard of the day?
- 3.2 If the care is found to be suboptimal what treatment should normally have been proffered in this case?
- 3.3 If the care is found to be suboptimal to what extent may it disclose criminally culpable actions on the part of individuals or groups?

4. BRIEF CURRICULUM VITAE

Dr Andrew Wilcock MB ChB, FRCP, DM, Reader in Palliative Medicine and Medical Oncology, University of Nottingham and Honorary Consultant Physician, Nottingham City Hospital NHS Trust.

Trained in general medicine, including experience in health care of the elderly (acute medicine and rehabilitation) prior to specialising in Palliative Medicine, working in Specialist Palliative Care Units in Nottingham and Oxford. Appointed to present post as Senior Lecturer in 1995. Promoted to Reader in 2001. Carries out research in pain, breathlessness and exercise capacity. Regularly lectures on national and international courses. Palliative care prescribing advisor to the British National Formulary (2002-). Expert reviewer for Prodigy national palliative care guidelines for general practitioners. Joint author of the international Palliative Care Formulary that has sold over 90,000 copies, and the 3rd edition of Symptom Management in Advanced Cancer, with Dr Robert Twycross. Previously Chair of the Mid-Trent Cancer Services Network Palliative Care Group, Nottingham

Cancer Centre Palliative Care Group, inaugural Secretary for the Science Committee of the Association for Palliative Medicine of Great Britain and Ireland and member of the National Institute for Clinical Excellence Lung Cancer Guidelines Development Group.

Operates the international Palliative Medicine mailbase mailing list and co-owns and edits www.palliativedrugs.com that publishes the Palliative Care Formulary on the internet. With 20,000 members it is the largest Palliative Care resource of its kind. Provisional Member of the Expert Witness Institute.

5. DOCUMENTATION

This Report is based on the following documents:

- [1] Full paper set of medical records of Geoffrey Packman, including the medical certificate of cause of death.
- [2] Operation Rochester Briefing Document Criminal Investigation Summary.
- [3] Hampshire Constabulary Operation Rochester Guidance for Medical Experts.
- [4] Hampshire Constabulary Summary of Care of Geoffrey Packman.
- [5] Palliative Care Handbook Guidelines on Clinical Management, Third Edition, Salisbury Palliative Care Services (1995); Also referred to as the 'Wessex Protocols.'
- [6] Portsmouth Health Care NHS Trust Policies:

- i) Control of Administration of Medicines by Nursing Staff Policy (January 1997).
 - ii) Prescription Writing Policy (July 2000).
 - iii) Policy for Assessment and Management of Pain (May 2001).
 - iv) Compendium of Drug Therapy Guidelines, Adult Patients (1998).
 - v) Draft Protocol for Prescription Administration of Diamorphine by Subcutaneous Infusion, Medical Director (December 1999).
 - vi) Medicines Audit carried out by the Trust referred to as Document 54 on page 52 in the Chi Report (reference 6).
- [7] General Medical Council, Good Medical Practice (July 1998).
- [8] British National Formulary (BNF). Section on Prescribing in Terminal Care (March 1999).
- [9] British National Formulary (BNF). Section on Prescribing in the Elderly (March 1999).
- [10] Statement of Dr Jane Barton as provided to me by Hampshire Constabulary (undated).
- [11] Statement of Dr Jane Barton RE: Geoffrey Packman, 17th November 2005.
- [12] Draft Report regarding Statement of Dr Jane Barton RE: Geoffrey Packman (BJC/34), Dr A Wilcock, 26th January 2006.
- [13] Draft overview of Geoffrey Packman (BJC/34), Dr A Wilcock, 5th November 2005.
- [14] Draft report regarding Geoffrey Packman, Dr Jonathan Marshall, 1st April 2005.

6. CHRONOLOGY/CASE ABSTRACT

Events at Queen Alexander Hospital, 6th–23rd August 1999

Mr Packman, a 67 year old man who lived with his wife and daughter, was admitted on the 6th August 1999 to Queen Alexander Hospital following a fall at home. Due to his obesity he was unable to get up and two ambulance crews were called to assist (page 42 of 283). He was initially seen in Accident and Emergency and then Anne Ward where he was clerked by the Senior House Officer (SHO) who noted his five year history of lower leg oedema (swelling) that had got worse over the past six months; bilateral leg ulcers for one month; increasing erythema (redness) of the groin for three weeks which had become uncomfortable; increasing weakness and difficulty mobilising for one week (page 44 of 283). Mr Packman's past medical history included hypertension (high blood pressure) since 1985 and arthritis (unspecified). He was receiving doxazosin 4mg once a day, felodipine mr 5mg once a day and bendrofluazide 5mg once a day, possibly all for his hypertension, although the latter (a diuretic, 'water tablet') is also given for oedema. Systemic enquiry revealed a poor urinary stream, constipation for one week and no problems with chest pain or shortness of breath. Mr Packman's wife was undergoing tests for possible

Sensitive
personal data

He was a non-smoker. District nurses visited three times a week to apply dressings to his legs and normally he was able to mobilise around the house and occasionally outside with the use of a stick (page 44 of 283). On examination he was obese, had an elevated temperature (37.6°C), an irregular heart rate of 80 beats per minute, fine crackles in the mid zones of his chest bilaterally, a soft, non-tender abdomen and erythema of both

Sensitive
personal data

groins particularly on the left which leaked clear fluid. Both legs were swollen particularly the left which was also erythematous. There was bruising on his buttocks in the shape of a toilet seat. The SHO summarised Mr Packman's main problems as leg oedema, cellulitis (infection in the subcutaneous tissues of the skin) in the groin and left lower leg, immobility due to his obesity/oedema/infection and atrial fibrillation (irregular heart rhythm) (page 45 of 283). Investigations were undertaken (blood tests, blood cultures, urine analysis, chest x-ray, electrocardiograph (ECG), swabs from his groin and leg ulcers) and treatment commenced with intravenous antibiotics to treat the infection, and his dose of diuretics increased by switching the bendrofluazide to furosemide 80mg once a day (pages 45 and 174a of 283). The results of the investigations were in keeping with cellulitis: a raised white cell count of $25.7 \times 10^9/L$, 90% neutrophils (page 213 of 283); a C-reactive protein (CRP) of 191mg/L, (normal range $<5\text{mg/L}$; page 202 of 283); haemolytic streptococcus, a bacteria known to cause cellulitis, grown from the sores in his groin and buttocks (pages 227 and 229 of 283) and an elevated aspartate aminotransferase at 194IU/L (normal 12–40IU/L; page 202 of 283). There was also renal impairment; urea and creatinine were elevated 14.9mmol/L (normal 3–7.6mmol/L) and 173micromol/L (normal 60–120micromol/L; page 202 of 283) respectively. Other results revealed a marginally low albumin (a protein) at 36g/L (normal range 37–50g/L), a normal haemoglobin (15.7g/dl; page 213 of 283) and negative blood and urine cultures (pages 221 and 231 of 283). An ECG was reported as showing atrial fibrillation, a common arrhythmia which causes the heart to beat irregularly, but at a satisfactory

rate of 85 beats per minute (pages 45 and 185 of 283). Mr Packman was catheterised because of urinary incontinence (page 144 of 283).

Mr Packman was reviewed later the same day by a more senior doctor (a registrar) who listed Mr Packman's problems as cellulitis of the left leg, chronic leg oedema, poor mobility, morbid obesity, hypertension and possible atrial fibrillation. He agreed with the plan to treat the cellulitis with intravenous antibiotics (flucloxacillin and penicillin G) and because of Mr Packman's immobility, obesity and cellulitis, also commenced low molecular weight heparin (enoxaparin (clexane)) to thin the blood and reduce the risk of a deep vein thrombosis in the leg. The registrar also suggested a repeat ECG rhythm strip, which subsequently confirmed atrial fibrillation (pages 48 and 185 of 283; although I am unable to comment given the quality of the copy) and, as the felodipine and doxazosin may have been exacerbating Mr Packman's oedema, to consider other drugs to treat his hypertension. Mr Packman was deemed not appropriate for cardiopulmonary resuscitation in the event of a cardiorespiratory arrest because of his 'pre-morbid state and multiple medical problems' (page 46 of 283).

The medication chart indicates that during his stay on Anne Ward, Mr Packman received the antibiotic benzylpenicillin 1.2G intravenously four times a day from 6–11th August 1999, after which it was continued as an oral equivalent, penicillin V 500mg four times a day until 18th August 1999 (pages 174a and 177 of 283). Similarly, the antibiotic flucloxacillin 1G was given intravenously four times a day from the 6–9th August 1999, after which it was continued orally as flucloxacillin 500mg four times a day until the 18th August 1999 (pages 174a and 177 of 283). The antihypertensive

doxazosin 4mg once a day was continued unchanged (page 174a of 283) but the felodipine was reduced and subsequently discontinued on the 19th August 1999 (page 174a of 283). The diuretic furosemide 80mg once a day and the heparin enoxaparin 40mg twice a day were continued throughout his stay (pages 174a, 177 and 179 of 283). Paracetamol 1G was given at 20.10h on the 6th August and 07.15h on the 7th August as once only, nurse prescribed doses, probably to reduce his temperature as there was no mention of pain (page 130 of 283); thereafter it was prescribed regularly 1G four times a day and continued throughout his stay, although intermittently doses were declined (pages 174, 174b, 177 and 179 of 283).

Gaviscon, an antacid, generally given for the relief of dyspepsia (indigestion) was prescribed p.r.n. 'as required'; three doses were taken on the 8th and one dose each on the 9–12th and 14th August 1999 (page 174 of 283).

During his stay on Anne Ward, Mr Packman improved. His temperature and cellulitis began to settle and he was switched to oral antibiotics (pages 48 and 49 of 283). Dr Reid reviewed Mr Packman on the 9th August 1999, who recorded more oedema in the left than the right foot and more arthritis in the left than the right knee and hip, although this was mild (page 48 of 283). Mr Packman's weight was recorded as 148.6kg on 12th August 1999 (page 121 of 283). On the 13th August 1999, blood test results had improved; white blood cell count and CRP had fallen and his renal function returned to normal (pages 196, 200, 211 of 283). Following discussion with Mrs Packman, because of Mr Packman's immobility, pressure sores and social

circumstances, the plan was to transfer him to Dryad Ward for rehabilitation (pages 50, 108, 121, 122 of 283).

According to his observation chart, some time on the evening of the 11th August, Mr Packman's blood pressure was measured as he was 'feeling dizzy'; it was 'normal' for him at 170/90 but his pulse was not recorded and I can find no other mention of this episode (page 159 of 283). An entry on the comments sheet on the 11th August 1999 at 13.45h reports loose black stools (suggestive of melaena, which is blood in the stool, see technical issues; page 133 of 283). Mr Packman opened his bowels several times between the 11-13th August, with no mention of melaena (pages 134 and 135 of 283). An entry made by Dr Tandy on the 13th August 1999 noted 'black stools overnight - nil today, says bowels looser than usual. No pain. Abdomen soft. Bowel sounds normal. PR (digital examination of the rectum) normal brown stool. Chase haemoglobin to rule out bleed. ?Antibiotic related diarrhoea. Stool chart' (pages 52, 53 of 283). Mr Packman's haemoglobin was checked and was essentially stable; 13.5g/dL (12th August) 12.9g/dL (19th August), 12.9g/dL (20th August), (pages 209, 211, 215 of 283).

Blood tests carried out on the 14th August 1999, revealed normal thyroid function tests, that the aspartate aminotransferase had returned to normal (40IU/L), but that his albumin had fallen to 29g/L (normal 37-50g/L; pages 196 and 198 of 283).

On the 15th August Mr Packman was incontinent of loose faeces (page 136 of 283). An entry dated 16th August 1999 noted that Mr Packman had pressure sores over his buttocks, sacrum and thighs that required daily

dressings and that he was faecally incontinent (page 51 of 283). An entry dated 18th August 1999 reported that he was stable, and that his wounds looked better, and the antibiotics were discontinued on the 19th August (page 51 of 283). A communication sheet entry on the 19th August 1999 at 06.00h noted that Mr Packman twice passed small amounts of black tarry stools (pages 119 and 137 of 283). A later entry the same day reported bowels open small amount with no mention of melaena (page 138 of 283). An entry dated 20th August 1999 notes no further black motions, no nausea, epigastric pain or tenderness (page 53 of 283). Blood test results on the 20th August revealed a stable haemoglobin at 12.9g/dL and an improved albumin at 34g/L (pages 192 and 209 of 283).

Mr Packman's Barthel score had improved from 0 to 6 representing improvements in continence of bowels, ability to undertake his own grooming (washing face, cleaning teeth etc.) feeding himself independently and being able to transfer with major help from having been unable to transfer (page 163 of 283). Nevertheless, he remained in bed, using a monkey bar to raise himself off the bed and otherwise being moved with a hoist (page 148 of 283). The sores in his groin had improved (page 149 and 150 of 283) but the sacral pressure sore persisted, with dressings needing frequent changing due either to being sodden with exudate or soiled with faeces (page 150 of 283).

Events at Dryad Ward, 23rd August 1999 until 3rd September 1999.

23rd August 1999

An entry was made in the medical notes on 23rd August 1999, which I assume was done on Dryad ward, although this should be clarified (page 54 of 283). The clerking doctor noted that Mr Packman's ongoing problems were obesity, arthritis in his knees, immobility, pressure sores and constipation. They noted that Mr Packman was 'on a high protein diet, ? melaena 13th August 1999, haemoglobin stable' but was better in himself, with a good mental test score and no pain. There was little to find on examination bar his obesity, swollen legs and pressure sores (page 54 of 283).

The nursing summary notes recorded that Mr Packman had been 'transferred from Anne Ward following an episode of immobility and sacral sores. Catheterised. On profile bed, hoist only. Able to feed himself. Mrs Packman is awaiting a Sensitive personal data at Queen Alexander Hospital tomorrow' (page 62 of 283). Several nursing care plans were produced: 'Requires full assistance to settle at night' (page 78 of 283); 'Due to immobility...prone to constipation' (page 82 of 283); 'Urinary catheter' (page 84 of 283); 'Pressure sore areas' (page 96 of 283).

The drug chart reveals he was continued on regular doxazosin 4mg once a day, furosemide 80mg once a day, enoxaparin 40mg twice a day, paracetamol 1G four times a day; commenced on magnesium hydroxide 10ml twice a day (a laxative), subsequently taken intermittently; two doses on the 24th, one dose on the 25th, two doses on the 28th, 29th and one

dose on the 30th (page 170 of 283) and p.r.n. 'as required' gaviscon (undated but most probably on the 23rd August)(pages 168, 170 of 283).

24th August 1999

A handling profile noted in the section for pain 'needs to be controlled' (page 90 of 283). This is at odds with the medical notes entry for the 23rd August 1999 that states 'no pain' (page 54 of 283). Pain is not mentioned anywhere else. His bowels were well open (no melaena specified) and swabs taken from his pressure sores for microbiology (pages 82 and 97 of 283).

Blood test results revealed a haemoglobin of 12g/dL and a white cell count of $12.2 \times 10^9/L$ (Page 207 of 283); a marginally raised urea 8.9mmol/L (normal 3.0–7.6mmol/L) and a reduced albumin 31g/L (normal 37–50g/L). Both forms were signed with the initials 'JAB' (pages 190 and 207 of 283). Note: the biochemistry results form given as page 190, differs in my two files, one having a more complete set of results for the 24th August 1999. Temazepam 10–20mg was prescribed p.r.n. and he took 10mg at 22.10h (page 168 of 283).

25th August 1999

Mr Packman was noted to have 'bowels open medium, formed, leaking some fluid' and later 'several loose bowel actions throughout the afternoon and evening - 7–8. Some fresh blood present, ? due to medication - same stopped. For review later' (pages 82 and 83 of 283). The nursing summary notes recorded that Mr Packman had been passing fresh blood PR ? due to

the enoxaparin (clexane). A verbal order from Dr Beasley was to withhold the 18.00h dose and review with Dr Barton in the morning. Mr Packman was also vomiting and metoclopramide 10mg IM was given at 17.55h (page 171 of 283).

Mr Packman took temazepam 20mg at 22.05h and loperamide 4mg (for diarrhoea) as a one off dose at a time I can not decipher (page 168 of 283). He was also prescribed loperamide 2mg four times a day regularly on the daily review prescriptions section, and appeared to have received this at 06.00h, 12.00h and 18.00h on 25th August 1999.

26th August 1999

The nursing summary notes recorded 'fairly good morning, no further vomiting - Dr Ravi contacted re enoxaparin (clexane). Advised to discontinue and repeat haemoglobin today and tomorrow. Not for resuscitation. Unwell at lunchtime, colour poor, complaining of feeling unwell. Seen by Dr Barton this afternoon - await result of haemoglobin. Further deterioration - complaining of ? indigestion - pain in throat, not radiating - vomited again this evening. Verbal order from Dr Barton diamorphine 10mg stat - same given at 18.00h. Metoclopramide 10mg given IM. Mrs Packman informed will visit this evening (page 62 of 283).

The medical notes record 'called to see, pale, clammy, unwell. Suggest ? myocardial infarction (MI). Treat stat diamorphine and oramorph overnight. Alternative possibility gastrointestinal (GI) bleed but no haematemesis. Not well enough to transfer to acute unit. Keep comfortable. I am happy for nursing staff to confirm death (page 55 of 283). The entry in the nursing

summary notes at 19.00h recorded 'Dr Barton here. For oramorph four hourly. Wife seen by Dr Barton, explained Mr Packman's condition and medication used' (page 62 of 283).

The drug chart showed that he received diamorphine 10mg at 18.00h prescribed as a verbal order in the once only section (page 168 of 283). The prescription was repeated below this one, but it does not appear to have been given. (page 168 of 283) Oral morphine solution (Oramorph) was commenced regularly 10–20mg every four hours with 20mg at night which Mr Packman continued until 10.00h on the 30th August 1999 (page 172 of 283). Regular oral morphine solution 10mg every 4 hours was also prescribed in the daily review prescription, which appears to be an error and unnecessary duplication; none appears to have been prescribed from this section however (page 171 of 283). Diamorphine 40–200mg and midazolam 20–80mg SC/24h were also prescribed on the 26th August 1999 (page 171 of 283).

A full blood count revealed a significant fall in Mr Packman's haemoglobin to 7.7g/dL. A comment on the form reads 'many attempts were made to phone these results, no answer from Gosport War Memorial Hospital switchboard'. The results are signed with the initials JAB (page 205 of 283).

27th August 1999

The nursing summary entry noted 'some marked improvement since yesterday. Seen by Dr Barton this am - to continue with oramorph four hourly - same given tolerated well. Some discomfort this afternoon - especially when dressings being done. Wife has visited this afternoon and

is aware that condition could deteriorate again. Still remains poorly' (page 63 of 283).

Mr Packman's pressure sore dressings were renewed to all areas 'some improvement since Wednesday especially to the areas on the left buttock. Area on right buttock remains offensive and some exudates (page 97 of 283). Mr Packman night was recorded as 'oramorph given as prescribed. Comfortable night, not complaining of any chest pain' (page 79 of 283).

28th August 1999

Medical notes entry noted 'Remains poorly but comfortable so please continue opiates over weekend' (page 55 of 283). Nursing summary noted 'Remains very poorly – no appetite has refused all food. Wife visited – very distressed as she is Sensitive this coming week' (page 63 of 283). The entry for the night noted 'Oramorph given as prescribed. Condition remains poorly and variable. Drinking well. Dressings remain intact' (page 63 of 283). An entry in the nursing care plan for 'requires full assistance to settle at night' noted 'Oramorph given as prescribed, condition variable, drinking well, appears hydrated. Slept long periods' (page 79 of 283).

29th August 1999

Nursing summary entry for night, noted 'Slept for long periods. Oramorph given as prescribed (page 63 of 283). The nursing care plan for 'requires full assistance to settle at night' noted 'Quite sleepy. Medication given as prescribed. Is complaining of left sided abdominal pain ?bowel or ?' (page 79 of 283).

30th August 1999

The nursing summary notes recorded 'This morning complaining of left abdominal pain', then 'Condition remains poor. Syringe driver commenced at 14.45h with diamorphine 40mg, midazolam 20mg. No further complaints of abdominal pain – very small amount of diet taken – managing mainly puddings. Recatherised this afternoon, draining (see also pages 55 and 85 of 283). When possible encourage fluids. Dressings also renewed' (page 63 of 283).

The drug chart confirms a syringe driver containing 40mg of diamorphine and 20mg of midazolam was commenced at 14.45h (page 171 of 283). However, the midazolam 20mg appears dated the 26th August 1999 (page 171 of 283).

His pressure sores were redressed. The small pressure sore on his left buttock was much cleaner; an area of slough was removed from the pressure sore on the lower right buttock exposing a large crater one inch deep which was redressed (page 98 of 283).

An entry in the nursing care plan for 'requires full assistance to settle at night' noted 'appeared to have a peaceful and comfortable night. No faecal incontinence until mane (morning) and then it was a large amount of black soft faeces' (page 79 of 283).

31st August 1999

Nursing summary noted 'Appeared to have a comfortable and peaceful night. This morning has passed a large amount of black faeces. The nursing summary for the night noted 'Comfortable night continues to pass

tarry black faeces' (page 63 of 283). This was repeated in the nursing care plan for 'Due to immobility...prone to constipation' (page 83 of 283).

Mr Packman's pressure sores on his left buttock were reported to be producing a copious amount of exudate (page 98 of 283).

An entry in the nursing care plan for 'requires full assistance to settle at night' noted 'Peaceful night. Incontinent of black tarry faeces+++ (a lot), nil taken by mouth, remains hot' (page 79 of 283).

1st September 1999

A medical notes entry made by Dr Reid notes 'Rather drowsy, but comfortable, passing melaena stools, abdomen huge, but quite soft, pressure sores over buttock and over the posterior aspect of both thighs. Remains confused. For T.L.C. (tender loving care) – stop furosemide and doxazosin. Wife aware of poor prognosis' (page 55 of 283).

The diamorphine dose in the syringe driver was increased to 60mg/24h at 19.15h (page 171 of 283). The dose of midazolam was also increased to 40mg/24h at 15.45h and 60mg/24h at 19.15h (page 171 of 283).

Nursing summary entry notes 'Dr Reid here. To continue', then 'Syringe driver renewed at 19.15h with diamorphine 60mg and midazolam 60mg as previous dose not controlling symptoms. Dressings renewed this afternoon.

Mrs Packman had visited this afternoon and is aware of poor condition. Mrs Packman being admitted to E1 Ward at QA tomorrow Sensitive Please contact her son in the event of Mick's death. No night calls please' (page 64 of 283). The nursing summary nocte (night) entry reported 'Incontinent of black tarry faeces on settling. Peaceful night all care given. Syringe driver

satisfactory. Syringe driver reprimed' (page 64 of 283). The black stools were also recorded in the nursing care plan for 'Due to immobility...prone to constipation' (pages 82 and 83 of 283).

The nursing care plan relating to Mr Packman's pressure sores noted that they were contaminated with faeces and so redressed (page 98 of 283) and slough removed from the large pressure sore on his left buttock (page 100 of 283).

2nd September 1999

The nursing summary entry noted 'diamorphine increased to 90mg, midazolam 80mg (page 64 of 283). The drug chart notes this was at 18.40h (page 171 of 283). Hysocine (hydrobromide) was prescribed in a dose range of 800microgram-2g (an incorrect upper dose range) although never given (page 172 of 283).

An entry in the nursing care plan for 'Due to immobility...prone to constipation' noted 'some slight faecal soiling' (page 83 of 283) and the care plan related to his catheter noted 'some drainage but debris present' (page 85 of 283). An entry in the nursing care plan for 'requires full assistance to settle at night' noted 'Incontinent of black tarry faeces on settling. Nursed on side. Peaceful night. Strong radial pulse, open eyes when spoken to' (page 81 of 283).

3rd September 1999

A medical and nursing notes entries were made confirming death at 13.50h (pages 55 and 64 of 283). The cause of death was given as '1a Myocardial

infarction', with an approximate interval between onset and death of five days.

7. TECHNICAL BACKGROUND / EXAMINATION OF THE FACTS IN ISSUE

i) *Syringe drivers, diamorphine, midazolam and hyoscine hydrobromide*

A syringe driver is a small portable battery-driven pump used to deliver medication subcutaneously (SC) via a syringe, over 24h. Indications for its use include swallowing difficulties or a comatose patient. In the United Kingdom, it is commonly used in patients with cancer in their terminal phase in order to continue to deliver analgesic medication. Other medication required for the control other symptoms, e.g. delirium, nausea and vomiting can also be added to the pump.

Diamorphine is a strong opioid that is ultimately converted to morphine in the body. In the United Kingdom, it is used in preference to morphine in syringe drivers as it is more soluble, allowing large doses to be given in very small volumes. It is indicated for the relief of pain, breathlessness and cough. The initial daily dose of diamorphine is usually determined by dividing the daily dose of oral morphine by 3 (BNF 37, March 1999). Others sometimes suggest dividing by 2 or 3 depending on circumstance (Wessex protocol). Hence, 60mg of morphine taken orally a day could equate to a daily dose of 20 or 30mg of diamorphine SC. It is usual to prescribe additional doses for use 'as required' in case symptoms such as pain breakthrough. The dose is usually 1/6th of the 24h dose. Hence for someone receiving 30mg of diamorphine in a syringe driver over 24h, a breakthrough dose would be 5mg. One would expect it to have a 2-4h

duration of effect, but the dose is often prescribed to be given hourly as required. As the active metabolites of morphine are excreted by the kidneys, caution is required in patients with impaired kidney function.

Midazolam is a benzodiazepine, a diazepam like drug. It is commonly used in syringe drivers as a sedative in patients with terminal agitation. Sedation can be defined as the production of a restful state of mind. Drugs that sedate will have a calming effect, relieving anxiety and tension. Although drowsiness is a common effect of sedative drugs, a patient can be sedated without being drowsy. Most practitioners caring for patients with cancer in their terminal phase would generally aim to find a dose that improves the patients' symptoms rather than to render them unresponsive. In some patients however, symptoms will only be relieved with doses that make the patient unresponsive. A typical starting dose for an adult is 30mg a day. A smaller dose, particularly in the elderly, can suffice or sedate without drowsiness. The BNF (BNF 37, March 1999) recommends 20–100mg SC over 24h. The Wessex protocol suggests a range with the lowest dose of 5mg a day. The regular dose would then be titrated every 24h if the sedative effect is inadequate. This is generally in the region of a 33–50% increase in total dose, but would be guided by the severity of the patients symptoms and the need for additional 'as required' doses. These are generally equivalent to 1/6th of the regular dose, e.g. for midazolam 30mg in a syringe driver over 24h, the 'as required' dose would be 5mg given as a stat SC injection. The duration of effect is generally no more than 4h, and it may need to be given more frequently. As an active metabolite of

midazolam is excreted by the kidneys, caution is required in patients with impaired kidney function.

Hyoscine hydrobromide is an antimuscarinic drug most commonly given to reduce excessive saliva or retained secretions ('death rattle'). It also has anti-emetic, antispasmodic (smooth muscle colic) and sedative properties. Repeated administration can lead to cummulation and this can occasionally result paradoxically in an agitated delirium, highlighted in both in the BNF and the Wessex protocol (page 41). It is usually given in a dose of 600–2400microgram SC over 24h (BNF 37, March 1999) or 400–600microgram as a stat SC dose. The Wessex protocol gives a dose range of 400–1200microgram over 24h.

The titration of the dose of analgesic or sedative medication is guided by the patients symptom control needs. The number and total dose of 'as required' doses needed over a 24h period are calculated and this guides the increase necessary in the regular dose of the drugs in the syringe driver in a way that is proportional to the patients needs. The ideal outcome is the relief of the symptoms all of the time with no need for additional 'as required' doses. In practice, this can be difficult to achieve and the relief of the symptoms for the majority of the time along with the use of 1–2 'as required' doses over a 24h period is generally seen as acceptable.

ii) The principle of double effect

The principle of double effect states that:

'If measures taken to relieve physical or mental suffering cause the death of a patient, it is morally and legally acceptable provided the doctor's intention is to relieve the distress and not kill the patient.'

This is a universal principle without which the practice of medicine would be impossible, given that every kind of treatment has an inherent risk. Many discussions on the principle of double effect have however, involved the use of morphine in the terminally ill. This gives a false impression that the use of morphine in this circumstance is a high risk strategy. When correctly used (i.e. in a dose *appropriate* to a patient's need) morphine does not appear to shorten life or hasten the dying process in patients with cancer. Although a greater risk is acceptable in more extreme circumstances, it is obvious that effective measures which carry less risk to life will normally be used. Thus, in an extreme situation, although it may occasionally be necessary (and acceptable) to render a patient unconscious, it remains unacceptable (and unnecessary) to cause death deliberately. As a universal principle, it is also obvious that the principle of double effect does not allow a doctor to relinquish their duty to provide care with a reasonable amount of skill and care.

iii) *Melaena.*

Melaena refers to black 'tarry' faeces that are associated with gastrointestinal haemorrhage. The black colour is caused by oxidation of the iron in haemoglobin during its passage through the ileum and colon. Bleeding originating from the lower gastrointestinal tract is generally associated with the passage of bright red blood. Only blood that originates from a high

source such as the small intestine, or bleeding from a lower source that occurs slowly enough to allow for oxidation, is associated with melaena. Thus, melaena is most often associated with haemorrhage in the stomach or duodenum and the most common cause of melaena is a peptic ulcer. If the source of bleeding is suspected to be in the upper gastrointestinal tract, an endoscopy is usually performed to diagnose the cause.

IV) Not for resuscitation

The medical notes record that Mr Packman was 'not for resuscitation' and Dr Barton refers to this in her statement. In my experience and opinion, the meaning of 'not for resuscitation' is quite specific. A medical judgement has been made that in the event of a patient's heart or breathing stopping *unexpectedly* (a cardiorespiratory arrest), there is little or no chance of cardiopulmonary resuscitation being successful (i.e. it would be medically futile) and thus should not be attempted. The decision not to resuscitate will be influenced by the presence of progressive life-threatening illness or other significant medical problems. This status does not however, mean that the patient is automatically excluded from receiving appropriate treatment for other medical problems that may arise. Thus, for example, patients with far advanced cancer, who may be admitted seriously unwell with an infection, given that cardiopulmonary resuscitation is likely to be futile, a 'not for resuscitation' decision is generally made. This does not however, prevent them from receiving appropriate treatment for their infection, even with intravenous antibiotics or fluids if necessary, when this is appropriate to their overall situation.

8. OPINION

Events at Queen Alexander Hospital, 6th–23rd August 1999

Mr Packman was a 67 year old man with obesity which limited his mobility and contributed to a several year history of swelling of his legs which in turn predisposed him to leg ulcers. Following three weeks of increasing redness of the groins he became less well with increasing weakness, leading to a fall which precipitated his admission to the Queen Alexander Hospital on the 6th August 1999. The main reason for his deterioration was cellulitis of the left leg ± groins. There were also pressure sores over his buttocks and thighs and he was noted to have atrial fibrillation (an irregular heart rhythm). He received appropriate treatment with intravenous then oral antibiotics and an increased dose in his diuretics and subsequently he and his blood test results improved. At 13.45h on the 11th August 1999 it was noted that he passed loose black stools, suggestive of melaena, blood in the stool. Sometime in the evening of 11th August 1999, Mr Packman complained of feeling dizzy and his blood pressure was checked and was normal for him at 170/90. It would be usual practice for the nursing staff to report melaena to the medical staff and it is a little surprising to find the first mention of melaena in the medical notes was two days later on the 13th August 1999 in an entry made by Dr Tandy. However, she undertook an appropriate assessment of Mr Packman including a digital rectal examination which revealed normal brown stool on the glove; his full blood count was checked and was found to be essentially stable. This would exclude a significant bleed. Although it is reported that Mr Packman had no abdominal pain, it is of note that he intermittently took Gaviscon, a treatment for indigestion,

between the 8–14th of August 1999. Mr Packman again passed small amounts of black tarry stools on the 19th August 1999; there was no nausea, epigastric pain or abdominal tenderness and his haemoglobin remained stable.

Although Mr Packman's Barthel score improved, he remained in bed requiring a hoist to be moved. His pressure sores persisted and he was transferred to Dryad Ward on the 23rd August 1999 for rehabilitation. In my opinion there are no issues relating to the standard of care or treatment proffered to Mr Packman during his admission to Anne ward and I note that Dr Marshall has no concerns regarding the management of his melaena.

Events at Dryad Ward, 23rd August 1999 until 3rd September 1999.

Infrequent entries in the medical notes during Mr Packman's stay on Dryad Ward make it difficult to closely follow his progress over the last twelve days of his life. There are five entries prior to the confirmation of death, taking up just over one and a half pages in length. In summary in approximate chronological order, Mr Packman was admitted to Dryad Ward for rehabilitation, his ongoing problems were noted to be obesity, arthritis in the knees, immobility, pressure sores and constipation. The episode of possible melaena on the 13th August 1999 was clearly noted and that his haemoglobin was stable. It was also reported that Mr Packman was better in himself with a good mental test score and no pain. The drug chart reveals he was continued on the same drugs as he received on Anne Ward bar the introduction of regular magnesium hydroxide (a laxative). On the 24th August 1999, a nursing handling profile noted in the section for pain

that it 'needed to be controlled'. This is at odds with the medical notes entry above and pain is not mentioned anywhere else. On the 25th August 1999, Mr Packman experienced seven to eight loose bowel actions throughout the afternoon and evening and fresh blood was observed. He also vomited and required an intramuscular anti-emetic. Dr Beasley, the general practitioner on-call for Dryad Ward that evening was contacted by the nursing staff whose decision was to withhold the 18.00h dose of enoxaparin and for Mr Packman to be reviewed by Dr Barton in the morning. Enoxaparin is designed to interfere with the clotting ability of the blood and thus would exacerbate any bleeding problems and it was reasonable to stop it. However, I can find no record that Mr Packman's heart rate or blood pressure were measured by the nursing staff or requested by Dr Beasley, which would help to inform the medical decision made. For example, a rapid heart rate \pm a low blood pressure would potentially indicate a significant bleed and an immediate medical review in my opinion would have been indicated.

On the 26th August 1999, Mr Packman was reported to have had a fairly good morning with no further vomiting. Dr Ravi (who Dr Barton identifies as a locum consultant geriatrician) was contacted regarding the enoxaparin. He agreed with its discontinuation and asked that Mr Packman's haemoglobin be checked on the 26th and 27th August 1999. The nursing notes record that Mr Packman complained of feeling unwell at lunchtime and had a poor colour and that he was seen by Dr Barton and the plan was for to await the result of his haemoglobin. There was no entry in the medical notes regarding Dr Barton's assessment and no record that even

the basic observations of heart rate and blood pressure were taken. At approximately 18.00h on the 26th August 1999, Mr Packman complained of indigestion-like pain in his throat and vomiting. A verbal order was taken from Dr Barton for a stat dose of diamorphine 10mg and anti-emetic was also given. Dr Barton reviewed Mr Packman at 19.00h, noting that he was pale, clammy and unwell, but no basic observations (e.g. temperature, heart rate, blood pressure) or results of a medical examination (e.g. heart sounds, chest, abdomen) were recorded. Dr Barton considered that Mr Packman had had a myocardial infarction, but this was based on the history alone with no supporting evidence from an electrocardiograph (ECG). Dr Barton's plan was to treat Mr Packman with the stat dose of diamorphine and then regular oral morphine solution overnight, 10mg every four hours with 20mg at night. In my experience, it is usual to give patients who have had a myocardial infarction diamorphine as required, 'p.r.n.', but I have never seen oral morphine solution given regularly.

Dr Barton reported Mr Packman to be 'not be well enough' to transfer to the acute unit. I do not understand this comment. If Mr Packman was at home when he became this unwell, he would have been admitted to a hospital with appropriate facilities by emergency ambulance. Hence, a transfer via an emergency ambulance could have been arranged for Mr Packman. The fact that Mr Packman was not for resuscitation would not in my opinion have excluded him from receiving the most appropriate treatment and if his needs could not be met at Dryad Ward then emergency transfer to the acute hospital setting should have been undertaken. Instead Dr Barton recorded 'keep comfortable' and that she was 'happy for nursing staff to

confirm death'. In addition to the diamorphine and the oral morphine solution, Dr Barton also prescribed diamorphine 40–200mg and midazolam 20–80mg SC over 24h p.r.n.

Dr Barton also considered the alternative possibility of a gastrointestinal bleed but appeared to rule this out on the basis that there was no haematemesis. My understanding is that the absence of haematemesis does not rule out the possibility of a gastrointestinal bleed and in my opinion, a gastrointestinal bleed was much more likely given Mr Packman's pain, indigestion, melaena and falling haemoglobin. All of this information was/could have been available to Dr Barton on the evening of the 26th August 1999. In particular, the fall in haemoglobin from 12g/dl on the 24th August 1999 to 7.7g/dl on the 26th August 1999 was revealed by the blood test undertaken, analysed and reported on the 26th August 1999. A note on the report states that the lab gave up attempting to notify the ward, as it was unable to get through to Gosport War Memorial Hospital switchboard. Nevertheless, given that Dr Barton's plan from earlier that day was to await the results of the haemoglobin and that Dr Barton considered that a gastrointestinal bleed was at least a possibility, I would have thought it reasonable for her to have made attempts to obtain the results via the on-call service.

On the 27th August 1999 there was an 'marked improvement' in Mr Packman's condition and he was seen by Dr Barton but no entry was made relating to this assessment, and as far as I can ascertain, the results of the blood test taken on the 26th August were either not obtained or acted upon, a further blood test as per Dr Ravi's plan not taken, Mr Packman's changing

condition not discussed with Dr Ravi or another consultant and he was not transferred to the acute hospital. Instead the plan was to continue with the regular morphine even though he was no longer complaining of the pain in his throat. The same can be said for the 28th August 1999.

On the 30th August 1999 (probably a Bank holiday) Mr Packman complained of left sided abdominal pain. A syringe driver was commenced at 14.45h containing diamorphine 40mg and midazolam 20mg/24h. This was a new pain, yet there is no indication that Mr Packman was either discussed with or was assessed by the on-call doctor prior to the commencement of the syringe driver. Thus it is unclear if a syringe driver containing diamorphine and midazolam was indicated or appropriate.

On the 31st August 1999 Mr Packman passed a large amount of melaena and the diagnosis of a gastrointestinal bleed should not have been in doubt. There is no evidence to suggest that his basic observations were taken or that he was assessed by a doctor. On the 1st September 1999, Mr Packman was noted by Dr Reid to be passing melaena stools, comfortable but drowsy and confused. This could have been due to Mr Packman's progressive anaemia and/or the dose of diamorphine may have been excessive for his needs. Dr Reid indicated that Mr Packman was for TLC (tender loving care). At 15.45h the dose of midazolam was increased to 40mg/24h without apparent reason. Subsequently, the diamorphine was increased to 60mg/24h and the midazolam increased to 60mg/24h at 19.15h as 'previous dose not controlling symptoms'. However, there is no explanation of what these symptoms were and if the increase was discussed with the on-call doctor.

On the 2nd September 1999, the diamorphine was increased to 90mg/24h and the midazolam to 80mg/24h without explanation. Hyoscine hydrobromide was also prescribed with an up limit of 2g. This is incorrect by a factor of 1000 as the upper limit should be 2mg. However, hyoscine hydrobromide was never given. An entry in the nursing care plan for the night time reports peaceful night, strong radial pulse, open eyes when spoken to.

Mr Packman was confirmed dead at 13.50h on the 3rd September 1999. The cause of death was given as myocardial infarction with an approximate interval between onset and death of five days. In my opinion, the circumstances of Mr Packman's deterioration and death were more in keeping with a gastrointestinal haemorrhage rather than a myocardial infarction, particularly given the fall in haemoglobin and melaena stool.

Was the standard of care afforded to this patient in the days leading up to his death in keeping with the acceptable standard of the day?

The medical care provided by Dr Barton and Dr Reid to Mr Packman following his transfer to Dryad Ward, Gosport War Memorial Hospital is suboptimal when compared to the good standard of practice and care expected of a doctor outlined by the General Medical Council (General Medical Practice, General Medical Council, July 1998, pages 2-3) with particular reference to:

- good clinical care must include an adequate assessment of the patient's condition, based on the history and clinical signs and, if necessary, an appropriate examination

- in providing care you must keep clear, accurate, and contemporaneous patient records which report the relevant clinical findings, the decisions made, the information given to patients and any drugs or other treatment prescribed
- in providing care you must prescribe only the treatment, drugs, or appliances that serve patients' needs
- in providing care you must be willing to consult colleagues.

Specifically:

- i) There was insufficient assessment and documentation of Mr Packman's clinical condition when he became less well on the afternoon of the 26th August 1999.
- ii) There was insufficient assessment and documentation of Mr Packman's clinical condition when he became acutely ill on the evening of the 26th August 1999.
- iii) Mr Packman was considered to have experienced either a myocardial infarction or a gastrointestinal haemorrhage, yet advice was not sought from other colleagues nor was he transferred to an appropriate place of care.
- iv) Mr Packman received regular oral morphine that may have been excessive to his needs and prescribed a syringe driver, as required, with upper dose ranges of diamorphine and midazolam likely to be excessive to his needs.
- v) Over the days that followed, there was a continued lack of an appropriate medical assessment of Mr Packman's condition; the results of blood tests that would have indicated a gastrointestinal bleed were either not obtained or acted upon.

vi) Mr Packman received increasing doses of diamorphine and midazolam that were likely to be excessive to his needs.

If the care is found to be suboptimal what treatment should normally have been proffered in this case?

Issue i (lack of clear documentation that an adequate assessment has taken place; lack of clear, accurate and contemporaneous patient records).

Mr Packman was reported to be feeling unwell with a poor colour (generally indicates pallor) at lunchtime on the 26th August 1999. The nursing notes record that he was seen by Dr Barton but there is no entry in the medical notes relating to this. It is unclear what assessment was made of Mr Packman and even whether the most basic of observations were undertaken (e.g. temperature, heart rate and blood pressure). The nursing notes record only that the plan was to await the result of the haemoglobin level checked that day. When a patients' clinical condition changes for the worse, a thorough medical assessment should be carried out to ascertain the possible cause(s) and to identify if they are reversible with appropriate treatment. The assessment would consist of the history, examination and appropriate investigation.

Issue ii (lack of clear documentation that an adequate assessment has taken place; lack of clear, accurate and contemporaneous patient records).

Dr Barton was contacted about Mr Packman when he developed his indigestion-like pain at 18.00h on the 26th August 1999 and requested that diamorphine 10mg be given by intramuscular injection. This stat dose was

appropriate given that a delay was anticipated in her getting to the hospital and the dose appropriate given Mr Packman's pain (it was considered to be a myocardial infarction), size and age. It is unclear how long it took Dr Barton to get to the hospital, but it was recorded that she was there at 19.00h.

When a patients' clinical condition changes for the worse, a thorough medical assessment should be carried out to ascertain the possible cause(s) and to identify if they are reversible with appropriate treatment. The assessment would consist of the history, examination and appropriate investigation. Dr Barton's entry in the medical notes recorded Mr Packman's appearance as pale, clammy and unwell. This suggests he was 'shocked'; a situation where a low cardiac output leads to a low blood pressure. However, basic observations such as his temperature, heart rate and blood pressure are not recorded nor is there a documented physical examination. These should have been undertaken, particularly as Dr Barton considered that Mr Packman had a serious underlying cause of being unwell, either a myocardial infarction or a gastrointestinal bleed.

There appears to have been no attempt to confirm the diagnosis of myocardial infarction; I understand there was limited access to an ECG machine out of hours at Dryad, but no attempts appear to have been made to obtain one subsequently or blood tests taken for cardiac enzymes.

Given that Dr Barton considered that a gastrointestinal bleed was a possibility, it would have been reasonable for her to have made attempts to obtain the result of the haemoglobin checked that day from the on-call pathology service. This would have revealed the fall in the haemoglobin to

7.7g/dl and made a diagnosis of gastrointestinal haemorrhage the more likely possibility.

Issue iii (providing treatment that serves the patients needs; willing to consult colleagues).

Gastrointestinal haemorrhage is a medical emergency and Mr Packman should have been thoroughly assessed and cared for in a clinical environment set up to respond to such an emergency (similarly, if he was having a myocardial infarction). I am led to believe that Dryad Ward was (understandably) limited in its ability to respond to such medical emergencies. For example, they lacked the ability to provide intravenous fluids, antibiotics or blood transfusions. Hence, I understand that the policy was to transfer patients who became acutely medically unwell to the acute hospital setting when this was appropriate. I see no reason for this not to have been appropriate for Mr Packman; he had been transferred to Dryad Ward for rehabilitation, had no known underlying life-threatening illness, death was not anticipated and a 'not for resuscitation' status should not have excluded him from receiving appropriate treatment for medical problems that arose. Whilst the cause of Mr Packman's gastrointestinal bleed is unknown, one of the commonest causes is a peptic ulcer which can be cured with appropriate treatment. Thus, Mr Packman may have had a potentially treatable and reversible medical condition, which presented with a serious complication (gastrointestinal bleeding) that should have been managed as a medical emergency. This would have included:

- obtaining intravenous access

- taking blood for a full blood count, clotting and cross-matching for blood transfusion
- correction of fluid losses and restoration of blood pressure
- caring for him in an clinical environment that can respond to such an emergency.

It is my understanding that Dryad Ward was not able to provide Mr Packman with such care and thus, in my opinion, he should have been transferred to the acute hospital setting. Whilst I appreciate it is not ideal to transfer medically unstable patients from one hospital to another, given the lack of even basic resuscitative measures at Gosport War Memorial Hospital, there was, in effect, little alternative and in this context, I do not understand Dr Barton's comment that Mr Packman was not well enough to transfer to an acute hospital. The lack of ability to medically stabilise a patient can not be a reason not to attempt a transfer at all, otherwise, logically, ill patients would not be able to be taken from home to hospital. Instead, patients who become unwell at home, are taken to hospital by an emergency ambulance, and in my opinion, transfer by emergency ambulance could have been arranged for Mr Packman. Even if one adopted the view of Dr Barton that he was too unwell to transfer, then there were subsequent opportunities to transfer him. For example, he was reported as showing 'some marked improvement since yesterday' on the 27th August 1999 and he lived for another eight days. Further, despite Dr Barton's assessment that Mr Packman was so unwell that he could not be transferred, there is nothing documented to suggest that she sought advice

regarding appropriate management of Mr Packman from the on-call physicians/geriatricians or the cardiologists.

Issue iv (prescribe only the treatment, drugs, or appliances that serve patients' needs).

If Mr Packman was distressed by severe pain related to a peptic ulcer (or myocardial infarction) then the prescription of morphine parenterally was reasonable. Although generally 5mg would be given, 10mg can be used in heavier patients. The repeated use of this dose, p.r.n. for the relief of severe pain, would also be reasonable. In her statement, Dr Barton concludes (point 24) that the diamorphine was additionally justified on the basis that Mr Packman had a large pressure on his sacrum and thighs which would have been causing him significant pain and discomfort. In my opinion, this is not a robust conclusion; there was no mention of Mr Packman being in pain due to his pressure sores at the Queen Alexander Hospital (where his only analgesic was paracetamol), in the medical clerking on his transfer to Dryad Ward or in the nursing care plan relating to his pressure sores. One nursing summary entry a day later on the 27th August 1999, records 'some discomfort this afternoon - especially when dressings being done.' The significance of this is unclear; a discomfort is generally used to describe a mild pain, the site of the discomfort is unspecified and there is no mention of discomfort or pain on changing his dressings that day in the nursing care plan relating to his pressure sores. In my experience, I have never seen oral morphine solution subsequently prescribed regularly for patients considered likely to have had either a

myocardial infarction or a gastrointestinal haemorrhage and the use of regular oral morphine solution was, in my opinion, inappropriate. The oral morphine solution was prescribed as a range 10–20mg four times a day and 20mg at night; one of the problems of prescribing drugs as a range is that it can be difficult to know what dose patients actually received, when the bigger or smaller dose should be given and who should decide this. Thus, it is unclear from the prescription chart and nursing summary notes what dose of morphine Mr Packman actually received during the daytime.

Similarly, the prescription of diamorphine and midazolam in a syringe driver p.r.n., on the 26th August 1999, in my opinion, was not justified; the dose range of diamorphine 40–200mg and midazolam 20–80mg/24h would have exposed Mr Packman to doses likely to have been excessive for his needs.

A dose of an opioid which is excessive to a patient's needs is associated with an increased risk of drowsiness, delirium, nausea and vomiting and respiratory depression.

It is unusual that drugs to be given by syringe driver are prescribed p.r.n. particularly in a wide dose range. This is because of the inherent risks that would arise from a lack of clear prescribing instructions on why, when and by how much the dose can be altered within this range and by whom. For these reasons, prescribing a drug as a range, particularly a wide range, is generally discouraged. Doctors, based upon an assessment of the clinical condition and needs of the patient usually decide on and prescribe any change in medication. Dr Barton in her statement notes that the prescription for the diamorphine and midazolam were on an anticipatory basis in case they were required in due course and that it was not her intention that they

be administered at that time (point 29). However, there are no instructions on the prescription chart that would provide a safeguard in this respect.

If there were concerns that a patient may experience, for example, episodes of pain, anxiety or agitation, it would be much more usual, and indeed seen as good practice, to prescribe appropriate doses of morphine/diamorphine, diazepam/midazolam and other drugs that could be given intermittently p.r.n. orally or SC. This allows a patient to receive what they need, when they need it and guides the doctor in deciding if a regular dose is required, or, if already taking a regular dose, how it should be titrated.

Issue v (lack of clear documentation that an adequate assessment has taken place; lack of clear, accurate and contemporaneous patient records).

Over the days that followed, there was a continued lack of an appropriate medical assessment of Mr Packman's condition; no attempts were made to obtain an ECG or blood tests taken for cardiac enzymes; the results of blood tests that would have indicated a gastrointestinal bleed were, despite numerous opportunities were either not obtained or acted upon. Although requested by Dr Ravi, I can find no haemoglobin result for the 27th August 1999.

Issue vi (lack of clear documentation that an adequate assessment has taken place; lack of clear, accurate and contemporaneous patient records; prescribe only the treatment, drugs, or appliances that serve patients' needs).

On Monday 30th August 1999 at 2.45pm a syringe driver was set up containing diamorphine 40mg and midazolam 20mg SC/24h. A nursing care plan queried whether Mr Packman's left sided abdominal pain was related to his bowels or some other cause. However, no medical assessment was undertaken and thus the cause of the pain and the appropriateness of the use of these two drugs in a syringe driver are unclear. There was no annotation in the nursing or medical notes to suggest that a doctor was involved in this decision, contrary to Dr Barton's stated intention (Statement of Dr Jane Barton) and illustrates the lack of a safeguard in the prescription of these drugs, in these doses, by syringe driver.

Generally, the total 24h oral dose of morphine is divided by three or occasionally by two to determine an appropriate dose, i.e. diamorphine 20–30mg/24h would generally be considered an appropriate conversion for Mr Packman and in this regard a dose of diamorphine 40mg/24h represents a 33–100% increase. In her statement, Dr Barton states that Mr Packman would have 'started to have become inured (tolerant) to the opiate medication' and an increase of this nature was in her view entirely appropriate to ensure that his pain was well controlled (point 35). In my experience and my opinion, rapid tolerance to opioids (he had been on oral morphine for four days) is not a plausible explanation in itself to justify an increase in Mr Packman's opioid dose.

Despite Mr Packman passing a large amount of black faeces on the morning of the 31st August 1999 there was no medical assessment documented.

On the 1st September 1999, Dr Reid noted that Mr Packman was drowsy, had been passing melaena stools and was confused. It is unclear if Dr Reid was aware of the haemoglobin result from the 26th August 1999 but he appeared to consider at that time Mr Packman suitable for TLC (tender loving care) only. The confusion and drowsiness may have been due to the diamorphine, midazolam or his medical condition, as he was likely to have been becoming progressively more anaemic.

Although noted to be comfortable by Dr Reid, the midazolam was increased at 15.45h to 40mg/24h (from 20mg/24h; increase of 100%) with no mention of why this increase was indicated or discussed with a doctor. Later that evening, the dose of diamorphine was increased to 60mg/24h (from 40mg/24h) and midazolam to 60mg/24h (from 40mg/24h) at 19.15h because 'previous dose not controlling symptoms.' However, there is no mention of what these symptoms were or that the increase was discussed with a doctor. The diamorphine increase was 50% and the midazolam dose was effectively trebled within 24h. It is difficult to assess the appropriateness of these increases. The medical and nursing notes do not suggest Mr Packman was in pain or distress. This is another reason why the use of smaller p.r.n. doses of diamorphine and midazolam is helpful; frequent use (e.g. ≥ 2 extra doses per 24h) suggests the need to titrate the regular medication upwards and also guides the magnitude of the required increase.

Mr Packman was noted to have had a peaceful night. However, the diamorphine was increased to 90mg/24h (from 60mg/24h; a 50% increase) and the midazolam to 80mg/24h (from 60mg/24h; a 33% increase) at

18.40h on the 2nd September 1999. There is no mention of pain or distress in the nursing or medical notes and the justification for the further increase in dose is unclear.

If the care is found to be suboptimal to what extent may it disclose criminally culpable actions on the part of individuals or groups?

Both Dr Barton and Dr Reid had a duty to provide a good standard of medical practice and care. In this regard, Dr Barton and Dr Reid fell short of a good standard of clinical care as defined by the GMC (Good Medical Practice, General Medical Council, July 1998 pages 2–3) with particular reference to a lack of clear note keeping, adequate assessment of the patient, providing treatment that could be excessive to the patients' needs and willingness to consult colleagues.

Mr Packman was admitted for rehabilitation and it was not anticipated that he was likely to die. Although Dr Barton considered a myocardial infarction more likely than a gastrointestinal haemorrhage, the latter would have been confirmed as the more likely if the haemoglobin result was obtained that evening or the following day. A gastrointestinal haemorrhage (or a myocardial infarction) is a serious medical emergency and requires appropriate and prompt medical attention. The cause of Mr Packman's gastrointestinal bleed is unknown. However, as the most common cause is a peptic ulcer which can be cured with appropriate treatment, it is possible that Mr Packman's deterioration was due to a potentially reversible cause that could have been managed by transfer to the acute hospital for appropriate resuscitation with intravenous fluids, blood transfusion and

further investigation. This view is in keeping with the opinion of a gastroenterologist, Dr Jonathan Marshall (report of 1st April 2005).

Dr Barton considered Mr Packman too unwell to move. In this regard it seems odd that a patient becoming acutely unwell at Gosport War Memorial Hospital would be at a disadvantage compared to if they had become acutely unwell at home. I see no reason that a patient could not be transferred by emergency ambulance if this was in their best interests. When possible they should be medically stabilised beforehand, but the lack of ability to do this should not be the reason not to attempt transfer at all. Even if one accepted the view that Mr Packman was too unwell to move, advice should have been sought on his management from the on-call physicians/geriatricians or cardiologists.

In short, Dr Barton in particular, but also Dr Reid, could be seen as doctors who breached the duty of care they owed to Mr Packman by failing to provide treatment with a reasonable amount of skill and care. This was to a degree that disregarded the safety of Mr Packman by failing to adequately assess his condition and taking suitable and prompt action. Mr Packman could have had a potentially treatable and reversible medical condition, which presented with a serious complication (i.e. bleeding). He should have been urgently and appropriately assessed and transferred to an acute medical unit. He was not appropriately assessed, resuscitated with fluids, transferred or discussed with the on-call medical team. In my view, there was no obvious reason why it was not appropriate to provide Mr Packman with this usual course of action.

Morphine and diamorphine are safe drugs when used correctly. The key issue is whether the use and the dose of diamorphine and other sedatives are *appropriate* to the patients' needs. Although some might invoke the principle of double effect (see technical issues), it remains that a doctor has a duty to apply effective measures that carry the least risk to life. Further, the principle of double effect does not allow a doctor to relinquish their duty to provide care with a reasonable amount of skill and care. This, in my view, would include the use of a dose of strong opioid that was *appropriate* and not excessive for a patient's needs. The stat doses of diamorphine could be seen as appropriate for the relief of severe pain. However, in my opinion, the ongoing use of regular morphine and subsequent use of diamorphine and midazolam were inappropriate; their use was not obviously justified and the doses were likely to be excessive to Mr Packman's needs. In my opinion, it is the inappropriate management of Mr Packman's gastrointestinal haemorrhage together with his exposure to unjustified and inappropriate doses of diamorphine and midazolam that contributed more than minimally, negligibly or trivially to his death. As a result Dr Barton and Dr Reid leave themselves open to the accusation of gross negligence.

9. LITERATURE/REFERENCES

- British National Formulary 37 (March 1999).
- Prescribing in Terminal Care, pages 11–14
- Prescribing for the elderly, pages 15–16
- Good Medical Practice, General Medical Council July 1998, pages 2–3
- Palliative Care Handbook, Guidelines on Clinical Management, Third Edition 'Wessex Protocol' Salisbury Palliative Care Services May 1995.

10. EXPERTS' DECLARATION

1. I understand that my overriding duty is to the court, both in preparing reports and in giving oral evidence. I have complied and will continue to comply with that duty.
2. I have set out in my report what I understand from those instructing me to be the questions in respect of which my opinion as an expert are required.
3. I have done my best, in preparing this report, to be accurate and complete. I have mentioned all matters which I regard as relevant to the opinions I have expressed. All of the matters on which I have expressed an opinion lie within my field of expertise.
4. I have drawn to the attention of the court all matters, of which I am aware, which might adversely affect my opinion.
5. Wherever I have no personal knowledge, I have indicated the source of factual information.
6. I have not included anything in this report which has been suggested to me by anyone, including the lawyers instructing me, without forming my own independent view of the matter.
7. Where, in my view, there is a range of reasonable opinion, I have indicated the extent of that range in the report.
8. At the time of signing the report I consider it to be complete and accurate. I will notify those instructing me if, for any reason, I subsequently consider that the report requires any correction or qualification.
9. I understand that this report will be the evidence that I will give under oath, subject to any correction or qualification I may make before swearing to its veracity.
10. I have attached to this report a statement setting out the substance of all facts and instructions given to me which are material to the opinions expressed in this report or upon which those opinions are based.

11. STATEMENT OF TRUTH

I confirm that insofar as the facts stated in my report are within my own knowledge I have made clear which they are and I believe them to be true, and the opinions I have expressed represent my true and complete professional opinion.

Signature: _____ Date: _____

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

RECORD OF INTERVIEW

Number: Y20M

Enter type: FULL TRANSCRIPT
 (SDN/ROTI/ Contemporaneous Notes / Index of Interview with VIW / Visually recorded interview)

Person interviewed: BARTON, JANE ANN

Place of interview: FRAUD SQUAD, NETLEY SUPPORT HQ

Date of interview: 17/11/2005

Time commenced: 0914 Time concluded: 0941

Duration of interview: 27 MINUTES Tape reference nos.
 (→) CSY/JAB/12

Interviewer(s): DC2479 YATES / DC1162 QUADE

Other persons present: MR BARKER , SOLICITOR

Police Exhibit No: CSY/JAB/12A Number of Pages: 21

Signature of interviewer producing exhibit

Tape counter times(↓)	Person speaking	Text
DC YATES		This interview is being tape recorded. I am DC2479 Chris YATES. My colleague is ...
DC QUADE		DC1162 Geoff QUADE.
DC YATES		... I'm interviewing Doctor Jane BARTON. Doctor will you please give your full name and your date of birth?
BARTON		Jane Ann BARTON, 19/10/48.

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES

Thank you. Also present is Mr BARKER, who is Doctor BARTON's solicitor. Can you please introduce yourself?

BARKER

Certainly confirm that my name's Ian BARKER and I am Doctor BARTON's solicitor.

DC YATES

Thank you. The time is 09 (coughs) excuse me, 0914 hours and the date is the 17th of November 2005. At the conclusion of the interview I will give you a notice explaining what will happen to the tapes. I must remind you doctor that you're still entitled to free legal advice. Mr BARKER is here as your legal advisor. Have you had enough time to consult with Mr BARKER in private or would you like further time?

BARTON

I've had time thank you.

DC YATES

Thank you. If at any time you do wish to stop the interview and take legal advice just say and the interview will be stopped in order that you can do this. I'd also like to point out that you have attended voluntarily, you're not under arrest and you've come here of your own free will. So if at any time that you wish to leave you're free to do so okay. I'll also caution you, you do not have to say anything but it may harm your defence if you do not mention when questioned something which you later rely on in court. Anything you do say maybe given in evidence. Do you understand that caution?

BARTON

I do.

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES

I'll break it up again anyway. It can be broken into three sections. The first is that it is your right not to say anything when asked questions by us. The second part is the slightly more confusing part, if this matter should go to court it may harm your defence if you wish to rely on something as part of your defence, if you've had the opportunity to mention it now. In other words a court may draw, and it is a may draw, well it's called an adverse inference and they'll wonder why you did not mention it earlier when interviewed if it was known to you then. The third and last part is again that's quite simple, the interview is being tape recorded, if it should go to court and it was felt necessary the tapes can be played or a transcript can be read. Is that a fair description? Yeah. On this occasion again this room isn't equipped for remote monitoring so DS GROCOTT who we know is outside so he can't hear anything that's going on in here at all and as before it will be me speaking to you the majority of the time. DC QUADE will almost certainly be taking some notes. Mr BARKER I think the last time we met was Thursday the 27th of October?

BARKER

That's right.

DC YATES

And I handed you by way of advance disclosure for this interview, copies of the medical notes of Geoffrey PACKMAN and a brief synopsis of his care.

BARKER

You did indeed.

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES

I believe those notes weren't particularly good and you had to be given a further copy is that right?

BARKER

That's correct yes one of your colleagues very kindly produced a ...

DC YATES

But they were satisfactory?

BARKER

... they were yes.

DC YATES

Okay. This investigation is being conducted by Hampshire Constabulary and started in September 2002 it's already been running over three years. It's an investigation into allegations of the unlawful killing of a number of patients at the Gosport War Memorial Hospital between 1990 and 2000. Now no decision has been made as to whether an offence or any offence has been committed but it's important to be aware that the offence range being investigated runs from potential murder right the way down to assault. Part of the ongoing enquiry is to interview witnesses who were involved in the care and treatment of the patients during that period. You were a clinical assistant at the Gosport War Memorial Hospital at the time of these deaths so your knowledge of the working of the hospital, the care and the treatment of the patients is very central to our enquiry. The interview today will concentrate on the care and treatment of Geoffrey PACKMAN. Mr PACKMAN was admitted to Gosport War Memorial Hospital and subsequently died on the 3rd of September 1999. The cause of death was given as Myocardial Infarction. Perhaps Doctor in your own words

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

you can tell me what you recollect of Mr PACKMAN and the care and treatment that he received whilst at the Gosport War Memorial Hospital. Now you've already passed them out now, I believe you're going to read from a prepared statement.

BARTON That's correct.

DC YATES Is that correct, yeah. Is that statement yours doctor?

BARTON It is.

DC YATES And you've made it?

BARTON I did.

DC YATES Okay if you'd care to read that, thank you.

BARTON I am Dr Jane BARTON of the Forton Medical Centre, White's Place, Gosport, Hampshire . As you are aware, I am a General Practitioner, and from 1988 until 2000, I was in addition the sole Clinical Assistant at the Gosport War Memorial Hospital.

I understand you are concerned to interview me in relation to a patient at the Gosport War Memorial Hospital, Mr Geoffrey PACKMAN. Unfortunately, at this remove of time I have no recollection at all of Mr PACKMAN. As you are aware, I provided you with a statement on the 4th November 2004, which gave information about my practice generally, both in relation to my role as a General

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

Practitioner and as the clinical assistant at the Gosport War Memorial Hospital. I adopt that statement now in relation to general issues insofar as they relate to Mr PACKMAN.

In that statement I indicated when I had first taken up the post, the level of dependency of patients was relatively low and that in general the patients did not have major medical needs. I said that over time that position changed very considerably and that patients who were increasingly dependent would be admitted to the wards. I indicated that certainly by 1998 many of the patients were profoundly dependent with minimal Barthel scores and there was significant bed occupancy. The demands on my time and that of the nursing staff were considerable. I was in effect left with the choice of attending to my patients and making notes as best I could, or making more detailed notes about those I did see, but potentially neglecting other patients. The statement largely represented the position at the Gosport War Memorial Hospital in 1998.

I confirm that these comments are indeed a fair and accurate summary of the position then, though if anything, it had become even more difficult by 1999 when I was involved in the care of Mr PACKMAN.

Mr Geoffrey PACKMAN was a 67 year old man who lived at home with his wife and daughter in Emsworth. It appears that he was visited regularly at home by the District Nurse who in February of 1999 noted that he had a large red weeping area on the shin of his right leg. A Doppler's test was performed, being an ultrasound

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

measurement of the pressure in the veins of the legs. Mr PACKMAN's GP appears to have referred him to Consultant Urologist Mr CHIVERTON at some point after April 1999. The GP referred in his letter to symptoms of prostatism and a raised PSA. He said that Mr PACKMAN had had a negative mid-stream urine test, but rectal examination, presumably to assess the size of the prostate, had been virtually impossible because of Mr PACKMAN's huge size and inability to lie properly on his side. The GP noted that Mr PACKMAN was grossly obese, and indeed a subsequent measurement of his weight was recorded at 146kg - in excess of 23 stone.

Mr PACKMAN was noted to have a raised random blood sugar and was also due to have a glucose tolerance test to exclude diabetes mellitus.

At the end of June his GP then made a further referral, this time to Consultant Dermatologist Dr KEOHANE in relation to Mr PACKMAN's leg ulceration. Mr PACKMAN had apparently been attending the District Nurse's leg ulcer clinic for many months and had hugely oedematous legs. The District Nurse had drawn the GP's attention to a large granulomatous raised area on the back of his right calf, and Dr KEOHANE's advice was requested. At this stage it seems that Mr PACKMAN was being visited by the District Nurse 3 times a week in order to dress the leg ulceration, that he had recently become immobile and his condition had worsened. Mr PACKMAN was seen in the dermatology clinic on 30th June 1999, the Senior House Officer reporting back that Mr PACKMAN

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

had bi-lateral severe oedema with some leg ulceration secondary to venous hypertension. Mr PACKMAN was to be brought in for further Doppler's testing.

On 6th August 1999 Mr PACKMAN was then admitted to the Queen Alexandra Hospital having suffered a fall. He was unable to mobilise and 2 Ambulance crews were called to assist. It was noted on admission that the GP and the District Nurse were unable to cope with Mr PACKMAN at home. The diagnoses at that stage were bi-lateral leg oedema, with ulcers on the left leg, obesity and it was noted that he was simply not coping.

In the course of clerking-in on 6th August, it appears that Mr PACKMAN was suspected to be in atrial fibrillation. An ECG was arranged which showed atrial fibrillation at the rate of 85. Blood tests revealed that he has a white cell count of 25,000, an ESR of 31 and a CRP of 194. He was felt to have cellulitis in the groin and left lower leg, he was commenced on antibiotics and his diuretic medication was changed to Frusemide. His past medical history was noted to consist of the bi-lateral leg oedema, which he had apparently had for 5 years, hypertension which had been treated since 1985 and arthritis.

It appears that about the time of admission Mr PACKMAN was recorded as having a large black blistered area on his left heel in addition to the leg ulceration.

Following assessment his problems were recorded as cellulitis of the left leg, chronic leg oedema, poor mobility,

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

morbid obesity, raised blood pressure and possible atrial fibrillation. In relation to the latter and prior to the performance of the ECG, anticoagulants were suggested if atrial fibrillation was confirmed, and the possibility of left ventricular dysfunction was also raised. Shortly thereafter Mr PACKMAN was commenced on Clexane 40mgs twice daily.

At this stage Mr PACKMAN's creatinine level was noted at 173, with urea at 14.9, suggesting that the insult due to the infection in his legs was resulting in compromise of his renal function.

It was also noticed on 6th August that "in view of pre-morbid state + multiple medical problems [Mr PACKMAN was] not for CPR in event of arrest". A Barthel score stated to have been assessed on 5th August (presumably 6th August in error) was recorded as zero, indicating that Mr PACKMAN was completely dependant.

Mr PACKMAN was reviewed by the Specialist Registrar the following day, 7th August, who agreed, presumably on the basis of what was felt to be Mr PACKMAN's poor condition at that stage, that he was not to be resuscitated in the vent of arrest. It was suggested that his anti-hypertensive medication should be changed to an ACE inhibitor in view of the oedema and he was considered for a beta-blocker in view of his atrial fibrillation. His diuretic was changed lest it cause dehydration. Mr PACKMAN was given Flucloxacillin 500 mgs 4 times daily,

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

supplemented by Penicillin V 500 mgs 4 times a day to combat the cellulitis.

Although steps were apparently taken to prevent the development of pressure sores, on 8th August Mr PACKMAN was noted to have sores to the sacrum, being described as "Grade 3". I believe this would have been a reference to a wound classification system, Grade 3 suggesting that there was full thickness skin loss involving damage of subcutaneous tissue.

Over the next few days it appears that Mr PACKMAN's cellulitis improved but the overall assessment of his suitability of resuscitation did not change - on 11th and again on 13th August it was again specifically noted that he was not for resuscitation - recorded as "Not for 555".

On 13th August Mr PACKMAN was reviewed by a Consultant Geriatrician Dr Jane TANDY . She noted that he had had black stools overnight. The following day a nursing note records that when the dressings on the pressure sores were renewed, the wounds to the left buttock and right lower buttock and thigh were very sloughy and necrotic in places, and very offensive smelling. Clearly by that time, Mr PACKMAN had developed significant pressure sores.

A Barthel score measured on 14th August again recorded a score of zero indicating his complete dependence.

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

It appears that by 15th August a decision had been made that Mr PACKMAN should be transferred to the Dryad Ward at the Gosport War Memorial Hospital. A note in the nursing records indicates that Staff Nurse HALLMAN at Gosport War Memorial Hospital had indicated that we were not in a position to take Mr PACKMAN at that time. This is likely to have been an indication that there were no beds available and that we would have been under considerable pressure in consequence of the high bed occupancy.

An entry in Mr PACKMAN's records for 20th August by the Specialist Registrar indicates that Mr PACKMAN was due to transfer to Gosport War Memorial Hospital on 23rd August. The Specialist Registrar also noted that Mr PACKMAN remained not for resuscitation. A Barthel score measured on 21st August again recorded a score of zero indicating his complete dependence.

Mr PACKMAN was then admitted to the Gosport War Memorial Hospital on 23rd August 1999. There is a clerking-in note contained within his records, but I do not recognise the handwriting or signature of the doctor who assessed him on this occasion. His problems were noted to be obesity, arthritis, immobility and pressure sores. The episode of melaena on 13th August was noted, with his haemoglobin being stable. At that stage he was said to be in no pain. Cardiovascular and respiratory systems were thought to be normal. The clinician admitting Mr PACKMAN also prescribed medication in the form of Doxazosin 4 mgs daily for hypertension, Frusemide 80 mgs

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

once a day as a diuretic for Mr PACKMAN's oedema, Clexane 40mgs twice a day for DVT prophylaxis and atrial fibrillation. Paracetamol 1gm 4 times daily for pain relief, Magnesium Hydroxide 10 mls twice daily for constipation, together with Gaviscon for indigestion and cream for his pressure sores.

On this occasion, a Barthel score of 6 was recorded for 23rd August, suggesting that, although Mr PACKMAN might have improved to a degree, he was still significantly dependent.

I anticipate that I would have reviewed Mr PACKMAN the following day as part of my assessment of all the patients on the ward, though it appears that I did not have an opportunity to make any entry in his medical records on this occasion. The prescription chart shows that I prescribed Temazepam for Mr PACKMAN on a PRN basis - as required - at a dose range of 10-20 mgs. 10 mgs of Temazepam was then given on the night of 24th August, with a night nursing record indicating that he slept for long periods.

I anticipate that I would have reviewed Mr PACKMAN the following day, 25th August, although again I did not have an opportunity to make an entry in his records. It appears that Mr PACKMAN then was noted to have passed blood per rectum, and Dr BEASLEY was contacted, Dr BEASLEY presumably being on duty out-of-hours. He advised that the Clexane should be discontinued. Dr BEASLEY also appears to have prescribed

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

Metoclopramide by way of verbal order, which I later endorsed, together with Loperamide. The Metoclopramide was apparently given at 5.55pm (1755) with good effect. The dressings on the pressure sores were removed on 25th August and were noted to be contaminated with faeces.

I do not know if I reviewed Mr PACKMAN on the morning of 26th August. He was noted by the nurses to have had a fairly good morning. Sister HAMBLIN has recorded that Dr RAVI , locum Consultant Geriatrician, was contacted and he confirmed that the Clexane should be discontinued and the haemoglobin repeated. Again, Mr PACKMAN was noted to be "not for resuscitation". Sister HAMBLIN may have contacted Dr RAVI if I was unavailable that morning. The nursing record goes on to indicate that Mr PACKMAN then deteriorated at about lunchtime, that his colour was poor and that he complained of feeling unwell. I was called to see him, my entry in his records on this occasion reading as followed.

'Called to see, pale, clammy, unwell

Suggest ? MI. treat stat diamorph

And oramorph overnight

Alternative possibility GI bleed but no haematemesis

Not well enough to transfer to acute unit

Keep comfortable

I am happy for nursing staff to confirm death'.

As my note indicates, I was concerned that Mr PACKMAN might have suffered a myocardial infarction and accordingly I decided to administer opiates in the form of

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

Diamorphine for pain and distress consequent on the possible myocardial infarction, at a dose of 10 mgs intramuscularly. In addition, I would have been conscious that he had large pressure sore areas on his sacrum and thighs which would have been causing him significant pain and discomfort. I prescribed 10 mgs Diamorphine intramuscularly to be given immediately, which is recorded on the drug chart as a verbal instruction. An alternative diagnosis which I recorded was that Mr PACKMAN had had a gastro intestinal bleed.

My impression when I assessed Mr PACKMAN on this occasion was that he was very ill. I felt that in view of his condition and the previous decisions that he was not for resuscitation, transfer to an acute unit was quite inappropriate. Any such transfer was very likely to have had a further deleterious affect on his health.

The nursing note for 26th August indicates that we were to await blood test results. There was then a further deterioration later in the day, with Mr PACKMAN complaining of indigestion and a pain in his throat, which was not radiating.

The blood count taken on 26th August subsequently showed that Mr PACKMAN's haemoglobin had dropped to 7.7 grams, a substantial drop from the 12 grams which had been recorded 2 days earlier.

It appears that I re-attended to see Mr PACKMAN at 7.00pm (1900) on 26th August. Concerned that he should

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

have further appropriate medication to relieve his pain and distress, I prescribed Oramorph 10-20 mgs 4 times a day together with 20 mgs at night. 20 mgs of Oramorph was later given at 10.00pm (2200).

I also wrote up prescriptions for Diamorphine 40-200 mgs subcutaneously over 24 hours, together with 20-80 mgs of Midazolam via the same route on an anticipatory basis, concerned that further medication might be required in due course to relieve Mr PACKMAN's pain and distress. It was not my intention that this subcutaneous medication should be administered at that time. The nursing record also indicates that I saw Mr PACKMAN's wife, explaining her husband's condition and the medication we were using. I anticipate I would have indicated to Mrs PACKMAN that her husband was very ill indeed and in all probability that he was likely to die.

I would have reviewed Mr PACKMAN again the following morning and indeed the nursing record confirms that I attended to see him then. Sister HAMBLIN has recorded that there had been some marked improvement since the previous day and that the Oramorph was tolerated well and should continue to be given, though Mr PACKMAN apparently still had some discomfort later that afternoon especially when the dressings were being changed. In spite of the earlier improvement, Mr PACKMAN was said to remain poorly. 10 mgs of Oramorph were administered 4 hourly, together with a further 20 mgs at night as prescribed, so that Mr PACKMAN received a total of 60 mgs that day, though this was seemingly not enough to

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

remove his pain and discomfort when his dressings were being changed. The nursing records indicate that he appeared to have had a comfortable night.

I reviewed Mr PACKMAN again the following morning and on this occasion I made a note in his records which reads as follows:

'28-8-99 Remains poorly but comfortable
please continue opiates over weekend'.

The nursing record indicates that Mr PACKMAN remained very poorly with no appetite. However, the Oramorph again seems to have been successful in keeping Mr PACKMAN comfortable at night.

I do not believe I would have seen Mr PACKMAN on Sunday 29th August. The nursing record indicates that he slept for long periods but that he also complained of pain in his abdomen. The sacral wounds were said to be leaking a lot of offensive exudate.

I do not know if I would have seen Mr PACKMAN again the following morning, Monday 30th August, that being a Bank Holiday. I have no way of knowing now if I was on duty then. If I did see him as part of my review of all the patients on the two wards, I did not have an opportunity to make a specific entry in his records on this occasion. A Barthel score was recorded as 4. The nursing record indicates that Mr PACKMAN's condition remains poor and later that day - at 2.45pm (1445) the syringe driver was set

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

up to deliver 40 mgs of Diamorphine and 20 mgs Midazalam subcutaneously. I anticipate that Mr PACKMAN would have continued to experience pain and clearly in view of the significant sacral sores, it's highly likely that he would have been experiencing further significant discomfort.

In view of his poor condition I anticipate that I considered him to be terminally ill and I would have been concerned to ensure that he did not suffer pain and distress as he was dying. Mr PACKMAN had received 60 mgs of Oramorph daily over the preceding 3 days and the administration of 40 mgs of Diamorphine subcutaneously over 24 hours did not represent a significant increase. Mr PACKMAN would have started to have become inured to the opiate medication and an increase of this nature was in my view entirely appropriate to ensure that his pain was well controlled. Indeed, the nursing record goes on to state that there were no further complaints of abdominal pain and Mr PACKMAN was able to take a small amount of food.

I anticipate that the nursing staff would have liaised with me prior to the commencement of the Diamorphine and Midazalam and that this would have been set up on my instruction, directly if I had been at the hospital, or otherwise by phone.

On the morning of 31st August Mr PACKMAN was recorded as having had a peaceful and comfortable night, though he then passed a large amount of black faeces that morning.

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

I believe I would have seen Mr PACKMAN again that morning, though again I did not have an opportunity to make an entry in his records. I anticipate his condition would have been essentially unaltered and that he would have remained comfortable. Similarly, I would probably have seen Mr PACKMAN again on the morning of 1st September but would have been unable to record this. I anticipate that his condition was again unchanged. 5 separate pressure sore areas were noted by the nurses. A Barthel score of only 1 was recorded.

Mr PACKMAN was reviewed the same day by Consultant Geriatrician Dr REID . Dr REID noted that Mr PACKMAN was rather drowsy but comfortable. He had been passing melaena stools. His abdomen was noted to be huge but quite soft and Dr REID also recorded the presence of the pressure sores over the buttocks and across the posterior aspects of both thighs. He noted that Mr PACKMAN remained confused and was for "TLC". The Frusemide and Doxazosin were to be discontinued and Mr PACKMAN's wife was said to be aware of his poor prognosis.

The entry by Dr REID that Mr PACKMAN was to have "TLC" - tender loving care - was clearly an indication that Dr REID also considered Mr PACKMAN to be terminally ill. Dr REID had the opportunity to review the medication which Mr PACKMAN was receiving at that time and clearly felt it appropriate.

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

Sister HAMBLIN recorded later in the nursing records that the syringe driver was renewed at 7.15pm (1915) with 60 mgs of Diamorphine and 60 mgs of Midazolam subcutaneously as the previous dose was not controlling Mr PACKMAN's symptoms. It appears therefore that Mr PACKMAN was experiencing yet further pain and discomfort. I anticipate that the nursing staff would have contacted me and that I authorised this moderate increase in his medication in order to alleviate the pain and distress.

That night, Mr PACKMAN was noted to be incontinent of black tarry faeces but otherwise he had a peaceful night and the syringe driver was said to be satisfactory.

I believe I would have reviewed Mr PACKMAN again the following day, 2nd September. The nursing notes show that his medication was again increased, the Diamorphine to 90 mgs and the Midazolam to 80 mgs subcutaneously. I anticipate again that Mr PACKMAN would have been experiencing pain and distress and that I and the nursing staff were concerned that the medication should be increased accordingly to ensure that he did not suffer pain and distress as he died. That night, Mr PACKMAN was said to remain ill, but was comfortable and the syringe driver was satisfactory.

Sadly, Mr PACKMAN passed away on 3rd September 1999 at 1.50pm (1350). My belief was death would have been consequent on the myocardial infarction.

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

The Oramorph, Diamorphine and Midazalam were prescribed and in my view administered solely with the aim of relieving Mr PACKMAN's pain and distress, ensuring that he was free from such pain and distress as he died. At no time was any medication provided with the intention of hastening Mr PACKMAN's demise.

DC YATES

Thank you. I must, I don't think there's anything that needs altering on that unless you've made any, again doctor thank you it's a very full prepared statement. Can I ask you if you would to sign it and date it and time it as being handed to me DC YATES? Mr BARKER would you care to countersign it, thanks? Thank you. For the purpose of the tape I'll give this prepared statement an identification reference of JB/PS/11. Doctor we'll call a stop to the interview now so that we can go away and consider the statement that you've just read out. I may well wish to put a number of questions to you about this statement if I do would you be prepared to answer those questions?

BARTON

No.

DC YATES

No okay.

BARKER

Can I just say?

DC YATES

Yeah.

BARKER

That's on the basis of the advice previously tended and for the reasons previously given which I know is ...

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES

Okay. Is there anything that you wish to clarify Doctor?

BARTON

No thank you.

DC YATES

Is there anything you wish to add? Right we'll give you a notice explaining what will happen to the tapes and the tape recording procedure. The time is 9.41 (0941) hours and we'll turn the recorder off.

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

RECORD OF INTERVIEW

Number: Y20AI

Enter type: ROTI
 (SDN / ROTI / Contemporaneous Notes / Index of Interview with VIW / Visually recorded interview)

Person interviewed: BARTON, JANE ANN

Place of interview: FAREHAM POLICE STATION

Date of interview: 06/04/2006

Time commenced: 0901 Time concluded: 0940

Duration of interview: 39 MINUTES Tape reference nos.
 (→)

Interviewer(s):

Other persons present: MR BARKER - SOLICITOR

Police Exhibit No: Number of Pages:

Signature of interviewer producing exhibit

Person speaking	Text
DC YATES	This interview is being tape recorded. I am DC2479 Chris YATES. My colleague is?
DC QUADE	DC1162 Geoff QUADE.
DC YATES	I'm interviewing Doctor Jane BARTON. Doctor will you please give your full name and your date of birth?
BARTON	Jane Ann BARTON, 19/10/48.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES

Also present is Mr BARKER, who is Doctor BARTON's solicitor. Can you please introduce yourself here for me?

BARKER

Certainly it's Ian Steven Petrie BARKER and I am Doctor BARTON's solicitor.

DC YATES

Thank you. This interview is being conducted in an Interview Room at Fareham Police Station in Hampshire. The time is 0901 hours and the date is the Thursday the 6th of April 2006 (06/04/2006). At the conclusion of the whole interview process doctor, I will give you a notice explaining what will happen to the tapes okay. I must remind you doctor that you're still entitled to free legal advice. Mr BARKER is here as your legal advisor. Have you had enough time to consult with Mr BARKER in private or would you like further time?

BARTON

Fine thank you.

DC YATES

If at any time you wish to stop the interview and take legal advice, then if you just say doctor and we will stop the interview and you can do that. I'd also like to point out that you have attended voluntarily and so you're not under arrest, you've come here of your own free will and so if at any time you wish to leave you know you're free to do so okay.

BARTON

Thank you.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES

I'll also caution you, you do not have to say anything but it may harm your defence if you do not mention when questioned something which you later rely on in court and anything you do say may be given in evidence. Do you understand that caution doctor?

BARTON

I do.

DC YATES

(Inaudible) I'll break it up again anyway. The caution can be broken into three sections. The first, which is the very simple bit, is that it is your right not to say anything when asked questions by us okay. The second part is the slightly more confusing part and that is if this matter should go to court, and as I say 'even if this matter should go to court' it may harm your defence if you wish to rely on something as part of your defence, if you've had the opportunity to mention it now. In other words a court might think, or draw an inference and say: "Why didn't you say that earlier?" The third and last part again is quite simple, the interview is being recorded and so should the matter go before a court a transcript of the interview can be read out, or the tapes can be played. Are you quite happy with the sound of that?

BARTON

Thank you.

DC YATES

On this occasion the room is equipped with a remote monitoring facility, it's that red light on top of the tapes there doctor. When that red light is on it means it's being monitored, and it is being monitored at the moment by

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

Detective Inspector GROCOTT. It's being monitored purely just to facilitate any enquiries we might want to do as a result of this interview quickly. When those tapes are turned off though nothing can be heard in this room throughout the remote facility, so if you want to take legal advice or anything like that you can do in this room, it can't be heard. So that will be me speaking to you the majority of the time, DC QUADE will be taking some notes and he will also be asking some questions. Now Operation Rochester, this is an investigation that's being conducted by the Hampshire Constabulary and it started in September 2002, so this particular investigation has been running for over three years now. It is an investigation into allegations of the unlawful killing of a number of patients at the Gosport Ware Memorial Hospital between 1990 and 2000. Now no decision has been made as to whether an offence, or any offence has been committed but it's important for you to be aware that the offence range being investigated runs from potential murder right the way down to assault. Now part of the ongoing enquiries is to interview witnesses who were involved in the care and treatment of the patients at the hospital during that period. You were a clinical assistant at the Gosport War Memorial Hospital at the times of these deaths, so your knowledge of the working of the hospital and the care and the treatment of the patients is very central to our enquiry. Today doctor in this interview we will be concentrating on the patient Geoffrey PACKMAN. He was a 68 year-old-man admitted to Dryad Ward on the 23rd of August 1999 (23/08/1999) from the Queen Alexandra Hospital. He died on the 3rd of

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

September 1999 (03/09/1999). Now I'm going to ask you quite a few questions today and all these groups of questions will come under particular topics and headings, and what I'll try to do is I'll endeavour to explain each topic at the start.

BARKER

Can I just indicate the,...

DC YATES

Uh-huh.

BARKER

...just confirm again the nature of the advise that I've given Doctor BARTON that she should make 'no comment' to the questions that you put her and invite her to indicate if she accepts that advise and for the reasons that she's previously stated to.

BARTON

(Silent.)

DC YATES

Yeah that's okay. Now that's the advice given to you by your solicitor, it's entirely up to you whether you take that advice, but I still have a duty to ask you a number of questions, which I propose to do okay. Right the following questionnaire is designed so that we can try and get an explanation from you as to the role you performed in the care and treatment of Geoffrey PACKMAN. The questions follow on from the initial 'prepared statement' that you tendered during a voluntary interview in 2005. The explanations or lack of that you give will be considered by the senior investigating officer as to whether they will ultimately be sufficient evidence to formulate criminal

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

charges. The asking of each of these questions seems fundamental to the overall investigation of this case and will therefore take some time. Now it is important that you are given sufficient time to understand and reflect on the question and any answer before we ask you further questions, so there will be gaps after the questions, this is purely so that you can consider your reply. Now you were given copies of Geoffrey PACKMAN's Medical Records back in 2005. Is that correct?

BARTON

Correct.

BARKER

And I am confirming that as well.

DC YATES

Yeah. And you've also got a copy of your own 'prepared statement', is that right?

BARTON

(Silent)

DC YATES

Right the first topic area I would like to cover today is 'clerking'. Now clerking the patient is essential to ensuring that the patient's needs and treatments are identified and that suitable care plans are put in place. And what I want to establish is what you believe is the purpose of 'clerking' and what your own procedures were? I also want to try and identify what you see as the role of either the nurse or the doctor in clerking? (Pause) The GMC, General Medical Council booklet for Good Medical Practice, which we have a copy of here, a photocopy of, and it's got an identification reference of CSY/HF/2. In here, I'll leave this if you want

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

to consult it doctor, it states that 'Good clinical care must include adequate assessment of the patient's condition based on the history and symptoms and, if necessary, an appropriate examination'. And it goes on after that to say - 'In providing care you must keep clear, accurate, legible and contemporaneous patient records, which report the relevant clinical findings with decisions made, the information given to patients and any drugs or other treatments prescribed'. And it also goes on to say - 'Good clinical care must include taking suitable, prompt action where necessary', and that's going to form quite an important part of today's questions. Also it says - 'Prescribe drugs, including repeat prescriptions only when you have adequate knowledge of the patient's health and medical needs'. Doctor did you provide a suitable and adequate assessment of Mr PACKMAN's care?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

What is the purpose of the clinical assistant in the context of looking after patients?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

We have here a copy of the Job Description for the Clinical Assistant at the hospital and it's got an identification reference of GJQ/HF/14, and it lists thirteen duties. Have you read this document?

BARTON

No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES

(Pause) The duties, the thirteen duties are to visit the units on a regular basis and to be available on call as necessary. To ensure that all new patients are seen promptly after admission. To be responsible for the day-to-day medical management of patients. To be responsible for the writing up of the initial case notes and to ensure that follow up notes are kept up-to-date and reviewed regularly. To complete upon discharge the Discharge Summary, an HRM60. To ensure the prompt preparation of Death Certificates and Cremation Certificates where appropriate. To take part in the weekly consultant rounds. To prescribe, as required, drugs for the patients under the care of the consultant physicians in geriatric medicine. To participate, wherever possible, in the multi disciplinary case conferences and discussions related to the patients on the unit. To provide clinical advice and professional support to other members of the caring team. To identify opportunities to improve services so that a high level of care can be provided within the resources available. To be available, when required, to advise and counsel relatives and to be responsible for liaison with the general practitioners with whom the patient is registered with other clinicians and agencies as necessary. Did you carry out these duties in your role?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

How often doctor would you visit the patients?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

I believe you have said in previous statements that 'you would visit the patients Monday to Friday between half-seven and nine o'clock (that's in the morning), virtually every lunchtime and quite often about 1900, seven o'clock in the evening especially if you were the duty doctor'. Is that correct?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Doctor could you take me through what your daily routine was?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

As I mentioned before you've implied that 'you visit the hospital between half-past-seven (0930) and nine o'clock every morning'. Is it correct that you then have your GP Practice to attend between nine (0900) and eleven (1100) every morning?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

And quite often don't leave until half-eleven (1130)?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

(Inaudible - mumbles).

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES Now that was every morning Monday to Friday. Is it correct that you also had other duties at your practice?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Did you have other clinics to attend?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Did also, on a Tuesday evening, have an evening surgery between half-past-four (1430) and quarter-past-five (1715)?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Is that in rotation with your partners?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Did you used to conduct post-natal, the post-natal clinic on a Monday afternoon...

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES ...between half-past-one (1330) and half-past-three (1530)?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES On a Thursday, again in the afternoon, did you attend an anti-natal clinic between half-past-one (1330) and four o'clock?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES And on a Friday afternoon between half-past-one (1330) and three o'clock and immunisation clinic?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Is your name included on the Obstetric list?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Doctor (pause) this is information that was requested back in January 1990, it's a questionnaire, a medical list and local directory of family doctors and it actually has an identification reference of...

DC QUADE GJQ/HF/1.

DC YATES Oh lovely thank you. Which has been filled in by hand. On Page 13, is that your signature doctor?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES In relation to Mr PACKMAN, why was he admitted to the Gosport War Memorial Hospital?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES And what was the purpose of his stay?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES And why was he admitted to Dryad Ward?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Well where did Mr PACKMAN come from before he went to Dryad Ward?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Doctor is it correct that Mr PACKMAN came on the 23rd of August 1999 (23/08/1999) from the Queen Alexandra Hospital?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Doctor what is 'continuing care'?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Inaudible – speaks to DC QUADE).

Doctor can I draw your attention to a document...

DC QUADE CSY/HF/4.

DC YATES HF/4, Portsmouth Health Care NHS Trust. It's the Department Of Medicine For Elderly People Essential

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

Information for Medical Staff. There is an entry here about 'continuing care and long stay', and on the fifth (5th) paragraph it says: "It is often difficult to know on first encounter if the patient on the ward whether they are appropriate for continuing care or not. Patients who are severely physically disabled and require a medical input can go to continuing care for a period of assessment over a few weeks to one month. If at the end of that time they have complex medical problems that need continuing input from nursing, medical and other professionals, and their Barthel score is lower than four out of twenty (4/20) then they should be appropriately cared for on continuing care. Some of these patients will improve with time, in which case the situation would have to be reviewed. Those patients who do not need regular input from a specialist team would be most appropriate for nursing home care. This assessment should be explained to patients and their families'. Now would you say that that is a fair definition of continuing care?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Is that a definition you are familiar with Doctor BARTON?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

So what is the difference between 'continuing care' and 'rehabilitation'?

BARTON

No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES And 'palliative care'?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Pause) Doctor if I may draw your attention to Page 54 of the medical notes for Geoffrey PACKMAN, which are BJC/34 and they're the clinical notes. On the 23rd of August 1999 (23/08/1999), which is when Mr PACKMAN came into the hospital, he was seen by a doctor. Are they your notes doctor?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Now there's a page of notes here where the patient has been initially seen by a doctor and it was Doctor RAVI...

DC QUADE RAVINDRANE.

DC YATES RAVINDRANE. There's on full page of notes there. Is that what you would expect to see when the patient was clerked?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES On either admission or transference of a patient to the ward, what process should then take place?

BARTON No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES Is that what clerking is?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Who should carry out this function?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Should it be a doctor?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Should it be a nurse?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Were you present at the time of Mr PACKMAN's admission?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES What notes would be available at the time of Mr PACKMAN's admission?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Would the notes from the Queen Alexandra Hospital accompany Mr PACKMAN to the War Memorial Hospital?

BARTON No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES So what is then the purpose of the initial clerking?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES What is an adequate assessment for the patient's condition?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Again if I show you again Page 54, I've shown you that before, it's a page of notes made by a doctor, that's on Mr PACKMAN's initial attendance at the hospital on the 23rd of August 1999 (23/08/1999). For the rest of his stay there's less than a page. Now in fact I believe you've just made two more entries on there. (Pause) Is that what you would say was that 'an adequate assessment for the patient when they arrived at the hospital'?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE (Pause) Shall we take the doctor through that entry Chris?

DC YATES Yeah.

DC QUADE That entry doctor, you have a copy available I believe in front of you, if you just have a look at it. It reads (1) Obesity, (2) Arthritis bilateral knees, (3) Immobility, (4) Pressure sores. On a high protein diet. Query Myeloma 13/08/1999, HP stable, Q15 29, constipated on Doxazosin, MST = very good better in himself, OJVP, CVS. Now do

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

you think that that was a reasonable example of how to clerk-in a patient?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Now Mr PACKMAN actually suffered a fall and that's why he was initially admitted to the Queen Alexandra Hospital. Again I'll draw your attentions to Pages 44 and 45 of the medical notes. There's two pages here as an initial assessment for the clerking. Is this what you would expect to see?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

So why is this initial assessment important?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

What examination did you carry out on Mr PACKMAN?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

So what baseline were you and your colleagues going to have if Mr PACKMAN's condition changed?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Would this one page assessment and clerking on Page 54 of medical notes, is what the baseline is?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Is it your normal practice just to write on notes at the time of admission that you're happy for staff to confirm death?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Had you formed the opinion that Mr PACKMAN was at the terminal phase of his life?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES If you had, why?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Because after the initial assessment the next entry of his clinical notes is the 26th of August, and your last sentence on that eight line entry was: "I am happy for the nursing staff to confirm death." What was wrong with Mr PACKMAN?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Pause) Again DC QUADE read out the initial assessment, or clerking and it appears as obesity, arthritis, immobility and pressure sores and Myeloma. Was there anything else wrong with Mr PACKMAN?

BARTON No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC QUADE You must have thought he was dying for you to have written that surely?

BARTON No comment. (Somebody coughs)

DC QUADE Okay.

DC YATES Right so we'll move on to 'initial assessment' then doctor and I'd like to identify what you consider to be the fundamental purpose of the initial assessment with a patient, specifically this will include what routine you follow and the reasons behind the assessment and what the benefit is to both the patient and the medical practitioners. Okay I'm going to quote from the Good Medical Practice from the General Medical Council, which is CSY/HF/2, the copy it's still on my desk there, and that states that 'good clinical care must include adequate assessment for the patient's condition based on the history and symptoms and, if necessary, an appropriate examination'. Now I believe that the purpose of the initial assessment should be to provide a contemporaneous record of a doctor's interaction with their patient for analysis by all medical staff. What was your standard practice when it came to initial assessments?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES What is the purpose of an initial medical assessment with a patient when they arrive on the ward?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Who would you expect to make an entry on the medical notes?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Who would you be expecting to read the entry?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

So as the clinical assistant doctor when would you see a patient for the first time?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Now the initial assessment in the case of Mr PACKMAN was conducted by another doctor, Doctor RAVINDRANE. When did you first see the doctor, uh first see the patient?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Your first notes were recorded on the 26th of August, which is three days later. Why would that be?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

So what physical examination of Mr PACKMAN did you carry out?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES What assessment, or examination did you carry out on Mr
PACKMAN?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Just the basic things then doctor, who took his temperature.

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Who took his pulse?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Who took his blood pressure?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Who listened to his heart and lungs etcetera?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES And where was this recorded?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Now just taking Mr PACKMAN, what were you treating
him for?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

You've had access to the medical notes now, do you know what you were treating him for?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

What medical management did you put in place for Mr PACKMAN?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

What was your Medical Care Plan for Mr PACKMAN?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

If I refer to Pages 82 and 83 of Mr PACKMAN's medical notes, BJC/34, it's the Nurses' Care Plan and it's to deal with Mr PACKMAN obviously and his bowels. On the 23rd of August the problem identified is that due to immobility Mr PACKMAN was prone to constipation, there was then a desired outcome, which is to try to achieve a regular bowel movement pattern. The evaluation date (inaudible) was daily. Well the nursing action was for, to try and encourage adequate fibre in Mr PACKMAN's diet, to encourage adequate fluid intake, to ensure privacy at all times and to administer...

DC QUADE

(Inaudible)

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES ...(inaudible) as prescribed, and then after that there's all the notes made by the nurses PWO – bowels open. Is that what you would say was a well laid out Nursing Care Plan?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES And there are Nursing Care Plans then for all sorts of aspects for Mr PACKMAN's care, there's urinary catheter, his personal hygiene and it goes on. Who instructs the nurses and what care plans should be put in place?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Well where do the nurses get their directions from?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Who sets the care plans?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES So how do nurses know what care plans to put into place?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Is it something that's left to chance and the nurses just put in whatever care plans that they see fit?

BARTON No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES

So what directions are given to them by doctors?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Have you got anything?

DC QUADE

Yeah. (Pause) When Geoffrey PACKMAN came in on the 23rd Doctor RAVINDRANE wrote down a full page from his initial assessment and it looks like the nurses have taken up on that, so they've got a reasonably clear lead as to what they should be doing with Mr PACKMAN and DC YATES has just read out one page of the Nursing Care Plan and it looks as if the Nursing Care Plan is fairly reasonable and there are a few pages of it. You have been told, you have been cautioned at the start of this interview doctor and I think it's important for us to remind you that your solicitor has advised you to go 'no comment', but we will remind you that this is an opportunity for you to tell us what you know about Geoffrey PACKMAN in particular. Now if you look at this, in the absence of a 'no comment' interview, in the absence of anything from you it looks to me, looking at it, as if you just let the nurses get on with caring for Mr PACKMAN with minimal input from you.

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

We again you say 'no comment', but that is an interpretation that I can put on that at the moment, there's very very little written by you in these medical notes,...

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE ...so do you just rely on the experience of the nurses to just get on and look after Mr PACKMAN as best they can?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Thank you.

DC YATES With the clerking and the initial examination, Doctor RAVINDRANE he noted that Mr PACKMAN's ongoing problems were obesity, arthritis in his knees, immobility, pressure sores and constipation. He noted that Mr PACKMAN was on a high protein diet, he queried Myeloma on the 13th of August 1999 (13/08/1999), his haemoglobin was stable, he was better in himself with a good mental test score and no pain. So there was little to find on examination of him, but his obesity, swollen legs and pressure sores, is that correct doctor?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES I can refer you back to Page 54 of the medical notes if you wish.

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES But it does look like yet another example of you relying on nurses to inform you of any changes in the patients'

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

conditions. Is that what was happening at the War Memorial doctor?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

If I refer you again doctor back to the document GJQ/HF/14, it's a Job Description and other duties. Duties (3) to be responsible for the day-to-day medical management of the patients, and (4) to be responsible for the writing up of the initial case notes and to ensure that follow-up notes are kept up-to-date and reviewed regularly. That's your job description doctor, did you do that?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

If you didn't, who did?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Anything on that?

DC QUADE

No.

DC YATES

Right that tape is on about forty (40) minutes so it will buzz in a minute. What I'll do then is I'll, we'll stop the interview here and put another tape in, so the time by my watch is 0940 hours and we'll turn the recorder off.

THE INTERVIEW CONCLUDED - THE TAPE MACHINE WAS SWITCHED OFF.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

RECORD OF INTERVIEW

Number: Y20AK

Enter type: ROTI
(SDN / ROTI / Contemporaneous Notes / Index of Interview with VIW / Visually recorded interview)

Person interviewed: BARTON, JANE ANN

Place of interview: FAREHAM POLICE STATION

Date of interview: 06/04/2006

Time commenced: 1034 Time concluded: 1116

Duration of interview: 42 MINUTES Tape reference nos.
(→)

Interviewer(s): DC2479 Chris YATES / DC1162 Geoff QUADE

Other persons present: MR BARKER - SOLICITOR

Police Exhibit No: Number of Pages:

Signature of interviewer producing exhibit

Person speaking	Text
DC YATES	This interview is being tape recorded I am DC 2479 Chris YATES and my colleague is?
DC QUADE	DC1162 Geoff QUADE.
DC YATES	I am interviewing Doctor Jane BARTON. Doctor will you please give your full name and your dated of birth?
BARTON	Jane Ann BARTON 19/10/48.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES

Thank you.

BARTON

Also present is Mr BARKER, who is Doctor BARTON's solicitor. Can you please introduce yourself and your full name?

BARKER

Yes certainly. I am Ian Steven Petrie BARKER and I am Doctor BARTON's solicitor.

DC YATES

Thank you. This interview is being conducted in an Interview Room at Fareham Police Station in Hampshire. The time is 1034 hours and the date is the 6th of April 2006 (06/04/2006). At the conclusion of the whole process I will give you a notice explaining what will happen to the tapes. I must remind you doctor that you're still entitled to free legal advice. Mr BARKER is here as your legal advisor. Have you had enough time to consult with Mr BARKER in private or would you like further time?

BARTON

Fine thank you.

DC YATES

If at any time you do wish to stop the interview doctor to take legal advice just say and the interview will be stopped in order that you can do this.

BARTON

Thank you.

DC YATES

I'd also like to point out that you have attended voluntarily, you're not under arrest and you've come here of your own free will. So if at any time that you wish to leave you're

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

free to do so okay.

BARTON

Thank you.

DC YATES

I'll also caution you, you do not have to say anything but it may harm your defence if you do not mention, when questioned, something which you later rely on in court. Anything you do say maybe given in evidence. Do you understand that caution?

BARTON

Thank you.

DC YATES

Is there any need for it to be broken down again this time?

BARTON

No thank you.

DC YATES

Okay. As I've said before on this occasion the room that we're in has been equipped with a monitoring facility. Whenever the red light is on that means that somebody is listening to the interview. Today Detective Inspector GROCOTT is monitoring the interview with the lights on. (Somebody clearing throat) Nobody can listen to any conversation in this room when those tapes aren't playing doctor okay. Right if I can just confirm doctor that we've had a quick comfort break, but there's been no conversation about this matter whilst the tape's been off.

BARTON

None at all.

DC YATES

Thank you. If I can doctor I'd like to move on to issues

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

surrounding the pharmacy and that's the 'prescription and administration of controlled drugs', it's a specialist subject in it's own right and I seek an explanation now as to how you were involved in pharmaceutical prescriptions. I would also like to know your level of training and understanding of the drugs that you prescribed and their uses. How did you ensure doctor that you were up-to-date in the knowledge that you had in respect of pharmaceutical issues?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

What pharmaceutical training had you received at the time of Mr PACKMAN's admission to hospital?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

What further pharmaceutical training had you received since your initial qualifications?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

How would you know what drugs to prescribe to a patient?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

How would you learn about new drugs that are available for administration?

BARTON

No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES How would the pharmacy at the Gosport War Memorial Hospital work in relation to the availability or the suitability of medicines and drugs?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES How many pharmacists worked at the Gosport War Memorial Hospital in 1999?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Doctor what is the BNF?

BARTON No comment. (Somebody clears throat)

DC YATES Have you got a reference number for this?

DC QUADE CSY/HF/12.

DC YATES Doctor I'll show you the BNF number 42, September 2001. Is this a book that you're familiar with?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES I think I'll leave that on the desk should you wish to refer to it. A similar book, that's the other one, is the NPF, Nurse Prescribers Formulary, and that's got a reference of GJQ/HF/17, this one is dated 2002/2003 (inaudible). Is that a book that you're familiar with?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES What is its purpose?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES What is the purpose of the BNF?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES How often would you refer to it?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES And finally book wise GJQ/HF/18, which is the PCF, which is the Palliative Care Formulary. Is this a book that you are familiar with doctor?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES What is the purpose of that book?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES And how often would you refer to it?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Coughs) Were any of the drugs used in the treatment of

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

Mr PACKMAN new or seldom used?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

What was the purpose of the Wessex Protocols in relation to prescribing medicines to patients?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Have you got a copy of that one?

DC QUADE

Sorry which one?

DC YATES

Wessex Protocols.

DC QUADE

(Pause) No I haven't got a copy or it would be here.

DC YATES

No?

DC QUADE

No sorry.

DC YATES

(Inaudible)

DC QUADE

(Pause)

DC YATES

That's it. (Pause) Have you got a reference number? We're using that as a copy aren't we?

DC QUADE

Yeah, which is (pause) CSY/HF/3.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES

Okay CSY/HF/3 is a copy of the Palliative Care handbook and I have one here, a photocopy, and it's actually a photocopy of this small book Advice On Clinical Management. Is this a book that you're familiar with doctor?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

It's referred to often as the Wessex Protocols, it's a book, it's the 5th addition, Advice On Clinical Management, but this one is Countess Mountbatten House, Southampton University Hospital NHS Trust. That is in association with all the Wessex Specialist Palliative Care Units. How often did you refer to this book?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

(Pause) What was the purpose of the Wessex Protocols in relation to prescribing medicines to patients doctor?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

What pharmacy guidelines were available for prescribing the medicines within the Gosport War Memorial Hospital?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Where was the pharmacy at the Gosport War Memorial Hospital?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON	No comment.
DC YATES	How accessible was the pharmacy?
BARTON	No comment.
DC YATES	What were the opening times of the pharmacy if any?
BARTON	No comment.
DC YATES	Geoff?
DC QUADE	Regarding the pharmaceutical side of things, did you not have a responsibility as a general practitioner to keep up-to-date with drugs administration and prescribing?
BARTON	No comment.
DC QUADE	Do you get provided with training up dates regarding these matters?
BARTON	No comment.
DC QUADE	Did you, at any stage, feel that you needed that sort of training?
BARTON	No comment.
DC QUADE	Did you fully understand (pause) each drug that you were prescribing?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE In other words did you feel confident that you understood what that drug would do and why you should prescribe it?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE If you didn't, did you ever take steps to rectify that?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Were steps available to you at the time?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Did you ever seek advice from anybody in relation to the prescribing of controlled drugs?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Were you confident in your ability to ensure that each patient had the correct drug for their needs?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Okay.

DC YATES Going back to your Job Description, GJQ/HF/14. Duty number (8) was to prescribe, as required, drugs for the

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

patients under the care of the consultant physicians in geriatric medicine. (Clears throat) So that was one of your duties. Would you not be duty bound to keep up-to-date?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Right. Prescriptions. Now prescribing medicines doctor there's a requirement to complete different parts of a Prescription Chart. Now what I want to do now is try and get an explanation as to how the 'clinical assistance' was involved in the prescription of medicines and what protocols you followed. Now could you please describe the process undertaken in the prescribing and administering of controlled drugs?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Have you got a reference for this?

DC QUADE

CSY/HF/10.

DC YATES

And that identification refers to a (inaudible) in Gosport, an NHS Primary Care Trust Prescription sheet, which I am opening out for the doctor. Could I just take you through this chart and perhaps you can identify certainly if we have anything wrong. Once you open the document out there's three pages, there's an area on the top half of the first page, which is 'for once one and pre-medication drugs'. Who is responsible for completing that part of the form?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Under that is 'as required prescriptions', which there's a box for the approved name of the drug, the route that is to be taken, the dose, the date and the pharmacy and the signature of the doctor and the special directions, and next to that is the administration record, which I believe the nurses complete is that correct?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Who is responsible for completing the left hand box on the 'as required prescription'?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Would that be a doctor?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Again on your actual Job Description, GJQ/HF/14, one of your duties is to prescribe 'as required drugs' for the patients under the care of the consultant physicians in geriatric medicine. So would it be fair for me to think, as you accepted the job as 'clinical assistant', that that was one of your responsibilities to complete these?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

On the middle page, again the left hand side of it, it would

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

appear for the doctors, that's for 'regular prescriptions'.
Were you responsible for completing any of this?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

And that goes on to the next page, and finally the 'daily
review prescriptions', what are they?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Right on the back there's an area 'for nursing use only,
exceptions to prescribed orders'. What is this used for?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Is this completed by a nurse when, for some reason, a
prescribed order hasn't been taken...

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

...or has been refused by the patient?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Or even on occasions vomited?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

(Pause) What was your prescribing policy doctor?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES What medicines and drugs did you prescribe to Mr
PACKMAN?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES What is the difference between 'once only drugs', 'as
required drugs' and 'regular drugs'?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Pause) Why are ranges of drugs prescribed for patients?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES I'm just showing you a Prescription Chart, how do you
think that Prescription Chart should be completed?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES So what is a 'Proactive Prescribing Policy'?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Is this a policy where a range, quite often a large range of
drugs is prescribed?

BARTON No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES

How did this policy come about?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

What was its purpose?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Who authorised this policy?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Was this your policy we're describing?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Where could I find this policy?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

What is meant by 'telephone prescribing' doctor?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Am I right in thinking that 'telephone prescribing' would be a nurse phoning the doctor, the doctor making a prescription over the phone, the phone had been passed to a second nurse and the prescription repeated and then both nurses, or one of the nurses would make an entry on the record, countersigned by the second nurse and later signed

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

by the doctor when the doctor comes in. Is that correct?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

So what is the purpose of a doctor on call?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Is part of the purpose of a doctor on call to conduct telephone prescribing?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Is it also expected of a doctor on call to, if required, attend the hospital?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

If there is a doctor on call, and if there is the availability of 'telephone prescribing', why was there 'proactive prescribing'?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

What was the necessity of prescribing for such wide ranges of drugs?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

Was 'telephone prescribing' a recommended form of

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

prescribing drugs?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

Was it something that you were encouraged to do?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

Were you ever discouraged from doing it?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

Did you do it frequently?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

(Pause) Did you try to avoid 'telephone prescribing'?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

If you had a Proactive Policy, would that negate the need for anybody to phone you up?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

(Pause) What's the purpose of the 'proactive prescribing'?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

(Pause) Was it something that you used frequently?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Did you, on a personal level, prefer 'proactive prescribing' to 'telephone prescribing'?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Okay.

DC YATES (Pause) With 'proactive prescribing' and the ability to write up prescriptions possibly before they were needed, would that make your busy life easier?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Will I be correct in thinking with 'proactive prescribing' that that would negate the need to attend the hospital, and it would negate the need to be telephoned...

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES ...or certainly minimise those opportunities?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Because again as part of your Job Description is you're expected to be on call is that correct?

BARTON No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES Geoff?

DC QUADE Was that a lifestyle issue doctor?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Did you proactively prescribe purely on medical terms on what was best for the patients...

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE ...or was it a lifestyle issue?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE (Pause) Do you think it would have been preferable, particularly with the use of Diamorphine, to have prescribed in a way that would allow nurses to contact you should the patient need to have his dose varied...

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE ...as opposed to the Proactive Prescribing Policy that you adopted?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Okay.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES Who administers the prescribed drugs?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES What training do the nurses have for the administration of the drugs?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Can any level of nurse administer drugs?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES What is the purpose of the drug registers?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES What has to be recorded in them?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Why have there been drugs prescribed but no administered?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Geoff?

DC QUADE No.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES

Let me move on if I can then doctor to 'syringe drivers'. Now the use of a syringe driver, what we've found so far, is normally dictated by a doctor and that there are different reasons for employing a syringe driver, one of which is once a patient can no longer take oral medicine. I want to seek an explanation now as to why a syringe driver was utilised in this case, in particular in the way in which you would envisage the driver to be used. So we'll start off doctor with what training had you had for the use and deployment of syringe drivers?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

And what is a syringe driver?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

How long had syringe drivers been in use in 1999?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

But why is a syringe driver used?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

And what kinds of patients are most suitable for syringe drivers?

BARTON

No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES Who talks to the patient, or the family regarding the use of syringe drivers?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Well how does a syringe driver work?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Who prepares the drugs for administration via a syringe driver?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Right. We've got a photocopy now of the instructions for the use of the Ambulatory syringe drivers. This is a notice that was found on the ward in Dryad Ward, it's got a reference number of CSY/HF/8. First of all doctor have you seen this before?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES It's titled Graseby Medical Instructions For The Use Of (inaudible) Syringe Drivers, and it depicts that there are three types of syringe drivers, the Variable Syringe Driver MS16, a Fixed Syringe Driver MS18 and the Variable Speed Driver MS26. What are the differences between these syringe drivers?

BARTON No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES What is the difference between the MS16A and the MS26?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Has one got a boost facility?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES What is a boost facility?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES I believe they are actually both different colours. What colour was the syringe driver used in the case of Mr PACKMAN?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES So why was Mr PACKMAN given drugs by way of a syringe driver?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES And correct me if I'm wrong doctor, but Mr PACKMAN was still able to take oral medicine. Why wasn't he given pills, or Oramorph instead of a sub cut syringe driver?

BARTON No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES (Pause) Why was it necessary to put Mr PACKMAN on a syringe driver?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Pause) Why isn't there an entry on the Medical Records that the use of a syringe driver was now deemed necessary?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Page 55 are the only notes made by you and there's no mention of a need for a syringe driver.

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES So who deemed it necessary then?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Was it you?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Was it Sister HAMBLIN?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Did Sister HAMBLIN prescribe drugs?

BARTON No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES Why is there an entry in the nursing notes that a syringe driver is being used?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Pause) Is the use of a syringe driver a significant factor in the care of a patient?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Geoff?

DC QUADE Yes. Doctor we've just gone through the suitability and usage of syringe drivers for particular types of patients, and we see that this syringe driver was started on the 30th of August. DC YATES has already asked you one question saying: "Why was a syringe authorised and started on the 30th when Mr PACKMAN was still able to take oral medicine?" Can you remind me why that was?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Because not only was he able to take oral medicine, but a nursing note on the same date, on Page 63 of those notes, (someone coughs) a nursing note states that 'a very small amount of diet taken, mainly puddings'. So that implicates, doesn't it, that Mr PACKMAN was still eating, grant you in smaller doses, but he was still eating. If he was able to eat puddings, was he able to take Oramorph?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

The nursing note goes on to say, amongst other things, 'encourage fluids', which again indicates, does it not, that he was drinking still. Is that right doctor?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

And yet the syringe driver was authorised. Did Mr PACKMAN fit the criteria for the commencement of a syringe driver?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

And the interesting point about that entry on Page 63 is that the nurse who wrote it and says that 'he was taking mainly puddings and he was to be encouraged to have fluids', was the same nurse who started off the syringe driver having apparently discussed it with you and that nurse was Sister HAMBLIN. Have you got any comment to make about that doctor?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

Why would Sister HAMBLIN start a syringe driver on a patient who was still able to drink, who was still able to take oral medicine, who was still able to eat?

BARTON

No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC QUADE Was she acting on your instructions?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Did you authorise the use of that syringe driver at that time?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Was she acting on your authority Doctor BARTON?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Should you have allowed the use of that syringe driver at that time?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Have you got any further questions Chris?

DC YATES Along the same lines, on the 29th of August 1999 (29/08/1999) nocte, which is night, a nurse has written 'slept for long periods, Oramorph given as prescribed', and then 'complaining of left abdominal pain'. And then on the 30th of August, the next day, was Sister HAMBLIN's entry, which reads exactly 'condition remains poor, syringe driver commenced at 1445, Diamorphine 40 milligrams, Midazolam 20 milligrams, no further complaints of abdominal pain, very small amount of diet taken mainly

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

puddings, re-catherised this afternoon, dressing, draining of the fluids and the dressings also reviewed'. So the whole entry for the 30th of August says, first of all it says 'syringe started' and later still 'still able to eat'. I just find it puzzling doctor; can you shed any light on it?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

Having started off the syringe driver doctor and you apparently having authorised it why then, it being surely a significant factor in the care of Mr PACKMAN, why then did you not make a record in the notes explaining why the syringe driver was started?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

I say it's probably because you felt unable to do so given the note in the Nursing Record,...

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

...because surely your justification for using the syringe driver would have been 'unable to take oral medicine, unable to eat, unable to drink, commence syringe driver', that would go directly against what the sister had written wouldn't it?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

(Pause) Were you at the hospital when Sister HAMBLIN

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

spoke to you about the syringe driver?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

(Pause) If Mr PACKMAN was in enough pain to require Diamorphine through a subcutaneous syringe driver, what was causing that pain?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

I'll come back to that one. Now I'd like to talk to you doctor about some drugs now and there are three drugs in particular that were prescribed and administered to Mr PACKMAN. I just want to see if we can clarify and get a further explanation as to the specific reasons behind the prescribing of these drugs and their uses and effects. Now firstly I would like to talk about Oramorph. Why was this drug, Oramorph, prescribed?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Why and when was this drug administered?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

The drug was administered at 1445 hours, who authorised the drug?

BARTON

No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES (Pause) What time did you see Mr PACKMAN?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Pause) So what was the purpose of this drug?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Pause) Why was no other form of painkiller prescribed as an alternative to a strong opioid?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Pause) A little more interesting, on Page 172 of the Medical Records, which are BJC/34, if I pull the original out for you the very first entry at the doctor it says Oramorph 10 – 20. Because you've prescribed 10 – 20, how does anyone know what to administer?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Inaudible – mumbles) then how much has been administered?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Geoff?

DC QUADE Yeah. If I was a doctor on call and I'd come out to see Mr PACKMAN after one of those doses was administered,

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

how would I know what amount of Oramorph he'd received?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

Because it doesn't tell me there does it? It could be 10, it could be 20, and presumably it could be 15. Would you expect a doctor to have to go back to the drug book to check it out?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

Why have you prescribed that in such a way then?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

(Pause) (Coughs) Actually what is Oramorph doctor?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

And what is its purpose?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

And where does Oramorph sit on the Analgesic Ladder?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Again doctor Midazolam, what is Midazolam?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Well why is Midazolam used?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES And more specifically why was it used in relation to Mr PACKMAN?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Is it a sedative doctor?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Are there any other kinds of sedatives that can be used?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES This drug appears to be commonly used in patients at the terminal end of an illness, is this why this drug was prescribed to Mr PACKMAN on this occasion?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Did you consider Mr PACKMAN was at the terminal phase of his life?

BARTON No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES How would you know how much Midazolam to prescribe?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Whom was he diagnosed by as being in need of
Midazolam?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES What is the purpose of prescribing a range of parameters
for the administration of the drug (TAPE BUZZES)....
Hang on. Right we'll have to turn the tapes off.

INTERVIEW CONCLUDED - TAPE MACHINE
SWITCHED OFF.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

RECORD OF INTERVIEW

Number: Y20AJ

Enter type: ROTI
 (SDN / ROTI / Contemporaneous Notes / Index of Interview with VIW / Visually recorded interview)

Person interviewed: BARTON, JANE ANN

Place of interview: FAREHAM POLICE STATION

Date of interview: 06/04/2006

Time commenced: 0942 Time concluded: 1017

Duration of interview: 35 MINUTES Tape reference nos.
 (→)

Interviewer(s): DC2479 Chris YATES / DC1162 Geoff QUADE

Other persons present: MR BARKER - SOLICITOR

Police Exhibit No: Number of Pages:

Signature of interviewer producing exhibit

Person speaking Text

DC YATES This is a continuation of the interview with Doctor BARTON. The time is 0942 hours. Doctor can I just ask you to confirm that while the tapes were off there has been no conversation about this matter?

BARTON None.

DC YATES Thank you. Right the same people are present. I must remind you doctor that you are still under caution as well. I would like to move, if I may, on to 'existing treatment and

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

conditions', and in this case it is the case of Mr PACKMAN. What specific ailments was he suffering from? I will ask questions to get an understanding of why you've prescribed various medicines, also to seek an explanation as to what Medical Records would have been available to you and what you would have reviewed, and in order to offer the correct and appropriate care medical practitioners should be aware of pre-existing medical history, prescriptions and care plans. So what notes would have been available to you when a patient arrived at the ward?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

What process would you normally follow upon a patient's arrival at the Gosport War Memorial Hospital?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

What was Mr PACKMAN suffering from that necessitated him being admitted to the hospital in the first place?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Would it be right in saying obesity, swollen legs and pressure sores?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

(Pause) What medication was Mr PACKMAN taking at the

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

time of the transfer?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

(Pause) On the Drug Chart, which is on Page 170 and 168 actually, that reveals that he was on, he was continued on regular Doxazosin 4 milligrams once a day, Frusemide 80 milligrams once a day and (Inaudible) 40 milligrams twice a day, Paracetamol 1 gram four times a day. He was commenced on Magnesium Hydroxide 10 millilitres twice a day, I believe that's a laxative and that was subsequently taken intermittently, which was two doses on the 24th and one dose on the 25th, two doses on the 28th, 29th and one dose on the 30th, and as required Gaviscon. Is that correct doctor?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

What was the purpose of these drugs?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Now later Oramorph was prescribed, why was this?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

(Pause) On Page 172 of Mr PACKMAN's medical notes (pause), Oramorph was prescribed on the 26th of August. Why was this doctor?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Pause) Where is it recorded what the Oramorph was prescribed for?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES It's not is it doctor?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Why isn't it recorded anywhere?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Doctor I think we've established that it wasn't recorded. This patient came into hospital in 1999 and we are now in the year 2006. If we can't glean from the records why he was on Oramorph then, how could anybody looking at the records in 1999, how can anybody tell what it was for then as well. So if we don't know how did anybody know then?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE How did the nursing staff know what he was on the Oramorph for?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE How would any other medical personnel know what he was

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

on the Oramorph for?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

If somebody was called out during the night or over a weekend when you weren't available, how would they know what the Oramorph was for?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

Similarly when you wrote in your note: 'Happy for staff to confirm death,' on the 26th of August. If another doctor had been called out, how would they have known what he was dying from?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

I think that's a fairly reasonable question to ask doctor don't you?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

I think a doctor being called out to examine Geoffrey PACKMAN, after you wrote that note, would be entitled to know why you wrote it.

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

Similarly he'd be entitled to know why you prescribed Oramorph.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Chris.

DC YATES He wouldn't have been just entitled, he would need to know wouldn't he doctor?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Right. But on the same point wouldn't Geoffrey PACKMAN be entitled for any doctor treating him to understand what his current condition was?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES And how could a doctor being called out understand what the current condition was properly assessing if you hadn't written down what you had done?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Pause) Doctor I'd like to move on and talk about the purpose of Mr PACKMAN's stay and of your aims, your plans. Now care plans are put in place to allow a nurse and medical practitioner to follow a particular course of action. The progress of the patient is going to be monitored and the results reviewed and then the care can be altered accordingly. What I want now is to try and get an explanation as to how you were directly involved in the

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

process of establishing care plans. What is the purpose of a 'care plan' doctor?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

What input do you have in that 'care plan'?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

What was the 'care plan' that was put into place in respect of Mr PACKMAN?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Did that 'care plan' ever change?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

If it did why did it change?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Who was the main nurse for Mr PACKMAN?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

From the notes I believe that to be Nurse Freda SHAW.
What was her role?

BARTON

No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES Now I think Nurse Freda SHAW will be, as the main nurse have more contact than any other nurse with Mr PACKMAN and she certainly would have some sort of direct responsibility. So what did you discuss with her?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES What have you recorded as the 'care plan'?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES So was Freda SHAW left to her own devices?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Who decided on what the 'care and treatment plan' would be for Mr PACKMAN then?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES How would the 'care plans' be drawn up?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Well doctor who was responsible for the treatment of Mr PACKMAN on a day-to-day basis?

BARTON No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES Who was in overall charge of the care of Mr PACKMAN?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Sneezes) Excuse me. What planned investigations were you going to carry out?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Geoff do you want to ask anything?

DC QUADE No.

DC YATES (Sneezing) I'm having a sneezing fit I'm sorry.

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Only this then, (DC YATES sneezes) did you just leave the 'care plans' to the nurses?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Did you have no input into the 'care plans' at all?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Well surely the nurses would need some guidance from the doctors, otherwise why have doctors?

BARTON No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC QUADE

Okay.

DC YATES

Right. Medical Records then doctor. The recordings of interactions with patients, as we've said before, is a fundamental requirement of the Health Care Professional. In the Good Medical Practice, it's set out by the GMC that states that 'a doctor must keep clear, accurate, legible and contemporaneous records which report the relevant clinical findings and decisions made, the information given to patients and any drugs or other treatment described. That's on Page 3 of the Good Medical Practice, which is left on the desk, CSY/HF/2. So feel free to browse through that doctor. In addition that booklet states, well there's a booklet called Withholding and Withdrawing Life Prolonging Treatments...

DC QUADE

GJQ/HF/15.

DC YATES

...and on Page 30 of this document, or this book, it specifically states that 'the decision making process should be recorded'. Now with these documents in mind, I want to seek an explanation as to how you completed Medical Records, and in particular those records of Mr PACKMAN's? And I'll leave this book here for you as well doctor?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Doctor what would you record in the Medical Records of a

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

patient, and what importance did you place on the completion of the records?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

What would you expect to see recorded in the patient notes on a day-to-day basis?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

And in that question I include the nursing and medical notes doctor?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Did you normally complete records to the standards set out by the GMC?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

In fact in relation to the Good Medical Practice, the GMC booklet CSY/HF/2, doctor can you confirm if you got a copy of this booklet each year when you renewed your subscription?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Right the records of Mr PACKMAN. Other than on the Prescription Charts, there are only two pages of clinical notes for the War Memorial Hospital, which you have made

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

entries on the 26th and the 28th of August. Where in those entries doctor have you recorded that Mr PACKMAN was in pain?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Would you like to see these?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Where on Page 54, which is the initial assessment by Doctor RAVINDRANE, is it recorded that Mr PACKMAN was in pain?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

In fact would be right to say it was recorded that 'he was not in pain'?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Doctor what is the Analgesic Ladder?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Show me your description bit.

DC QUADE

Sure.

DC YATES

That yellow piece.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC QUADE (Pause) Just before we leave that last section doctor...

For the benefit of the tape DC YATES and DC QUADE talk amongst each other regarding the Analgesic Ladder.

DC QUADE Before we leave that last section about Mr PACKMAN being in pain and you haven't recorded anywhere in those notes what the pain was or where it was, I'm sure like DC YATES I've seen lots of Medical Records over the years in various cases I've worked on and is it not a common practice for doctors to draw diagrams of parts of the body indicating where a pain is emanated from, am I right?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE And isn't that, the reason for that is so that it makes it clear to anybody else who picks up on that patient to see where pain is coming from?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE So it indicates, it clears up any ambiguity as to where the pain is coming from, not necessarily what's causing it but where it's coming from?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE For instance where the patient is complaining of the pain?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE I don't think I've seen any diagrams from you regarding patients' pain. I

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Do you not feel that that is a good idea to draw diagrams of patients then?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Is that a practice that you don't adhere to? I

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Is it a practice you disagree with or some reason?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES In fact Page 45 of these medical notes, QA notes there's some diagrams here doctor, these are the sort of things that DC QUADE was talking about. Do you make any such diagrams?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Doctor we've just asked you about the Analgesic Ladder haven't we, and I am confident that you must be aware of the Analgesic Ladder. Am I right?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON

No comment. (Somebody coughs).

DC QUADE

From exhibit CSY/HF/6, these are blank Gosport medical documents from the War Memorial Hospital this is, I'm showing you a yellow copy, it's a newish document I believe. Can you see that?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Would you like to have a look at it?

BARTON

No thank you.

DC YATES

It sets out the Analgesic Ladder and it says that 'this is adopted from the WHO Analgesic Ladder and it's very very similar to the one available to you in the Wessex Protocol and it starts off (somebody coughs), it's in several steps isn't it? The first step being Step (1) Mild Pain and this is drugs, which are non-opioid such as Paracetamol, Diclofenac, Co-prox (pause), yes sorry Diclofenac etcetera, etcetera, yes, yeah? And then as the pain increases to a moderate pain you move up the ladder to weak opioids such as Codeine with Paracetamol, Co-codamol, Dihydrocodeine, Tramadol, etcetera, and then eventually we end up, if pain increases to severe pain, to Step (3) which are your strong opioids and these are basically your Morphine based drugs aren't they doctor?

BARTON

No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES So these would be your Oramorphs, MSTs, Diamorphine, Morphine. Is that right?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Is the Analgesic Ladder something that you follow when prescribing medicines for analgesics and painkillers?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Were you aware of the Analgesic Ladder in 1999?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES So what previous painkillers had Mr PACKMAN been prescribed?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Is that right Paracetamol four times a day doctor?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Why isn't there any documentation, and I know we keep coming back for this, but why isn't there any documentation relating to why Morphine or other strong analgesics were prescribed?

BARTON No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES

Why was Oramorph prescribed without an alternative?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

And why isn't there an entry in the Medical Records explaining why Mr PACKMAN was prescribed Diamorphine?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Geoff?

DC QUADE

No.

DC YATES

(Inaudible) about the topic about Ward Rounds and these are an opportunity for doctors and nurses to review a patient aren't they to discuss and decide upon further or change treatment? So as such they too are an integral part of a doctor's duties, and what I'd like to do is get an explanation from you as to how you conducted your rounds, and the role that you saw ward rounds played in the care and treatment of a patient and in particular Mr PACKMAN. So how often did you conduct your rounds doctor?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Will I be right in saying that in the document that we've given an identification reference of GJQ/HF/14, which is the Job Description for the Clinical Assistant at Gosport

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

War Memorial Hospital, Duty (1) was to visit the units on a regular basis and to be available on call as necessary. Did you do a round every time you visited the wards?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Who would you conduct your rounds with?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

What time of day would you conduct your rounds doctor?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Now you've previously stated that you visited the ward every morning between half-past-seven (0730) and nine (0900), most afternoons and some evenings. We know that you had certainly three afternoon commitments with the surgery, but you certainly state that 'you visited the hospital every morning'. Would you conduct a round every morning?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

What was the purpose of the ward rounds?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

How long did they take?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES If you conducted ward rounds, would the nurses accompany you?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Would the nurses have any input into the rounds?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Coughs) In what form did the ward rounds take place?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Would the ward rounds consist of visiting each patient at their bed, or you conducted in an office with the nursing staff?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES How often did the consultants conduct, well the consultants conduct their rounds?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Again Duty (7) from your Job Description, which is GJQ/HF/14, states that you should take part in the weekly consultant rounds. I would assume from your Job Description that the consultant rounds were weekly. Did

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

you take part?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

What time of the day did the consultant rounds take place?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Was it after nine o'clock?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Did you attend a consultant round with regards to Mr
PACKMAN?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Did you ever attend any consultant rounds?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Because I'm having a problem working out your actual
daily schedule again doctor. It was a busy day that you had,
half-past-seven (0730) until nine o'clock at the hospital,
nine (0900) until half-eleven (1130) at the surgery,
afternoon clinics. When did you ever have time to do a
consultant's round?

BARTON

No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES Was that just a blatant disregard for one of your duties?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES And if you did attend them, how did their rounds differ from yours?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Well did they differ?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES If you saw Mr PACKMAN every day, why didn't you make an entry in the medical notes each time?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Geoff.

DC QUADE What was the nurses' responsibility when it came down to ward rounds?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE The nursing staff?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE We touched on it there whether the ward rounds were an act

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

of you physically walking from bed to bed and physically seeing each patient. Did you actually do that doctor?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Or did you conduct them more as an office conference perhaps?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Was it the case that you sat in an office with the nursing staff and discussed the patient?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

The notes already indicate that you placed quite some responsibility on to the nursing staff. Was this another example of how you conducted your rounds or not?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Did you encourage or allow the nursing staff to conduct ward rounds on their own?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Did Sister HAMBLIN in particular conduct ward rounds on her own?

BARTON

No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES

If you weren't in hospital for some reason and legitimately that would probably happen wouldn't it on some days? Would Sister HAMBLIN conduct (somebody coughs) a ward round on her own?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

If she did, was that the practice that crept in gradually until she was doing more ward rounds than perhaps she should have been doing?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

Okay.

DC YATES

Doctor what I want to talk about is 'consultants' assessments and their responsibilities'. As we know consultants certainly play and integral part in the care and treatment of patients. I think it's essential that we give you the opportunity to offer an explanation as to how the role and the function of consultants is performed in the respect of Mr PACKMAN, and also I would like to know if you've had any concerns that you may have raised and raised them to whom. But did you have any concerns and how many consultants supported you at the Gosport War Memorial Hospital?

BARTON

No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES If you did, when did you raise these concerns?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Again if you did, how did you raise these concerns?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Where would a written record of these concerns be found?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Why would you have concerns?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Who was the consultant that was responsible for the care of
Mr PACKMAN whilst he was a patient on that ward?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES What did you understand the consultant's responsibilities to
be?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Well what involvement did the consultant have with Mr
PACKMAN to your knowledge?

BARTON No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES Did you have any concerns as to how the consultants performed their role in respect of this patient?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Were you given sufficient support by the consultants in order to carry out your own work?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES How was this support offered?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Did you ever raise concerns with anyone?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES If you did, whom did you raise these concerns to?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Coughs) And if you did, when did you raise these concerns?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES And probably more importantly, why did you raise concerns of anyone?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Geoff?

DC QUADE I think Doctor REID was the consultant...

DC YATES He was.

DC QUADE ...in this case wasn't he doctor? Yeah and DC YATES has confirmed it by reading from your notes. Did you have any problems with Doctor REID?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE I understand that Doctor RAVINDRANE was involved, and Doctor RAVINDRANE was a registrar above yourself and below Doctor REID. Did you raise any concerns regarding either of those two doctors?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Did you have any concerns with those two doctors?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE If you had had concerns, how would you have raised them?
Would you have known how to raise them?

BARTON No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC QUADE

You had, part of GJQ/HF/14 your Job Description, a letter accompanying it from Pauline DANCE, and it states in there that 'should you have any grievance relating to your employment, you are entitled to discuss the matter in the first instance with the consultants to whom you are responsible'. Did you ever do that?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

'And where appropriate, you can consult either in person or in writing with the personnel officer'. That's the nearest hospital. And it goes on to say that 'there is a Section 32 of the General (Inaudible) Council Conditions Of Service that you can also refer to affecting your conditions of service. Did you ever do that?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

And there is an agreed disciplinary procedure available to you in the Personnel Department at St. Mary's. Did you ever have a look at that?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

Did anything happen at Gosport War Memorial that led you to go down that path?

BARTON

No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC QUADE Did you have any personal issues with Doctor RAVINDRANE?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Did you have any personal issues with Doctor REID...

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE ...that would prevent you from making a complaint that it was justified?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Okay.

DC YATES Again the tapes have about three or four minutes to go, I think we'll change the tapes. In fact we might take a ten minute break now actually.

DC QUADE Yeah.

DC YATES All right. Is there anything you wish to clarify at the moment doctor?

BARTON No thank you.

DC YATES Is there anything you wish to add?

BARTON No thank you.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES

The time by my watch is 1017 hours and I am going to turn the recorder off.

INTERVIEW CONCLUDED - TAPE MACHINE SWITCHED OFF.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

RECORD OF INTERVIEW

Number: Y20AK

Enter type: ROTI
 (SDN/ROTI / Contemporaneous Notes / Index of Interview with VIW / Visually recorded interview)

Person interviewed: BARTON, JANE ANN

Place of interview: FAREHAM POLICE STATION

Date of interview: 06/04/2006

Time commenced: 1034 Time concluded: 1116

Duration of interview: 42 MINUTES Tape reference nos.
 (→)

Interviewer(s): DC2479 Chris YATES / DC1162 Geoff QUADE

Other persons present: MR BARKER - SOLICITOR

Police Exhibit No: Number of Pages:

Signature of interviewer producing exhibit

Person speaking	Text
DC YATES	This interview is being tape recorded I am DC 2479 Chris YATES and my colleague is?
DC QUADE	DC1162 Geoff QUADE.
DC YATES	I am interviewing Doctor Jane BARTON. Doctor will you please give your full name and your dated of birth?
BARTON	Jane Ann BARTON 19/10/48.
DC YATES	Thank you.

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON

Also present is Mr BARKER, who is Doctor BARTON's solicitor. Can you please introduce yourself and your full name?

BARKER

Yes certainly. I am Ian Steven Petrie BARKER and I am Doctor BARTON's solicitor.

DC YATES

Thank you. This interview is being conducted in an Interview Room at Fareham Police Station in Hampshire. The time is 1034 hours and the date is the 6th of April 2006 (06/04/2006). At the conclusion of the whole process I will give you a notice explaining what will happen to the tapes. I must remind you doctor that you're still entitled to free legal advice. Mr BARKER is here as your legal advisor. Have you had enough time to consult with Mr BARKER in private or would you like further time?

BARTON

Fine thank you.

DC YATES

If at any time you do wish to stop the interview doctor to take legal advice just say and the interview will be stopped in order that you can do this.

BARTON

Thank you.

DC YATES

I'd also like to point out that you have attended voluntarily, you're not under arrest and you've come here of your own free will. So if at any time that you wish to leave you're free to do so okay.

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON

Thank you.

DC YATES

I'll also caution you, you do not have to say anything but it may harm your defence if you do not mention, when questioned, something which you later rely on in court. Anything you do say maybe given in evidence. Do you understand that caution?

BARTON

Thank you.

DC YATES

Is there any need for it to be broken down again this time?

BARTON

No thank you.

DC YATES

Okay. As I've said before on this occasion the room that we're in has been equipped with a monitoring facility. Whenever the red light is on that means that somebody is listening to the interview. Today Detective Inspector GROCOTT is monitoring the interview with the lights on. (Somebody clearing throat) Nobody can listen to any conversation in this room when those tapes aren't playing doctor okay. Right if I can just confirm doctor that we've had a quick comfort break, but there's been no conversation about this matter whilst the tape's been off.

BARTON

None at all.

DC YATES

Thank you. If I can doctor I'd like to move on to issues surrounding the pharmacy and that's the 'prescription and administration of controlled drugs', it's a specialist subject in it's own right and I seek an explanation now as to how

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

you were involved in pharmaceutical prescriptions. I would also like to know your level of training and understanding of the drugs that you prescribed and their uses. How did you ensure doctor that you were up-to-date in the knowledge that you had in respect of pharmaceutical issues?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

What pharmaceutical training had you received at the time of Mr PACKMAN's admission to hospital?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

What further pharmaceutical training had you received since your initial qualifications?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

How would you know what drugs to prescribe to a patient?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

How would you learn about new drugs that are available for administration?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

How would the pharmacy at the Gosport War Memorial Hospital work in relation to the availability or the suitability of medicines and drugs?

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES How many pharmacists worked at the Gosport War Memorial Hospital in 1999?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Doctor what is the BNF?

BARTON No comment. (Somebody clears throat)

DC YATES Have you got a reference number for this?

DC QUADE CSY/HF/12.

DC YATES Doctor I'll show you the BNF number 42, September 2001. Is this a book that you're familiar with?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES I think I'll leave that on the desk should you wish to refer to it. A similar book, that's the other one, is the NPF, Nurse Prescribers Formulary, and that's got a reference of GJQ/HF/17, this one is dated 2002/2003 (inaudible). Is that a book that you're familiar with?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES What is its purpose?

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES What is the purpose of the BNF?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES How often would you refer to it?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES And finally book wise GJQ/HF/18, which is the PCF, which is the Palliative Care Formulary. Is this a book that you are familiar with doctor?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES What is the purpose of that book?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES And how often would you refer to it?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Coughs) Were any of the drugs used in the treatment of Mr PACKMAN new or seldom used?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES What was the purpose of the Wessex Protocols in relation to prescribing medicines to patients?

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Have you got a copy of that one?

DC QUADE Sorry which one?

DC YATES Wessex Protocols.

DC QUADE (Pause) No I haven't got a copy or it would be here.

DC YATES No?

DC QUADE No sorry.

DC YATES (Inaudible)

DC QUADE (Pause)

DC YATES That's it. (Pause) Have you got a reference number?
We're using that as a copy aren't we?

DC QUADE Yeah, which is (pause) CSY/HF/3.

DC YATES Okay CSY/HF/3 is a copy of the Palliative Care handbook
and I have one here, a photocopy, and it's actually a
photocopy of this small book Advice On Clinical
Management. Is this a book that you're familiar with
doctor?

BARTON No comment.

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

- DC YATES It's referred to often as the Wessex Protocols, it's a book, it's the 5th addition, Advice On Clinical Management, but this one is Countess Mountbatten House, Southampton University Hospital NHS Trust. That is in association with all the Wessex Specialist Palliative Care Units. How often did you refer to this book?
- BARTON No comment.
- DC YATES (Pause) What was the purpose of the Wessex Protocols in relation to prescribing medicines to patients doctor?
- BARTON No comment.
- DC YATES What pharmacy guidelines were available for prescribing the medicines within the Gosport War Memorial Hospital?
- BARTON No comment.
- DC YATES Where was the pharmacy at the Gosport War Memorial Hospital?
- BARTON No comment.
- DC YATES How accessible was the pharmacy?
- BARTON No comment.
- DC YATES What were the opening times of the pharmacy if any?

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Geoff?

DC QUADE Regarding the pharmaceutical side of things, did you not have a responsibility as a general practitioner to keep up-to-date with drugs administration and prescribing?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Do you get provided with training up dates regarding these matters?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Did you, at any stage, feel that you needed that sort of training?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Did you fully understand (pause) each drug that you were prescribing?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE In other words did you feel confident that you understood what that drug would do and why you should prescribe it?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE If you didn't, did you ever take steps to rectify that?

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Were steps available to you at the time?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Did you ever seek advice from anybody in relation to the prescribing of controlled drugs?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Were you confident in your ability to ensure that each patient had the correct drug for their needs?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Okay.

DC YATES Going back to your Job Description, GJQ/HF/14. Duty number (8) was to prescribe, as required, drugs for the patients under the care of the consultant physicians in geriatric medicine. (Clears throat) So that was one of your duties. Would you not be duty bound to keep up-to-date?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Right. Prescriptions. Now prescribing medicines doctor there's a requirement to complete different parts of a Prescription Chart. Now what I want to do now is try and get an explanation as to how the 'clinical assistance' was

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

involved in the prescription of medicines and what protocols you followed. Now could you please describe the process undertaken in the prescribing and administering of controlled drugs?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Have you got a reference for this?

DC QUADE

CSY/HF/10.

DC YATES

And that identification refers to a (inaudible) in Gosport, an NHS Primary Care Trust Prescription sheet, which I am opening out for the doctor. Could I just take you through this chart and perhaps you can identify certainly if we have anything wrong. Once you open the document out there's three pages, there's an area on the top half of the first page, which is 'for once one and pre-medication drugs'. Who is responsible for completing that part of the form?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Under that is 'as required prescriptions', which there's a box for the approved name of the drug, the route that is to be taken, the dose, the date and the pharmacy and the signature of the doctor and the special directions, and next to that is the administration record, which I believe the nurses complete is that correct?

BARTON

No comment.

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES Who is responsible for completing the left hand box on the 'as required prescription'?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Would that be a doctor?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Again on your actual Job Description, GJQ/HF/14, one of your duties is to prescribe 'as required drugs' for the patients under the care of the consultant physicians in geriatric medicine. So would it be fair for me to think, as you accepted the job as 'clinical assistant', that that was one of your responsibilities to complete these?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES On the middle page, again the left hand side of it, it would appear for the doctors, that's for 'regular prescriptions'. Were you responsible for completing any of this?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES And that goes on to the next page, and finally the 'daily review prescriptions', what are they?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Right on the back there's an area 'for nursing use only, exceptions to prescribed orders'. What is this used for?

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Is this completed by a nurse when, for some reason, a prescribed order hasn't been taken...

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES ...or has been refused by the patient?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Or even on occasions vomited?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Pause) What was your prescribing policy doctor?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES What medicines and drugs did you prescribe to Mr PACKMAN?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES What is the difference between 'once only drugs', 'as required drugs' and 'regular drugs'?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Pause) Why are ranges of drugs prescribed for patients?

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES I'm just showing you a Prescription Chart, how do you think that Prescription Chart should be completed?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES So what is a 'Proactive Prescribing Policy'?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Is this a policy where a range, quite often a large range of drugs is prescribed?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES How did this policy come about?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES What was its purpose?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Who authorised this policy?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Was this your policy we're describing?

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Where could I find this policy?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES What is meant by 'telephone prescribing' doctor?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Am I right in thinking that 'telephone prescribing' would be a nurse phoning the doctor, the doctor making a prescription over the phone, the phone had been passed to a second nurse and the prescription repeated and then both nurses, or one of the nurses would make an entry on the record, countersigned by the second nurse and later signed by the doctor when the doctor comes in. Is that correct?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES So what is the purpose of a doctor on call?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Is part of the purpose of a doctor on call to conduct telephone prescribing?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Is it also expected of a doctor on call to, if required, attend the hospital?

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES If there is a doctor on call, and if there is the availability of 'telephone prescribing', why was there 'proactive prescribing'?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES What was the necessity of prescribing for such wide ranges of drugs?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Was 'telephone prescribing' a recommended form of prescribing drugs?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Was it something that you were encouraged to do?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Were you ever discouraged from doing it?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Did you do it frequently?

BARTON No comment.

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC QUADE (Pause) Did you try to avoid 'telephone prescribing'?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE If you had a Proactive Policy, would that negate the need for anybody to phone you up?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE (Pause) What's the purpose of the 'proactive prescribing'?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE (Pause) Was it something that you used frequently?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Did you, on a personal level, prefer 'proactive prescribing' to 'telephone prescribing'?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Okay.

DC YATES (Pause) With 'proactive prescribing' and the ability to write up prescriptions possibly before they were needed, would that make your busy life easier?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Will I be correct in thinking with 'proactive prescribing'

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

that that would negate the need to attend the hospital, and it would negate the need to be telephoned...

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

...or certainly minimise those opportunities?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Because again as part of your Job Description is you're expected to be on call is that correct?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Geoff?

DC QUADE

Was that a lifestyle issue doctor?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

Did you proactively prescribe purely on medical terms on what was best for the patients...

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

...or was it a lifestyle issue?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

(Pause) Do you think it would have been preferable, particularly with the use of Diamorphine, to have

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

prescribed in a way that would allow nurses to contact you should the patient need to have his dose varied...

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE ...as opposed to the Proactive Prescribing Policy that you adopted?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Okay.

DC YATES Who administers the prescribed drugs?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES What training do the nurses have for the administration of the drugs?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Can any level of nurse administer drugs?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES What is the purpose of the drug registers?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES What has to be recorded in them?

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Why have there been drugs prescribed but no administered?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Geoff?

DC QUADE No.

DC YATES Let me move on if I can then doctor to 'syringe drivers'. Now the use of a syringe driver, what we've found so far, is normally dictated by a doctor and that there are different reasons for employing a syringe driver, one of which is once a patient can no longer take oral medicine. I want to seek an explanation now as to why a syringe driver was utilised in this case, in particular in the way in which you would envisage the driver to be used. So we'll start off doctor with what training had you had for the use and deployment of syringe drivers?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES And what is a syringe driver?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES How long had syringe drivers been in use in 1999?

BARTON No comment.

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES But why is a syringe driver used?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES And what kinds of patients are most suitable for syringe drivers?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Who talks to the patient, or the family regarding the use of syringe drivers?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Well how does a syringe driver work?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Who prepares the drugs for administration via a syringe driver?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Right. We've got a photocopy now of the instructions for the use of the Ambulatory syringe drivers. This is a notice that was found on the ward in Dryad Ward, it's got a reference number of CSY/HF/8. First of all doctor have you seen this before?

BARTON No comment.

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES It's titled Graseby Medical Instructions For The Use Of (inaudible) Syringe Drivers, and it depicts that there are three types of syringe drivers, the Variable Syringe Driver MS16, a Fixed Syringe Driver MS18 and the Variable Speed Driver MS26. What are the differences between these syringe drivers?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES What is the difference between the MS16A and the MS26?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Has one got a boost facility?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES What is a boost facility?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES I believe they are actually both different colours. What colour was the syringe driver used in the case of Mr PACKMAN?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES So why was Mr PACKMAN given drugs by way of a syringe driver?

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES And correct me if I'm wrong doctor, but Mr PACKMAN was still able to take oral medicine. Why wasn't he given pills, or Oramorph instead of a sub cut syringe driver?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Pause) Why was it necessary to put Mr PACKMAN on a syringe driver?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Pause) Why isn't there an entry on the Medical Records that the use of a syringe driver was now deemed necessary?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Page 55 are the only notes made by you and there's no mention of a need for a syringe driver.

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES So who deemed it necessary then?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Was it you?

BARTON No comment.

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES Was it Sister HAMBLIN?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Did Sister HAMBLIN prescribe drugs?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Why is there an entry in the nursing notes that a syringe driver is being used?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Pause) Is the use of a syringe driver a significant factor in the care of a patient?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Geoff?

DC QUADE Yes. Doctor we've just gone through the suitability and usage of syringe drivers for particular types of patients, and we see that this syringe driver was started on the 30th of August. DC YATES has already asked you one question saying: "Why was a syringe authorised and started on the 30th when Mr PACKMAN was still able to take oral medicine?" Can you remind me why that was?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Because not only was he able to take oral medicine, but a

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

nursing note on the same date, on Page 63 of those notes, (someone coughs) a nursing note states that 'a very small amount of diet taken, mainly puddings'. So that implicates, doesn't it, that Mr PACKMAN was still eating, grant you in smaller doses, but he was still eating. If he was able to eat puddings, was he able to take Oramorph?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

The nursing note goes on to say, amongst other things, 'encourage fluids', which again indicates, does it not, that he was drinking still. Is that right doctor?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

And yet the syringe driver was authorised. Did Mr PACKMAN fit the criteria for the commencement of a syringe driver?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

And the interesting point about that entry on Page 63 is that the nurse who wrote it and says that 'he was taking mainly puddings and he was to be encouraged to have fluids', was the same nurse who started off the syringe driver having apparently discussed it with you and that nurse was Sister HAMBLIN. Have you got any comment to make about that doctor?

BARTON

No comment.

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC QUADE Why would Sister HAMBLIN start a syringe driver on a patient who was still able to drink, who was still able to take oral medicine, who was still able to eat?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Was she acting on your instructions?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Did you authorise the use of that syringe driver at that time?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Was she acting on your authority Doctor BARTON?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Should you have allowed the use of that syringe driver at that time?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Have you got any further questions Chris?

DC YATES Along the same lines, on the 29th of August 1999 (29/08/1999) nocte, which is night, a nurse has written 'slept for long periods, Oramorph given as prescribed', and then 'complaining of left abdominal pain'. And then on the 30th of August, the next day, was Sister HAMBLIN's entry,

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

which reads exactly 'condition remains poor, syringe driver commenced at 1445, Diamorphine 40 milligrams, Midazolam 20 milligrams, no further complaints of abdominal pain, very small amount of diet taken mainly puddings, re-catherised this afternoon, dressing, draining of the fluids and the dressings also reviewed'. So the whole entry for the 30th of August says, first of all it says 'syringe started' and later still 'still able to eat'. I just find it puzzling doctor; can you shed any light on it?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

Having started off the syringe driver doctor and you apparently having authorised it why then, it being surely a significant factor in the care of Mr PACKMAN, why then did you not make a record in the notes explaining why the syringe driver was started?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

I say it's probably because you felt unable to do so given the note in the Nursing Record,...

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

...because surely your justification for using the syringe driver would have been 'unable to take oral medicine, unable to eat, unable to drink, commence syringe driver', that would go directly against what the sister had written wouldn't it?

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE (Pause) Were you at the hospital when Sister HAMBLIN spoke to you about the syringe driver?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Pause) If Mr PACKMAN was in enough pain to require Diamorphine through a subcutaneous syringe driver, what was causing that pain?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES I'll come back to that one. Now I'd like to talk to you doctor about some drugs now and there are three drugs in particular that were prescribed and administered to Mr PACKMAN. I just want to see if we can clarify and get a further explanation as to the specific reasons behind the prescribing of these drugs and their uses and effects. Now firstly I would like to talk about Oramorph. Why was this drug, Oramorph, prescribed?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Why and when was this drug administered?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES The drug was administered at 1445 hours, who authorised the drug?

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Pause) What time did you see Mr PACKMAN?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Pause) So what was the purpose of this drug?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Pause) Why was no other form of painkiller prescribed as an alternative to a strong opioid?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Pause) A little more interesting, on Page 172 of the Medical Records, which are BJC/34, if I pull the original out for you the very first entry at the doctor it says Oramorph 10 – 20. Because you've prescribed 10 – 20, how does anyone know what to administer?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Inaudible – mumbles) then how much has been administered?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Geoff?

DC QUADE Yeah. If I was a doctor on call and I'd come out to see Mr

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

PACKMAN after one of those doses was administered, how would I know what amount of Oramorph he'd received?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Because it doesn't tell me there does it? It could be 10, it could be 20, and presumably it could be 15. Would you expect a doctor to have to go back to the drug book to check it out?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Why have you prescribed that in such a way then?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Pause) (Coughs) Actually what is Oramorph doctor?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES And what is its purpose?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES And where does Oramorph sit on the Analgesic Ladder?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Again doctor Midazolam, what is Midazolam?

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Well why is Midazolam used?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES And more specifically why was it used in relation to Mr
PACKMAN?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Is it a sedative doctor?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Are there any other kinds of sedatives that can be used?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES This drug appears to be commonly used in patients at the
terminal end of an illness, is this why this drug was
prescribed to Mr PACKMAN on this occasion?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Did you consider Mr PACKMAN was at the terminal phase
of his life?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES How would you know how much Midazolam to prescribe?

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Whom was he diagnosed by as being in need of Midazolam?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES What is the purpose of prescribing a range of parameters for the administration of the drug (TAPE BUZZES).... Hang on. Right we'll have to turn the tapes off.

INTERVIEW CONCLUDED - TAPE MACHINE SWITCHED OFF.

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

RECORD OF INTERVIEW

Number: Y20AL

Enter type: ROTI
 (SDN / ROTI / Contemporaneous Notes / Index of Interview with VIW / Visually recorded interview)

Person interviewed: BARTON, JANE ANN

Place of interview: FAREHAM POLICE STATION

Date of interview: 06/04/2006

Time commenced: 1121 Time concluded: 1155

Duration of interview: 34 MINUTES Tape reference nos.
 (→)

Interviewer(s): DC2479 YATES / DC1162 QUADE

Other persons present: MR BARKER - SOLICITOR

Police Exhibit No: Number of Pages:

Signature of interviewer producing exhibit

Person speaking Text

DC YATES This is a continuation of the interview with Doctor BARTON. I am DC Chris YATES, the other officer present is?

DC QUADE DC1162 Geoff QUADE.

DC YATES Thank you. The time by my watch is 1121 hours. The last tape finished before we could actually give an end time and that was 1116 hours that the last tape ended. It's just really

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

been a change over of tapes. Doctor can you confirm it's the same people in the room?

BARTON

I can.

DC YATES

Would you care to confirm whether there's been any conversation about this matter while the tapes have been off?

BARTON

None at all.

DC YATES

Okay doctor. I must still remind you that you are still under caution. We were talking about Midazolam weren't we?

BARTON

(Silent)

DC YATES

Right. What is the purpose doctor of prescribing a range of parameters for the administration of this drug, Midazolam, i.e. 20 – 80 milligrams?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Is this what is known as 'proactive prescribing'?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Why doctor did you prescribe a range of this drug to Mr PACKMAN?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES How would the nurses know where to start within this range?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Where is it recorded within the medical notes your prescribing instructions to the nurses as to why, when and by how much the dose can be altered within this range?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES And by whom?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES How would a nurse know why to alter the dose?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES How would a nurse know when to alter the dose?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES And very importantly, how would a nurse know how much to alter the dose by?

BARTON No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES Doctor would you expect to see an entry in the notes as to the justification for this drug being administered?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES What safe guards were in place to ensure that Mr PACKMAN did not receive an excessive dose of Midazolam?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES What part did the Wessex Protocols play in the prescription of Midazolam?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Did they play any part at all?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Pause) Why didn't you follow the guidelines for the prescription of Midazolam, i.e. arrange starting at 5 milligrams a day?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Geoff?

DC QUADE No.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES Doctor Diamorphine. What is Diamorphine?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Why is Diamorphine used?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Interference on tape) What kinds of analgesics are normally used (inaudible interference on tape) Diamorphine?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Where does Diamorphine fit within the Analgesic Ladder?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Why didn't you record what the purpose was for Diamorphine on the records?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Why was the Diamorphine written up to 200 milligrams?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Would you have allowed a nurse to administer this much without you reviewing the patient?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES How would you stop this happening?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Why was a Proactive Prescribing Policy needed if you were seeing the patients every day?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Pause) In your Job Description, GJQ/HF/14, your very first duty is 'to visit the units on a regular basis and to be available on call as necessary'. If you complied with this duty, what was the necessity for proactive prescribing?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Duty (4) to be responsible for the writing up of initial case notes and to ensure that follow-up notes are kept up to date and reviewed regularly. Why haven't you performed this duty doctor?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Where is it recorded, bearing in mind that duty, on how much the nurses can increase the dosage of any drug when arranged as prescribed?

BARTON No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES (Coughs) (Pause) What checks and valve safes were put in place to prevent overdosing?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Pause) Why was Diamorphine prescribed to Mr PACKMAN?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Is it normal to prescribe Diamorphine as a required drug?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Was Mr PACKMAN in his terminal phase in your view?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES How was he diagnosed as being in need of Diamorphine?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES How would you decide how much Diamorphine to prescribe?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES What is the purpose of prescribing a range of parameters for the administration of a drug, i.e. 20 – 80 milligrams?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES And why did you prescribe a range of this drug to Mr PACKMAN?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES And very importantly, how would the nurses know where to start within this range?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Pause) Where is it recorded then within the medical notes the prescribing instructions to the nurses as to why, when and by how much that those can be altered within this range and by whom?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Would you expect to see an entry in the notes as to the justification for this drug being administered?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES What would you consider to be an excessive dose of Diamorphine for Mr PACKMAN?

BARTON No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES What safeguards were in place to ensure that Mr
PACKMAN did not receive an excessive dose of
Diamorphine?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES What part did the Wessex Protocols play in the prescription
of Diamorphine?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES That's that little book that's already been produced on the
table doctor. Did it play any role at all?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Why didn't you follow the guidelines for the prescription
of Diamorphine, i.e. arrange starting it at 10 milligrams a
day?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Pause) Did you ever seek advice from anyone regarding
your prescribing regime in respect of Mr PACKMAN?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Why didn't you?

BARTON No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES (Coughs) How do you know that you're prescribing regime did not lead to a worsening of Mr PACKMAN'S condition?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Where is the reasoning behind this recorded?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Why wasn't this recorded?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Doctor there's no justification documented in the medical notes for the use of Diamorphine or Midazolam and the syringe driver, why is that?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Why isn't there any record of an ongoing assessment?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES There weren't any documentation notes to explain why Mr PACKMAN required increases in the doses of Diamorphine from 40 up to eventually 90 milligrams over a three-day period.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES When did you consider that Mr PACKMAN had entered the terminal phase of his life?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Why did you consider Mr PACKMAN had entered the terminal phase of his life?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES What change had taken place of Mr PACKMAN for you to reach this conclusion?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Where did you record this (coughs)?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Were you qualified to make this diagnoses doctor?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Were you qualified to diagnose and provide palliative care to Mr PACKMAN?

BARTON No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES Was that your responsibility?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Did you refer these decisions to a consultant?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Did you ever refer to a consultant?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Geoff?

DC QUADE Yeah. Regarding the lack of notes on on-going assessment, I think it's quite appropriate with analgesics, but particularly with Diamorphine, which is, is that the strongest one you can prescribe doctor?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Don't you have a duty to regularly review that (somebody coughs) dosage on the patients?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Because otherwise how do you know what effect it's having on them?

BARTON No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC QUADE Did you ever go back to him to find out whether the Diamorphine was having a good effect,...

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE ...or bad effect?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Did you ever check him for his, do that simple pupil check that I understand some doctors do...

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE ...whereby you can state, you can see from the state of the pupils whether the Diamorphine is having the right effect, or too much effect, i.e. if it makes them drowsy?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Well let's go back then to (pause) when you originally prescribed to him... Can I just take the BNF?

DC YATES Yeah it's here.

DC QUADE Does, in the BNF, tell me if I'm reading it right, I would like you to have a look at it, does it not indicate that 'you should start at 5 milligrams of Diamorphine subcutaneously'?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Because he was on 10 milligrams of Oramorph wasn't he?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE But the starting dose in the syringe driver was 40 wasn't it?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Well you prescribed it...

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE ...and you apparently authorised it.

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Well I'll tell you then it started at 40 on your prescription and apparently on your authorisation. Is that right?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Or are you saying that a nurse has now administered that without authority?

BARTON No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC QUADE

Well let me show you, this is a blow up from the Prescribing For The Elderly, which is in the BNF, and you will see on there that for the Morphine Sulphate 10 milligrams every four hours. If you go across it goes to 20 milligrams of Diamorphine. Well you didn't even start there did you, I asked you just now 'why didn't you start at 5 milligrams?', or suggested you could have done, but you don't start there you go right to 40. So if I show you that and I'll introduce that as GJQ/HF/21, if I show you that you can see that that's quite a dramatic jump isn't it?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

Not only is it a dramatic jump to 40, so it looks as if it is completely out of the guidelines, is that right?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

I'm just wondering why Morphine Sulphate wasn't used because you've missed that.

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

Now let's just go back to the 10 milligrams of Morphine - yes? And let's just think about the date when you prescribed the Diamorphine (somebody coughs), because if you look at the prescription charts on Page 171 you'll see that you prescribed the Diamorphine 40 - 200, again in a huge range on the 26th and at that stage you had also prescribed the Oramorph 10 - 20 so you didn't, presumably

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

that was arranged where you're authorising the nurses to administer up to 20 milligrams of Oramorph. Is that right or wrong?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

Going on your prescription, would the nurse have been wrong to give Geoffrey PACKMAN 20 milligrams of Oramorph?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

That was on the 26th and that was the same day that you authorised the Diamorphine.

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

So how did you know what the correct dose of Diamorphine would be before he had even started on that Oramorph prescription...

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

...because that was a variable range wasn't it according your prescription?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

Well we've told you doctor this is your opportunity to tell us things if we've got the wrong end of the stick and so we

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

repeat: "This is your opportunity to tell us." What was the thinking behind that?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

Because how do you know what his requirement would be in terms of Diamorphine before you had given the Oramorph its chance?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

Well I'll take you back to when the Diamorphine was started on the subcutaneous dosage. Did you authorise the commencement of the syringe driver?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

Did you need to authorise the commencement of a syringe driver?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

(Pause) If a nurse lets, for arguments sake you are in the hospital at the time, could a nurse start that syringe driver of her own accord?

BARTON

No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC QUADE A significant factor in the treatment of Geoffrey
PACKMAN is just about to start. Should that nurse have
contacted you?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Did that nurse contact you?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE If the nurse had contacted you, should that be recorded?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Well I suggest it should have done, it should have been
recorded by the nurse shouldn't it?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE And then it should have been recorded by you.

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Well why wasn't it recorded by you?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE It wasn't recorded by the nurse either was it?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

She said that 'she started the syringe driver', but she doesn't say in her note that she's had a conversation with yourself, or any other doctor come to that.

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

In fact it's for that doctor, in your own prepared statement you wrote: "I anticipate that the nursing staff would have liaised with me prior to commencing with the Diamorphine and Midazolam and that this would have been set up on my instruction directly if I had been at the hospital, or otherwise by phone," but you don't know do you?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

Well given there's 'no comment' from you again doctor, I am now thinking along the lines that what about this for something that may have happened? The nurse has started that syringe driver without your authority and a dose far exceeding the guidelines and using the table in the BNF. Is that what happened?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

Would that explain why you did not make a record afterwards?

BARTON

No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC QUADE If that was the scenario and you came into the hospital and saw that Geoffrey PACKMAN had been started on a syringe driver without your authority and on too high a dose range, what could you have done? What were your options?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Could you have made an entry in the nursing notes, in the medical notes saying 'a mistake had been made'?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Could you have stopped the syringe driver?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE We've already seen that he was able to eat and drink and take oral medicine, so could you have gone a different route and changed his medication?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Were you covering up for Sister HAMBLIN Doctor REID, Doctor BARTON?

BARTON No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC QUADE Do you think that you and Sister HAMBLIN, at this time, followed the guidelines and the procedures correctly?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Pause) Doctor if I can take you back to Page 54, Page 55 of these notes (pause), it will be Page 55, the Medical Records, PJC/34, your very first entry on the 26th of August 1999 (26/08/1999), the very last line of that entry which was signed by you doctor. Can you confirm that?

BARTON Confirmed.

DC YATES "I am happy for nursing staff to confirm death." What does that mean doctor?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES And why is it recorded there?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Is there a difference between confirming and verifying and certifying death?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES If there are, what are the differences?

BARTON No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES And what was the normal practice to be followed by nurses upon the death of a patient?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES And why is this statement written a number of days prior to Mr PACKMAN's death?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES In fact this statement was written on the 26th of August doctor, Mr PACKMAN didn't die until the 3rd of September, it's a week. More is the point that this will appear, as far as the notes are concerned, the clinical notes, in your first interaction with Mr PACKMAN, the previous note on the 23rd of August said: "No pain," and then yours he is almost written off: "I am happy for nursing staff to confirm death." Why would that be written that early on?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Geoff?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE (Pause) Doctor when you wrote: "Happy for staff to confirm death," what brought you to the conclusion, what were the inferences on you that led you to that conclusion to write that?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE You clearly felt that he was dying, or could die. Is that correct?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE And possibly when you're not in the hospital. Is that correct?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE What were you aware of when he had his treatment at the QA Hospital?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Well we know that Doctor RAVINDRANE had obviously read the notes because of his clerking-in of Mr PACKMAN on the day he came in on the 23rd, and in those notes at the QA he had been written up, at least once, 'not for resus'. Were you aware of that?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Did that influence you in writing: "Happy for staff to confirm death."?

BARTON No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC QUADE What is your understanding of that term 'not for resus'?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Well to put it crudely it doesn't mean 'to let the patient die'
does it?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE My understanding is that if the patient would say fall into
cardiac arrest, something along those lines, he would not be
considered for resuscitation in that circumstance, is that
right?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE (Somebody coughs) So did that term influence you when
you wrote that?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Well what made you write it then?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE What did you feel he was dying from?

BARTON No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC QUADE

What were the signs of him dying?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

Okay Chris.

DC YATES

(Pause) I'm going to do a bit more on that. (Pause) 'Not for resuscitation', paragraph 25 of your statement. 'It was my impression that when I assessed Mr PACKMAN on this occasion was that he was very ill. I felt that in view of his condition and the previous decisions that he was not for resuscitation, transfer to the (inaudible) was quite inappropriate. Any such transfer was very likely to have had a further serious effect on his health'. So you're saying in your statement that you were influenced by previous decisions that he was not for resuscitation. Is that correct doctor?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

The meaning of 'not for resuscitation' is quite specific isn't it? I believe a medical judgement has been made that 'in the event of the patient's heart or breathing stopping unexpectedly, cardio respiratory arrest, there is little or not chance of cardiopulmonary resuscitation being successful, that is it being medically futile and should not be attempted. This is usually on a background of a progressive life threatening illness or other significant medical problems'. What was Mr PACKMAN's progressive life threatening illness?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES And the status of 'not for resuscitation', that does not mean that the patient is automatically excluded from receiving appropriate treatment for other medical problems that may arise. I mean even patients that are suffering from really advanced cancer who may be admitted seriously unwell with an infection, they would be treated for the infection wouldn't they doctor?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Pause) I find it (clears throat) hard with the medical notes as they are that on Page 54 Doctor RAVINDRANE is saying 'his mental score is very good, he's better in himself, there's no pain' and that's on the 23rd of August, and on the 26th of August you're writing him off doctor aren't you?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Geoff?

DC QUADE That's quite a line there doctor. Had you given up hope of saving Mr PACKMAN's life...

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE ...at that stage?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON (Silent)

DC QUADE At that stage doctor?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Pause) But what was his progressive life threatening illness?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Obesity, arthritis in both knees, immobility, pressure sores? I just don't see the life threatening illness so far? Cellulitis. (Pause) (Clears throat) (Inaudible – mumbles).

DC QUADE Yeah.

DC YATES I don't want to move on to, if we start something else we'll probably get into too big a subject,...

DC QUADE Yeah sure.

DC YATES ...so I think now would be a good time to actually end this interview and take a lunchtime break shall we say, okay. Is there anything you wish to clarify doctor?

BARTON No thank you.

DC YATES Is there anything you wish to add?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON

No thank you.

DC YATES

Okay. As I said before I'll give you the notice explaining what will happen to the tapes at the end of the whole process. The time is now 1155 hours and we will turn the recorder off.

INTERVIEW CONCLUDED - TAPE MACHINE
SWITCHED OFF.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

RECORD OF INTERVIEW

Number: Y20AM

Enter type: ROTI
(SDN / ROTI / Contemporaneous Notes / Index of Interview with VIW / Visually recorded interview)

Person interviewed: BARTON, JANE ANN

Place of interview: FAREHAM POLICE STATION

Date of interview: 06/04/2004

Time commenced: 1311 Time concluded: 1349

Duration of interview: 38 MINUTES Tape reference nos.
(→)

Interviewer(s): DC2479 Chris YATES / DC1162 Geoff QUADE

Other persons present: Mr BARKER - SOLICITOR

Police Exhibit No: Number of Pages:

Signature of interviewer producing exhibit

Person speaking Text

DC YATES This interview is being tape recorded, I am DC2479 Chris YATES. My colleague is?

DC QUADE DC1162 Geoff QUADE.

DC YATES I am interviewing Doctor Jane BARTON. Doctor will you please give me your full name and your dated of birth?

BARTON Jane Ann BARTON, 19/10/48.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES

Also present is Mr BARKER, who is Doctor BARTON's solicitor. Can you please introduce yourself and your full name?

BARKER

Certainly. It's Ian Steven Petrie BARKER and I am Doctor BARTON's solicitor.

DC YATES

Thank you. This interview is being conducted in an Interview Room at Fareham Police Station in Hampshire. The time is 1311 hours and the date is Thursday the 6th of April 2006 (06/04/2006). At the end of the whole procedure that's when I'll sort out the paperwork for the tapes okay. I must remind you doctor that you're still entitled to free legal advice. Mr BARKER is here as your legal advisor. Have you had enough time to consult with Mr BARKER in private or would you like further time?

BARTON

Fine thank you.

DC YATES

Okay. If at any time you wish to stop the interview and take legal advice just say and the interview will be stopped in order that you can do this. I'd also like to point out that you have attended voluntarily, you're not under arrest and you have come here of your own free will. So if at any time that you wish to leave you're free to do so okay.

BARTON

Thank you.

DC YATES

I'll also caution you, you do not have to say anything but it may harm your defence if you do not mention, when

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

questioned, something which you later rely on in court and anything you do say maybe given in evidence. Do you understand that caution doctor?

BARTON

I do.

DC YATES

I broke it down earlier this morning, is there any need for me to break that caution down now?

BARTON

No thank you.

DC YATES

Likewise, the same as this morning, on this occasion the room that we're in has been equipped with a monitoring facility. Whenever that red light there is on it means that somebody is listening to the interview, this afternoon it's Detective Inspector GROCOTT who will be monitoring the interview. When the tapes aren't running and it's not in record mode, no conversation can be heard in this room by that facility okay. Right (clears throat) now we've had a break for lunch doctor, can I just ask you to confirm that there's been no conversation between us, the police, and yourself regarding this matter when the tapes haven't been running?

BARTON

None at all.

DC YATES

Thank you. What I would like to move on to now doctor is Death Certificates. The completion of a Death Certificate is a formal legal requirement that can only be undertaken by a medical practitioner. There are specific guidelines to

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

be followed and what I'd like to try and get is an explanation from you as to your understanding of what was required of you in the completion of this process. Now I have in front of me the Medical Certificate Of Cause Of Death for Geoffrey PACKMAN. We'll have to give that an identification reference I believe won't we?

DC QUADE

Yeah. The next one will be 22.

DC YATES

So it's CSY/HF/22. Can you see this doctor?

BARTON

(Silence)

DC YATES

Who completed this Death Certificate with regard to Geoffrey PACKMAN?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

(Pause) At the bottom of this certificate doctor is a, well there is a certificate saying: "I hereby certify that I was in medical attendance during the above named deceased's last illness and that the particulars and cause of death above written are true to the best of my knowledge and belief." And it has a signature; can I ask you to confirm if that is your signature?

BARTON

(Pause) Yes.

DC YATES

And underneath is written J. A. BARTON with your address. And the cause of death, which took place on the

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

3rd of September 1999 (03/09/1999) has been recorded as 'myocardial infarction' and the approximate interval between the onset of this illness and death you recorded as five days. Is that correct?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

(Coughs) What procedure did you follow when certifying or recording the death of this patient?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

What procedure did you follow in certifying or recording the death of any patient?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Who informed the registrar or coroner?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Who decided the cause of death?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Why was the death recorded as myocardial infarction?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

(Pause)

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

For the benefit of the tape DCs YATES and QUADE talk between themselves, which is inaudible.

DC YATES

Isn't that right doctor that this process should be carried out by the consultants or senior clinician?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Why were you completing the certificates?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

(Pause) Here on this certificate there doctor it states that 'a post-mortem was not being held and the patient was seen after death by you'.

For the benefit of the tape, DCs YATES and QUADE talk between themselves, which is inaudible.

DC YATES

Supervision doctor, and this gives you an opportunity to explain how the line management operated at the hospital and whether the supervision that you were provided with was efficient. What supervision were you given or provided with in respect of the care of Geoffrey PACKMAN?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Were you happy with the level of supervision?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Were you happy with the training that you had been provided with in order to care for patients whilst a Clinical Assistant at the War Memorial Hospital?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES If there were any deficiencies what were they?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES If there were any deficiencies how did you try to address them?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES At the time of Mr PACKMAN's admission to the Gosport War Memorial Hospital, did you have any concerns regarding your personal workload?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES How would you report whether you had any concerns regarding staff or workload issues?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES What concerns, if any, did you have about the Gosport War

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

Memorial Hospital at this time?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

What training, in respect of any issues whether they were medical or pharmaceutical, did you raise in (inaudible due to banging in background)?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Who was your line manager?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

And who did you supervise yourself?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

What would have been the correct route for you to take if you had any concerns about the level of supervision at that hospital?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Did you have an appraisal system in operation there?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

How was your contract renewed at GWMH?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Did you have, if you had an appraisal system or something like that, did you have the opportunity to discuss with your supervisors your role, how things were going etcetera?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Did you, in any way; discuss your role and how it was going with any supervisors?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Did you have any concerns about the way your role was going?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES You've already discussed previously, I believe, your (clears throat) role at the hospital and how things had not significantly changed from you starting there. In actual fact I think I was able to show you that the number of beds had decreased in the late '90s compared to the number that you were expected to supervise and be responsible for when you first took the role up, and yet you say in your first 'prepared statement' that 'things were getting too much'. Did you discuss that with anybody there at the hospital?

BARTON No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES Do you think that it had an impact on your ability to do your job at the hospital...

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES ...sufficiently?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Efficiently?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Professionally?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Competently?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Adequately?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Geoff?

DC QUADE No.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES

What I'll do now is to try and take you chronologically through the Medical Records for the period that Mr PACKMAN was on Dryad Ward. And probably the most simple place to start is with Page 54 and this is the initial assessments or clerking by Doctor RAVINDRANE. Now the clerking doctor noted that Mr PACKMAN's ongoing problems were obesity, arthritis in his knees, immobility, pressure sores and constipation. It was noted that Mr PACKMAN was 'on a high protein diet, queried melaena which was on the 13th of August 1999 (13/08/1999), his haemoglobin was stable, he was better in himself with a good mental test score and no pain. There was little to find here on this doctor, Page 54 which is in front of you if you want to examine it, that there was anything wrong with Mr PACKMAN bar obesity, the swollen legs and pressure sores. Do you agree?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

We can move on possibly to the nursing notes now on Page 62. Do feel free doctor to have a look at any of these pages if you wish. Now they record that Mr PACKMAN was transferred from Ann Ward, I think it's at the Queen Alexandra Hospital following an episode of immobility and (inaudible sounds like sickle) sores, he was catheterised, on a profile bed hoist only, able to feed himself and Mrs PACKMAN is waiting decision (inaudible) at the QA Hospital tomorrow'. Now several nursing plans, or Nursing Care Plans were produced, Page 78, Page 82, Page 84, Page 96 and these plans were for his immobility, in fact

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

he was prone to constipation. There was a care plan for the urinary catheter. Another care plan for the pressure sore areas. Who instigated these care plans?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

If the nursing staff had these care plans, whose directions were they following?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

(Pause) I think it's Page 170, which is a Drug Chart, that reveals he was continued on regular Doxazosin 4 milligrams once a day, Frusemide 80 milligrams once a day, (inaudible - Clexane?) 40 milligrams twice a day, Paracetamol 1 gram, or 1g four times a day. He was commenced on Magnesium Hydroxide 10 millilitres twice a day, which is a laxative and that was subsequently taken intermittently and as required Gaviscon. So that was the drugs that he was taking on the 23rd of August. So where doctor, when you look at the Nursing Care Plans, you look at the clerking, you look at the medication, where does it say that there is anything wrong with Mr PACKMAN bar his obesity, swollen legs and pressure sores?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

(Pause) On the 24th of August Mrs, this is quite interesting, on Page 90 is a handling profile (pause) and in this section for pain it is noted 'pain needs to be controlled'. Now this

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

is at odds with the medical notes, or the clerking, where it says that 'there was no pain'. Can you explain how this entry came to be?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Pain is not mentioned anywhere else. 'His bowels were well open, there's no melaena specified and swabs were taken from his pressure sores from Microbiology'. (Pause) Right Page 207 (pause) should be a blood test result. The blood test revealed a haemoglobin of 12 grams/DL. The white cell count was 12.2×10 (inaudible – mumbles), it's on Page 207. Have you got that?

DC QUADE

Yeah.

DC YATES

What does that mean?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

I think it also states that 'there's a marginally (inaudible) of 8.9 and a reduced albumin'. Now both these forms had been signed just there doctor J.A.B. Is that your initials?

BARTON

No comment.

For the benefit of the tape DCs YATES and QUADE talk between themselves, which is inaudible.

DC YATES

Page 190 of the Medical Records doctor is (pause) a

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

Biochemistry Report authorised on the 26th of August 1999. Again there is the initials of J.A.B. written there. Is that your initials?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE I am going to hold it up in front of you doctor so that you can see it.

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Doctor would a doctor initial these reports to say that he or she had seen the results?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES What would those results indicate to you?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Do you want to say anything Geoff?

DC QUADE Why do doctors initial those reports Doctor BARTON?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Is it not to acknowledge that they have seen the report?

BARTON No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES

(Pause) On the 25th of August doctor Mr PACKMAN was noted to have bowels open, melaena formed, leaking some fluid and later several loose bowel actions throughout the afternoon and evening, some fresh blood present, query due to medication, (inaudible) stopped to review later'. That's Pages 82 and 83. (Pause) Now the 'nursing summary notes' record that 'Mr PACKMAN had been passing fresh blood and queried. Was it due to the (inaudible) or the Clexane? And a verbal order from Doctor BEASLEY was to withhold the six o'clock in the evening dose and review with Doctor BARTON in the morning'. Did you review this the next morning?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Page 171 says that 'Mr PACKMAN was also vomiting and Metoclopramide, 10 milligrams, was given at five-to-six (1755) in the evening. Mr PACKMAN was taking Temazepam 20 milligrams at five-past-ten (2205) that night and Loperamide 4 milligrams, which I believe is for diarrhoea as a one off dose' and it's a time that I can't quite work out I must admit, it's on Page 168. (Pause) On the 26th of August the 'nursing summary notes' record 'a fairly good morning, no further vomiting. Doctor RAVI contacted re' (inaudible) or the Clexane and advised to discontinue and will repeat haemoglobin today and tomorrow, not for resuscitation, unwell at lunchtime, colour poor, complaining of feeling unwell. (Pause sounds like door being shut) This was seen by Doctor BARTON this afternoon, await result of haemoglobin, further

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

deterioration complaining, query indigestion, pain in throat, not radiating, vomited again this evening'. Now verbal order from Doctor BARTON 'Diamorphine 10 milligrams stat', which was given at six o'clock that evening. Did you see Mr PACKMAN on the 26th of August in the afternoon?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

What were you expecting from the results of the haemoglobin?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Why did you give the verbal order for Diamorphine?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Again on Page 55 I think it is, these should be your notes I think.

DC QUADE

Yeah.

DC YATES

'Called to see pale, clammy, unwell. Suggest query myocardial infarction. Treat stat Diamorphine and Oramorph overnight. Alternative possibility gastro intestinal bleed, or GI bleed, but no haematemesis'. What made you think that it was possibly a myocardial infarction doctor?

BARTON

No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES What is a myocardial infarction?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Did Mr PACKMAN have any previous medical history of myocardial infarction?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES If Mr PACKMAN had suffered a myocardial infarction, what benefits would 10 milligrams of Diamorphine be?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Pause) You've got 'suggest query myocardial infarction'. Does that mean it was just a possibility it was a myocardial infarction?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES The same with the 'alternative a possibility of a GI bleed'. With those two possible diagnoses, what did you do to treat Mr PACKMAN?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES You also state 'he was not well enough to transfer to an acute unit, keep comfortable and I am happy for nursing staff to confirm death'. (Pause) Have you got any

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

questions on that Geoff?

DC QUADE

My understanding doctor is that when a doctor puts a question mark in front of something, that is because something has happened to the patient that leads that person to believe that whatever follows the question mark may be occurring or may have occurred. Is that right?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

The fact that you put the question mark in front of myocardial infarction and then queried the gastro internal bleed in the case that you felt that that's what might be happening to Mr PACKMAN, is that right?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

Now presumably a doctor wouldn't just think 'the person might be having this, the person might be having that' and then not do something to find out whether that person was having this or that. Is that right?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

What investigations did you then commence to find out what that patient, Mr PACKMAN, was suffering from?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

All right that takes us up to the 26th where you're queering

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

the myocardial infarction or a GI bleed. What I am going to do then is just take you to some of the questions around your 'prepared statement'. (Pause) Geoff have you got a calendar? (Pause) Have you got an identification reference?

DC QUADE

CSY/HF/23.

DC YATES

Thank you. Paragraph (3) of your statement doctor, I can see you have it in front of you, in that statement (clears throat) 'I indicated when I'd first taken up the post the level of dependency of patients was relatively low and that in general the patients did not have major medical needs. I said that over time that position changed very considerably and that patients who were increasingly dependent would be admitted to the wards. I indicated that certainly by 1998 many of the patients were profoundly dependent with minimal Barthel scores and there was significant bed occupancy. The demands on my time and that of the nursing staff were considerable. I was, in effect, left with the choice of attending to my patients and making notes as best I could, or making more detailed notes about those I did see, but potentially neglecting other patients. The statement largely represented the position at the GWMH in 1998. I confirm that these comments are indeed a fair and accurate summary of the position then though, if anything, it had become even more difficult by 1999 when I was involved in the care of Mr PACKMAN'. Geoff do you want to...

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

Yeah, okay. Doctor so we look at this exhibit, which we're calling now CSY/HF/23, and it's a printout of the calendar months for August and September of 1999 and you can see from that that I'm showing you look that on the 23rd of August Geoffrey PACKMAN was admitted to the ward, Dryad Ward, and on the 24th you made an entry on his records, on the 26th sorry not the 24th you made an entry didn't you on his records and you made entries into, I can't remember what the 24th was Chris, do you know what it was?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Yes on the Drug Chart.

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

On the Drug Chart that's right. But in the main records you've only made two entries, the 26th and the 28th, the 28th being a Saturday. Now going on your previous history of what you've told us and what we've worked out of your daily routines, if we count out the number of days Mr PACKMAN was in hospital, at your hospital, he came in on the 23rd, one, two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight, nine, ten, he was in for ten days in total. Now you say that 'you visited the hospital three times a day maximum, so that makes a total of thirty possible visits doesn't it? Thirty possible times you could have seen Mr PACKMAN given

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

that you think on the 26th, as early as the 26th you think he's possibly had a myocardial infarction or a GI bleed. You only have one other visit to him after that recorded. Is that right?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

How can you account for the fact that despite this man being go gravely ill that you have recommended the nurses to, or happy for them to confirm death. You've got no entries, very relative entries, very few entries in the notes, only two in his medical notes (somebody coughs) the 26th and the 28th. Can you explain that doctor?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

Explain, can you explain to us what the Speciality History sheet is for then?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

(Pause) Well can you tell us which of those days from the 23rd up to his death on the 3rd of September, can you tell us which of those days you were not available for?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

You say in your statement that 'the pressure is put on you on how busy you were and had become considerable in 1999'. The Dryad Ward Admissions book, which is

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BJC/89, which I will put in front of you, it shows quite clearly that between the 17th of August 1999 (17/08/1999) and the 31st of August 1999 (31/08/1999), that's fourteen days, two patients were admitted to that ward Mr PACKMAN and a Margaret MORRIS. Now I accept that the other beds may be full, but you had two new admissions. Now part of your Job Description says that 'you must see new admissions'. Is that correct?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Does that register indicate that that was a busy time?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

(Pause) It doesn't seem to doctor, or you tell us otherwise?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

(Pause) The last patient before Mr PACKMAN was almost a week before. Is that right?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

And the next patient after Mr PACKMAN was the day after. (Pause) Is that right?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

And does that represent a really busy time at the hospital

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

for you...

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

...compared to other times?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

You see Paragraph (22) in your statement says that 'you state that you anticipate that you would have reviewed Mr PACKMAN on the basis that you prescribed drugs for him on the 24th of August, that's Page 168 of your medical notes. Now you state in your generic statement on pages 3 and 4 that 'you visited patients every day and you would admit and write up charts etcetera. In addition you'd return to the hospital every evening to continue with these duties'. DC QUADE is just showing you the calendar there, why then did it take you three days to make an entry in Mr PACKMAN's medical notes?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Why isn't there any reference to his general condition, or comment re.: care plans or drugs?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Let me take you back doctor to Paragraphs (12) and (13) of your statement. Paragraphs (4) to (11) are pretty much Mr PACKMAN's previous medical history, so if we go to

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

Paragraph (12) 'it was also noted on the 6th of August that in view of pre-morbid state/multiple medical problems, Mr PACKMAN was not for CPR in event of arrest. A Barthel score was stated to have been assessed on the 5th of August (presumably the 6th of August in error) was recorded as zero, indicating that Mr PACKMAN was completely dependent'. Paragraph (13) 'Mr PACKMAN was reviewed by the specialist registrar the following day, 7th of August, who agreed, presumably on the basis of what was felt to be Mr PACKMAN's poor condition at that stage, that he was not to be resuscitated in the event of arrest. It was suggested that his anti-hypertensive medication should be changed to an ACE inhibitor in view of the oedema and he was considered for a beta-blocker in view of his atrial fibrillation. His diuretic was changed lest it caused dehydration. Mr PACKMAN was given Flucloxacillin 500 milligrams 4 times daily, supplemented by Penicillin 500 milligrams four times a day to combat the cellulites'. Now this cardiac arrest and resus policy, I think we spoke about this earlier on this morning, what is the resus policy, or not for resus policy?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Am I right in thinking that should somebody have a heart attack, or stop breathing, then for those purposes they're not for resuscitation?

BARTON

No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES What about any illnesses they may have, should you still be treating those?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES I mean Paragraph (19) 'an entry in Mr PACKMAN's records for 20th of August by the specialist registrar indicates that Mr PACKMAN was due for transfer to the Gosport War Memorial Hospital on the 23rd of August. The Specialist Registrar also noted that Mr PACKMAN remained not for resuscitation. A Barthel score measured on the 21st of August again recorded a score of zero indicating his complete dependence'. Yet on his arrival at the Gosport War Memorial Hospital it was six. Was that not an improvement?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Any questions Geoff?

DC QUADE No.

DC YATES The tape is about to come to an end so the time is 1359 hours, I am going to turn the recorder off.

INTERVIEW CONCLUDED. TAPE MACHINE
SWITCHED OFF.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

RECORD OF INTERVIEW

Number: Y20AN

Enter type: ROTI
 (SDN / ROTI / Contemporaneous Notes / Index of Interview with VIW / Visually recorded interview)

Person interviewed: BARTON, JANE ANN

Place of interview: FAREHAM POLICE STATION

Date of interview: 06/04/2006

Time commenced: 1354 Time concluded: 1355

Duration of interview: 1 MINUTE Tape reference nos. (→)

Interviewer(s): DC2479 Chris YATES / DC1162 Geoff QUADE

Other persons present: MR BARKER - SOLICITOR

Police Exhibit No: Number of Pages:

Signature of interviewer producing exhibit

Person speaking

Text

DC YATES

(Tape faulty) Right there's been an interruption in that tape
 (tape faulty). TAPE ENDS

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

RECORD OF INTERVIEW

Number: Y20AN

Enter type: ROTI
 (SDN / ROTI / Contemporaneous Notes / Index of Interview with VIW / Visually recorded interview)

Person interviewed: BARTON, JANE ANN

Place of interview: FAREHAM POLICE STATION

Date of interview: 06/04/2006

Time commenced: 1354 Time concluded: 1355

Duration of interview: 1 MINUTE Tape reference nos. (→)

Interviewer(s): DC2479 Chris YATES / DC1162 Geoff QUADE

Other persons present: MR BARKER - SOLICITOR

Police Exhibit No: Number of Pages:

Signature of interviewer producing exhibit

Person speaking Text

DC YATES (Tape faulty) Right there's been an interruption in that tape
 (tape faulty). TAPE ENDS

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

RECORD OF INTERVIEW

Number: Y20AO

Enter type: ROTI
(SDN / ROTI / Contemporaneous Notes / Index of Interview with VIW / Visually recorded interview)

Person interviewed: BARTON, JANE ANN

Place of interview: FAREHAM POLICE STATION

Date of interview: 06/04/2006

Time commenced: 1359 Time concluded: 1443

Duration of interview: 44 MINUTES Tape reference nos.
(→)

Interviewer(s): DC2479 Chris YATES / 1162 Geoff QUADE

Other persons present: MR BARKER - SOLICITOR

Police Exhibit No: Number of Pages:

Signature of interviewer producing exhibit

Person speaking Text

DC YATES This is a continuation of the interview with Doctor BARTON. The time is 1359 hours. The reason we've had this second break was the fault in the tape machine, which hopefully has been rectified by changing it. Can I just ask you doctor to confirm that that is the reason why we took that break?

BARTON It is.

DC YATES And has there been any conversation about the matter

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

whilst the tape has been off?

BARTON

None at all.

DC YATES

Thank you. Doctor we'll try and pick up where we left off and we were referring to Paragraph (24). This states, this is your statement, 'I do not know if I reviewed Mr PACKMAN on the morning of 26th August. He was noted by the nurses to have had a fairly good morning. Sister HAMBLIN has recorded that Doctor RAVI, locum consultant geriatrician, was contacted and he confirmed that the Clexane should be discontinued and the haemoglobin repeated. Again, Mr PACKMAN was noted to be "not for resuscitation". Sister HAMBLIN may have contacted Doctor RAVI if I was unavailable that morning. The nursing record goes on to indicate that Mr PACKMAN then deteriorated at about lunchtime, that his colour was poor and that he complained of feeling unwell. I was called to see him, my entry in his records on this occasion reading as followed:- 26th of August 1999 (25/08/1999) called to see, pale, clammy, unwell. Suggest, query MI, treat stat Diamorph and Oramorph overnight. Alternative possibility GI bleed but no haematemesis. Not well enough to transfer to acute unit. Keep comfortable. I am happy for nursing staff to confirm death. As my note indicates, I was concerned that Mr PACKMAN might have suffered a myocardial infarction and accordingly I decided to administer opiates in the form of Diamorphine for pain and distress consequent on the possible myocardial infarction, at a dose of 10 milligrams intramuscular. In addition, I

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

would have been conscious that he had large pressure sore areas on his sacrum and thighs, which would have been causing him significant pain and discomfort. I prescribed 10 milligrams Diamorphine intramuscularly to be given immediately, which is recorded on the Drug Chart as a verbal instruction. An alternative diagnosis, which I recorded was that Mr PACKMAN had had a gastro intestinal bleed'. Now you state that 'you were called to see Mr PACKMAN on the 26th'. This must have been after six o'clock in the evening. There's an entry on Page 168 that shows you gave a verbal order at that time to Sister HAMBLIN for Diamorphine. This is now nearly four days since Mr PACKMAN arrived. Well why is that the first time that you've seen him?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

On Page 168 of the medical notes (pause), (inaudible) Page 172 (pause) there are two entries for Oramorph there. Why is that?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

And also on Page 168 'once only and pre-medication drugs'. There are two prescriptions for Diamorphine on there. Why is that?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

That will be the only one that was given?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

Mr BARKER can I just say something here that obviously all questions are important, but we feel that the questioning around the Prescription Chart is very important to your client and can you just confirm that your client has had an opportunity to consult with those original charts?

BARKER

You've provided the original Prescription Chart to Doctor BARTON, it's available for her to consider, but I don't think it's appropriate for me to comment...

DC QUADE

No thank you...

BARKER

...further.

DC QUADE

...that's fine, thank you very much for that cheers.

DC YATES

What other drugs did you prescribe on the 26th?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

(Pause) Now the Drug Chart shows that he received Diamorphine, 10 milligrams at six o'clock in the evening and that was the verbal order. As I pointed out the prescription was repeated below this one, it doesn't appear to have been given. 'Or a Morphine solution, Oramorph was commenced regularly, 10 - 20 milligrams every four hours with 20 milligrams at night', which meant Mr

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

PACKMAN had continued until ten o'clock on the 30th of August 1999 (30/08/1999). Regular Oramorph solution 10 milligrams every four hours was also prescribed in the Daily Review Prescription. Is that where it should be?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Because it appears as though it's duplication doctor, I just wonder if you could clarify?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

(Pause) Diamorphine 40 – 200 milligrams and Midazolam 20 – 80 milligrams subcutaneously over a twenty-four period were also prescribe on the 26th of August 1999 (26/08/1999) (coughs), that's on Page 171. Why was this doctor?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Why did you prescribe these drugs?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

On Page 171 doctor...
Have you got it there Geoff?

DC QUADE

(Inaudible)

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES ...what explanation can you give as to why Jill HAMBLIN has completed a prescription for Oramorph on Page 171 and you have countersigned it? That signifies it is blatantly not in your handwriting although signed by you with the blue pen, that Jill HAMBLIN's used elsewhere.

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Should she fill in that part of the prescription sheet?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Did Jill HAMBLIN prescribe it?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Was this given as a verbal order?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Pause) You know that on the 26th of August 1999 (26/08/2006) doctor that the nurses contacted Doctor RAVI, who is a locum consultant geriatrician who advised that the Clexane be discontinued and that Mr PACKMAN's haemoglobin to be checked on the 26th and 27th of August 1999 (26-27/08/1999). The haemoglobin level on the 26th of August was 7.7, it's on Page 205.

For the benefit of the tape DCs YATES and QUADE talk between themselves, which is inaudible.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES

If you can just bear with me doctor.

For the benefit of the tape there is a long pause whilst DCs YATES and QUADE talk between themselves, which is inaudible.

DC YATES

We'll have to come back to that Geoff.

DC QUADE

Yeah come back.

DC YATES

We'll come back to that doctor. (Pause) Right still moving on here though throughout your statement doctor you refer to Mr PACKMAN being 'not for resuscitation', several times in your statement. What explicitly is your understanding of the meaning and implications of that term?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

(Inaudible) that a medical judgement has been made that in the event of a patient's heart or breathing stopping unexpectedly, cardio respiratory arrest, there is little or no chance of cardiopulmonary resuscitation being successful or medically futile and therefore it should not be attempted. Is that right doctor?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Is this usually on the background of a progressive life

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

threatening illness, or other significant medical problems?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Does this status mean that the patient is automatically excluded from receiving all appropriate treatment for other medical problems that may arise?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

(Pause) You know that Mr PACKMAN deteriorated about lunchtime on the 26th of August 1999 (26/08/1999) as he was reported 'to have had a fairly good morning'. This would have represented an acute deterioration in his condition. Your entry note that Mr PACKMAN was 'pale, clammy and unwell'. Does this suggest he was shocked?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

And I will invite you to look at these Medical Records yourself doctor if you wish, but why weren't his basic observations such as his temperature, heart rate and blood pressure recorded?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

What would these observations have told you?

BARTON

No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

- DC YATES Why did you feel that it wasn't necessary to perform or record these findings?
- BARTON No comment.
- DC YATES The nursing notes/entries suggest that 'he was complaining of indigestion with pain in the throat, which was not radiating', again associated with vomiting. Why did you query a myocardial infarction?
- BARTON No comment.
- DC YATES What were the medical findings that led you to consider that he had a myocardial infarction?
- BARTON No comment.
- DC YATES What examination, or tests did you undertake that would lead you to consider that he had a myocardial infarction?
- BARTON No comment.
- DC YATES You also recorded that 'an alternative possibility was a gastro intestinal bleed, but note that Mr PACKMAN had not vomited blood', given Mr PACKMAN's history of possible melaena, reported at the QA Hospital, which is on Page 54, and the fresh bleeding the day before. Why didn't you make any further enquiries to determine whether Mr PACKMAN was suffering from a GI bleed?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES What is a GI bleed?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Pause) How should it be treated?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Pause) How was it diagnosed?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES So what medical findings led you to consider he may have had a gastro intestinal bleed?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES All that together doctor, on what basis did you satisfy that a myocardial infarction was the more likely diagnosis?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Why was Mr PACKMAN prescribed Diamorphine for the treatment of pain due to his pressure sores?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Pause) At the Queen Alexandra Hospital his only

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

analgesic was Paracetamol. In the medical clerking whilst transferred to Dryad Ward, which is on Page 55 I think, and in the Nursing Care Plan relating to his pressure sores he only need Paracetamol. Why then was there a need to significantly increase the opioid levels?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Why wasn't this decision making process recorded, especially as you were called in to specifically treat Mr PACKMAN?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

(Pause) Geoff do you want to ask anything?

DC QUADE

No not at the moment Chris.

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Paragraphs (25), (26) and (27) then doctor. Paragraph (25) – 'My impression when I assessed Mr PACKMAN on this occasion was that he was very ill. I felt that in view of his condition and the previous decisions that he was not for resuscitation, transfer to an acute unit was quite inappropriate. Any such transfer was very likely to have had a further deleterious affect on his health'. (26) – 'The nursing note for the 26th of August indicates that we were to await blood test results. There was then a further deterioration later in the day, with Mr PACKMAN

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

complaining of indigestion and a pain in his throat, which was not radiating'. Paragraph (27) - 'The blood count taken on the 26th of August subsequently showed that Mr PACKMAN's haemoglobin had dropped to 7.7 grams, a substantial drop from the 12 grams, which had been recorded two days earlier'. Now the part where you state that 'Mr PACKMAN was very ill and in view of his condition and a previous decision that he was not for resuscitation, transfer to an acute unit was quite inappropriate'. Could you explain that to me doctor?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

(Pause) Why, although ill and deemed not for resuscitation, does this exclude Mr PACKMAN from receiving appropriate medical care?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

(Pause) Why, given your clinical description of Mr PACKMAN being shocked, did you not undertake simple observations such as temperature, pulse and blood pressure?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

(Pause) If you were convinced that a myocardial infarction was likely, why didn't you perform an ECG to help make the diagnosis for a myocardial infarction?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

(Pause) Given that you considered the possibility of a gastro intestinal haemorrhage why not, in addition to the simple observation, get into contact with the laboratory to obtain a result of the haemoglobin taken earlier that day?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Because as we know, and you've put in your statement doctor, it turns out we've revealed the drop of haemoglobin to 7.7., a considerable drop. (Pause) During Mr PACKMAN's acute deterioration, which was considered significant, why didn't you discuss it with Doctor RAVI, or Doctor REID, or the medical team on call at the QA Hospital?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

If a patient becomes unexpectedly, or acutely unwell doctor, wouldn't it generally be appropriate to identify the reason for it and to investigate appropriate medical management?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

(Pause) And taken into account this patient's particular circumstances, could this include insuring they are cared for in an environment best suited to meet their medical needs?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

So what you said doctor is 'he was so ill that he couldn't be transferred'? (Pause) What would happen if Mr PACKMAN had been at home and his wife found him in this way?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Would it have been reasonable to expect that an ambulance would be called and he would be taken to a hospital where he would be cared for?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Well would a doctor make a decision that he's so ill moving him would be deleterious to his condition so we'll leave him at home?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Because surely the same would apply at the Gosport War Memorial Hospital. If the hospital is not set up to deal with the man's condition, would it not be appropriate to move him doctor?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Having made the diagnoses that he was suffering from

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

myocardial infarction, or a gastro intestinal bleed, both serious but both treatable, why did you choose to leave him on Dryad Ward?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Why didn't you perform an ECG?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

We know that there was an ECG available at the hospital. Where was it doctor?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

(Pause) Actually doctor let me show you the Lab Report that we couldn't find just now. (Pause) His specimen was taken on the 26th of August 1999 (26/08/1999) and this shows the drop (pause) in the haemoglobin had dropped to 7.7 grams from 12 grams from two days earlier. Is that your signature on that doctor?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

I know you've seen that doctor because you mentioned it in your own prepared statement, so I am showing you it again it is Page 205 of the copy file.

DC YATES

Geoff could, what you've got in your hand, could you read the bit there for the doctor?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC QUADE Yes it says Comment – Many attempts were made to phone these results, no answer from Gosport War Memorial switchboard.

DC YATES So the lab had obviously realised that there's a drop, they want to get those results through. Why didn't you phone the lab when you suspected a GI bleed?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES What attempts did you make to treat either of the illnesses that you diagnosed?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES What would the treatment for myocardial infarction be?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES And what is the treatment for a GI bleed?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Do you know what a GI bleed is?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Would I be correct in thinking that even a medical student would understand that a GI bleed could be a medical

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

emergency?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

In fact it has been mentioned to me, and I did put it to test, that you can put GI Bleed into Google and find out that it's a medical emergency.

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

If you weren't sure, why didn't you take advice?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

(Pause) What are the specific guidelines on the usual management of acutely ill patients at the Gosport War Memorial Hospital?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Were there any guidelines, or protocols, or practices in existence that would specifically prevent, or encourage the transfer of acutely ill patients to the main hospital?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

(Pause) What facilities for general resuscitation were available, e.g. the ability to obtain venous access, (inaudible) venous infusion or fluid?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES For blood transfusions, things like that?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES When did you become aware, doctor, of the full blood count result from the 26th of August?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Because we can see you were aware of it at some time because you initialled it doctor.

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Pause) Why wasn't it documented in his medical notes?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Did you notify Doctor RAVI or Doctor REID with the result?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES You signed that Lab Report doctor, which is Page 205, and given that a large drop of haemoglobin had been demonstrated, on what grounds did you continue to consider a myocardial infarction more likely?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Not only did you regard it as 'more likely', it was recorded as the cause of death.

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES What made that the stronger diagnosis than your alternative diagnosis of a gastro intestinal bleed?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES So that was in light of the Lab Report that you received showing that significant drop in blood?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Geoff.

DC QUADE Doctor you've recorded 'query melaena', myocardial infarction sorry 'and possible GI bleed', and Chris has just asked you 'what steps you took to eliminate one or the other'. So in other words to find out what was wrong with Geoffrey PACKMAN. You've got an opportunity now, today, to tell us what steps you took to find out what was wrong with Geoffrey PACKMAN. What steps did you take doctor?

BARTON No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC QUADE What steps could you have taken doctor?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE For instance regarding myocardial infarction, could you have arranged for an ECG to be performed?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE And would that have indicated to you that he had or didn't have myocardial infarction?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Similarly we've just discussed GI bleed and as I understand it if somebody is bleeding lower in the intestine you're stools would come out red. Is that right?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE And if it's higher they come out black tarry. Is that right?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE And it is one of the simpler diagnoses to make I believe isn't it...

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE ...for even a junior doctor?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE How would you go about investigating whether a patient had a GI bleed?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Well you can ask for blood results, blood tests couldn't you?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE And in fact bloods were asked for weren't they?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Doctor REID, Doctor RAVI had asked for the blood tests.

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE And was it not your plan to await lab results...

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE ...for Mr PACKMAN?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Well you did wait for blood results didn't you?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE And when I say that you just waited. Is that right?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE What else could you have done to establish whether Mr PACKMAN had a GI bleed?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Did you consider and endoscopy?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE What are the considerations for an endoscopy with a patient suffering (somebody coughs), suffering from a GI bleed?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE You'd put it down on the paperwork that 'he might have a GI bleed' and yet it looks as if you haven't followed this up.

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Well the lab obviously recognised that he was a medical emergency and tried to contact the hospital, but couldn't get through. We can't blame you for not answering the phone can we? No

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

one is seeking to, but what steps did you take to get the results of those blood tests?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

Well when did you see those tests then?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

You signed them didn't you?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

We've already asked you 'why you didn't feel that he could go to the QA Hospital'. In Mr PACKMAN's case doctor. No let me start again, if you had gone out to a patient at home with the same symptoms that Mr PACKMAN had, i.e. you queried whether that patient lying in their bed at home had an myocardial infarction or possibly a GI bleed. Would you have just left them in their bed at home?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

I take it you wouldn't, and I take it you would have caused him to treble nined (999) to the nearest hospital. Would you have done that?

BARTON

No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC QUADE Why didn't you do that with Mr PACKMAN?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Do you feel that Geoffrey PACKMAN was at a disadvantage because he was already in your hospital then?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE If you weren't willing to have him transferred to an acute bed, do you feel he was at a disadvantage?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Right now we'll move on then to Paragraph 28. You state that 'you were concerned that Mr PACKMAN should receive appropriate medication to relieve his pain and distress, and therefore gave him Oramorph 10 - 20 milligrams four times a day and 20 milligrams at night'. So what dose of drug was given to Mr PACKMAN during the day?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Was it 10, or was it 20 doctor?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Page 172 of the notes show that a range was available, but the record does not show what dose was given. Why is

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

this?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

When this range is given, who decides on the size of the dose given?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

(Pause) And what safeguards were in place preventing the inadvertent, or inattentive administration of these drugs to Mr PACKMAN?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

So what doses of Morphine did Mr PACKMAN actually receive that day?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

I'll change it slightly then, what explicitly was the pain and distress that Mr PACKMAN was in?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

It's this range of drug again doctor isn't it? 10 - 20 milligrams four times a day, 20 milligrams at night. If I was to pick up those medical notes as a nurse, how would I know whether to give 10 or whether to give 20 milligrams?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Or would the choice just be mine?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Geoff do you want to ask anything?

DC QUADE

Yeah. Not only that doctor, we showed you earlier on this 'prescribing elderly medicine' blown up chart taken from the BNF GJQ/HF/21, and we showed you, did we not, that we had the 10 milligrams Morphine Sulphate oral solution and you'd prescribed 40 milligrams of Diamorphine, which was beyond the guidelines, above the guidelines, you should have been prescribing say 20 milligrams, and Chris has just said: "What safeguards did you put in place to make sure that Mr PACKMAN didn't receive the wrong drugs, or too much of the drugs?" because as we pointed out with the Oramorph how would a nurse know whether to give the 10 or the 20?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

And similarly how would a nurse know whether to give 10 milligrams of Oramorph and on this chart it's second in the table on the weaker side, or 200 milligrams of Diamorphine which is way down here look on the right hand side.

BARTON

No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC QUADE What prevents a nurse from doing that doctor...

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE ...because that is the open range you've prescribed isn't it...

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE ...on the same day that you prescribed the Oramorph?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Do you think that is an acceptable way to write up a Prescription Chart?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES In answer to what DC QUADE has just been asking, Paragraph (29), you actually say 'I also wrote up prescriptions for Diamorphine 40 - 200 milligrams subcutaneously over 24 hours, together with 20 - 80 milligrams of Midazolam via the same route on an anticipatory basis, concerned that further medication might be required in due course to relieve Mr PACKMAN's pain and distress. It was not my intention that this subcutaneous medication should be administered at that time. The nursing record also indicates that I saw Mr PACKMAN's wife explaining her husband's condition and the medication we were using. I anticipate I would have

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

indicated to Mrs PACKMAN that her husband was very ill indeed and in all probability that he was likely to die'. As DC QUADE said 'you've written up prescriptions with Diamorphine 40 - 200 milligrams on the same day as you've written Oramorph 'on an anticipatory basis'. If that was the correct way of doing things doctor, where in the medical notes does it say that?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Well where in the medical notes does it say 'to advise the nurses that this is just on an anticipatory basis and that you would require contacting'?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

I can't see any safeguard.

DC QUADE

Well let's just take that on a little bit further doctor, let's expand on that because 'safeguard' is the appropriate word I think because when the Diamorphine syringe driver was started it was started, was it not, by Sister HAMBLIN?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

And yet you haven't recorded your authority anywhere for her to start that?

BARTON

No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC QUADE It's possible isn't it that she didn't have your authority to start it specifically?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE 'It was not my intention that this subcutaneous medication should be administered at that time'. So at what time was it to be administered?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE And how was that to be conveyed to the nurses?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Because it seems it was started with nothing down on paper from you even post a decision. Did you give verbal authority for that medication to be started at that time?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE What I say it doesn't look as if (TAPE BUZZES), it doesn't look as if you have does it? And what is to stop, well I'll let you answer that question first, it doesn't look as if you have does it?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE And what was to stop that nurse from prescribing anywhere between the 20 milligrams of Diamorphine up to the 200?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE She seemed to start it where she thought fit?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE What was to stop her from prescribing, from administering 200 milligrams from the start?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES The buzzer sound, if we change the tapes over. Is there anything you wish to clarify?

BARTON No thank you.

DC YATES Is there anything you wish to add?

BARTON No thank you.

DC YATES And are you happy to continue straight on?

BARTON (Silent)

DC YATES Yeah. Okay the time is 1443 hours and I am turning the recorder off.

INTERVIEW CONCLUDED - TAPE MACHINE
SWITCHED OFF.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

RECORD OF INTERVIEW

Number: Y20AP

Enter type: ROTI
(SDN / ROTI / Contemporaneous Notes / Index of Interview with VIW / Visually recorded interview)

Person interviewed: BARTON, JANE ANN

Place of interview: FAREHAM POLICE STATION

Date of interview: 06/04/2006

Time commenced: 1453 Time concluded: 1537

Duration of interview: 44 MINUTES Tape reference nos.
(→)

Interviewer(s): DC2479 Chris YATES / DC1162 Geoff QUADE

Other persons present: MR BARKER - SOLICITOR

Police Exhibit No: Number of Pages:

Signature of interviewer producing exhibit

Person speaking Text

DC YATES This is a continuation of the interview with Doctor BARTON. The time is 1453 hours and a short break was taken at the end of the last tape for comfort reasons etcetera. Can you just confirm doctor that the same people are present?

BARTON Yes.

DC YATES And also that there has been no conversation whilst the tapes have been off about this matter?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON None at all.

DC YATES Thank you. Geoff you were.

DC QUADE Yes where was I? (Pause)

BARKER Well if it helps at all you had asked: "What was to stop her..."

DC QUADE Yes.

BARKER ...administering 200 from the start?" Doctor BARTON indicated: "No comment," and the tape ended.

DC QUADE Thank you very much.

DC QUADE So just to pick up on that last question then doctor, on that chart what was to stop Sister HAMBLIN or any of the other nurses from going straight to 200 milligrams of Diamorphine on setting up that syringe driver?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE What were the guidelines in place for commencing a syringe driver at the hospital at the time?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE If you had authorised Sister HAMBLIN, say for arguments

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

sake over the phone, how should she have recorded that in the notes?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

Would she have needed another nurse with her to record what you had said?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

Did you trust Sister HAMBLIN to carry out your instructions?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

Would Sister HAMBLIN 'anticipate' - to use one of your words, would Sister HAMBLIN anticipate your instructions?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

Were there ever times when Sister HAMBLIN did things thinking that you were authorising post, i.e. she would do something and then get your authorisation after it had been done?

BARTON

No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC QUADE Was this something you allowed her to do? (Somebody coughs)

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE We know that you placed great trust in the nursing staff, or it seems that you placed great trust in the nursing staff. Was this yet another example of it?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Chris.

DC YATES Just to continue on the Diamorphine aspect of things. Is it correct doctor that a drug such as Diamorphine is licensed?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES And within that licence there are particular ways that you can use that drug?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Can you use a drug like Diamorphine in an unlicensed way?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES And if you were (clears throat), what would you be

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

expected to do in order to record that?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

(Clears throat) Again on Diamorphine doctor, when you visited Mr PACKMAN on the 26th of August 1999 (26/08/1999) you were concerned that Mr PACKMAN may have suffered a myocardial infarction and accordingly you decided to administer opiates in the form of Diamorphine for pain and distress consequent on the possible myocardial infarction at a dose of 10 milligrams intramuscularly. Well first of all (inaudible) myocardial infarction is. My understanding is it is a heart attack, is that correct?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

And my understanding is that Diamorphine can be administered for pain from a heart attack, but what would the correct dosage be?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

You'd prescribed a dose of 10 milligrams intramuscularly. Is it right that that is double the licence dose?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Should that not have been a 5 milligram intramuscularly?

BARTON

No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES Was that a mistake?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Pause – clears throat) But having diagnosed a possible heart attack, how important is the previous medical history in making such a diagnosis?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES What previous medical history has Mr PACKMAN got with heart problems?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Clears throat) Well what are the symptoms for a heart attack?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Could that be chest pains?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Nausea and/or abdominal pain?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Anxiety?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Light headiness, cough?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Nausea with or without vomiting?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES So if some of these symptoms were present and you made a diagnosis of a possible heart attack, what tests should you do?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES An electrocardiogram or an ECG as most people know it, when should that be obtained?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES You are an experienced doctor and you have to undergo an awful lot of training to get to the position you are doctor and we are just detectives with no medical training, but my understanding is is that an ECG should be obtained as soon as possible after presentation to the examining doctor. ...

BARTON No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES ... Why didn't you get an ECG?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Is it right that approximately one half of patients have diagnostic changes on their initial ECG?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Would it be right that an ECG should be performed on any patient who is older than forty-five years and is experiencing any form of chest or stomach discomfort?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES And would that included new epigastro or nausea?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Pause) So again just carrying on from what DC QUADE was asking, on what basis did you determine a dose range of Diamorphine 40 – 200 milligrams over twenty-four hours and Midazolam at 20 – 80 milligrams over twenty-four hours and it would be necessary for Mr PACKMAN?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Why was it necessary to adopt a more proactive prescribing policy in this case?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Doctor you've been called into the hospital specifically to attend to Mr PACKMAN and it was seven in the evening, so you don't have to deal with anyone else in the ward it's just Mr PACKMAN and you'd be returning to the ward twelve hours later, so why was it therefore necessary to prescribe that range of drug?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Geoff?

DC QUADE

At the end of Paragraph (29) doctor the last sentence is: "I anticipate I would have indicated to Mrs PACKMAN that her husband was very ill indeed and in all probability that he was likely to die." Now it's a question I've asked before today that that line demands the questions again, what was he likely to die of?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

What was causing his likely death?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

You'd written that day: "Possibly had GI bleed or may have been myocardial infarction." You hadn't even established what was wrong with him had you?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE If you felt at that stage that his life was being threatened, why didn't you cause some form of investigation into his symptoms?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE But you're quite willing to tell a wife that 'her husband is dying' and at that stage you don't even know what is wrong with him.

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE As I understand it both conditions are serious, but are they not both reversible with correct treatment?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Would you expect somebody with a GI bleed to die?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Do you expect any patient with myocardial infarction to die?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE But you did in this case didn't you?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE So what was the difference between Mr PACKMAN?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE How did you form the opinion that he was likely to die?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Chris.

DC YATES You see again with your note on the 26th of August (pause) 'query MI – treat stat Diamorph, unless it's query a heart attack, and Oramorph overnight. Alternative possibility GI bleed but no haematemesis'. Did you do anything to find out which, if any of these symptoms, which of, if any of these diagnoses was correct?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Because I can't see it recorded anywhere else in your notes. Now Doctor REID, the consultant, reviewed this patient, I think it was on the 1st of September, we will come on to that, how was he to know what you've done and what you think?

BARTON No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES

How about 30 then doctor?

DC QUADE

Could we just go back to 29 again Chris?

DC YATES

Yeah go on.

DC QUADE

Sorry. Paragraph (27), the blood count taken on the 26th of August subsequently shows that Mr PACKMAN's haemoglobin (HB) had dropped to 7.7 grams. You obviously feel that that is significant and it probably was significant wasn't it? But I am interested in to why you've put that at Paragraph (27) before Paragraph (29) where you're talking about his wife. Presumably you're seeing his wife the same day you wrote up the Diamorphine, which was the 26th of August and you're seeming to link 29, Paragraph (29) to Paragraph (27) aren't you?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

But you can't have your cake and eat it doctor can you (somebody coughs) because we have asked you: "When did you see that Lab Report with the 7.7 grams on it?" If you recall we showed it to you, it's open for you to have a look at again, we showed it to you and it states on there that 'the lab were trying to contact the War Memorial Hospital, but couldn't get through' and the date is the 26/08, so which way round is it doctor? Did you know about the lab result on the 26/08?

BARTON

No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC QUADE

If you had of known about the lab result on the 26/08 you could have linked it with his possible GI bleed obviously and you could have informed Mrs PACKMAN that her husband was badly ill, very poorly, but even so was it still, was it the case that that was a reversible condition at that time?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

I say to you you wouldn't have known would you at that time?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

How could you have known when you spoke to Mrs PACKMAN that her husband probably had a condition that was likely to lead to death?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

I mean you certainly seem to be pretty convinced that Mr PACKMAN had suffered a heart attack or possibly a GI bleed. If we go to the Death Certificate, the Cause Of Death, in the box you actually noted that 'Mr PACKMAN had been suffering from myocardial infarction five days prior to his death', that was the 29th of August. So what made your mind up then that on the 29th of August you knew that Mr PACKMAN was having a heart attack or suffering with heart problems?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES So where was this recorded in the notes?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES You had already decided that that's when he, that's when it was diagnosed and that's when he was suffering from this. How were you going to treat this?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES So what changed between your note on the 26th of August then and the 29th of August when according to the MCCD, when the myocardial infarction was diagnosed, and on the 26th it was 'query myocardial infarction – query GI bleed'.

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES How do you know he had a heart attack on the 29th of August?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Well I've been through the treatment, what I believe the treatment for a suspected heart attack is. What would you say this treatment should be?

BARTON No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES

As in this report how would Doctor REID know, the consultant, the doctor who has overall responsibility for this patient, how on earth could he be aware of your diagnosis if you haven't even written this down?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Did you discuss it verbally with Doctor REID?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Did you discuss it with anyone?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

And again moving on to Paragraph (30) of your statement doctor. 'On the morning of the 27th of August 1999 (27/08/1999) Mr PACKMAN appeared to have stabilised somewhat'. Right 'I would have reviewed Mr PACKMAN again the following and indeed the Nursing Record confirms that I attended to see him then, therefore relying on the nurses' notes. Sister HAMBLIN had recorded that there had been some marked improvement since the previous day and that the Oramorph was tolerated well and should continue to be given, though Mr PACKMAN apparently still had some discomfort later that afternoon especially when the dressings were being changed. In spite of the earlier improvement, Mr PACKMAN was said to remain poorly. 10 milligrams of Oramorph were

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

administered four hourly, together with a further 20 milligrams at night as prescribed, so that Mr PACKMAN received a total of 60 milligrams that day, though this was seemingly not enough to remove his pain and discomfort when his dressings were being changed. The nursing records indicate that he appeared to have a comfortable night'. So (pause) we are now on the 27th doctor. So by the morning of the 27th of August Mr PACKMAN appeared to have stabilized somewhat more. In addition, you would have had ample of opportunity to have obtained the result of the haemoglobin taken the day before. Why then at a time when Mr PACKMAN could have transferred more safely was this not done then?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

If his condition had stabilised or he was suffering, possibly suffering from a GI bleed or a heart attack and you and the hospital are not capable of treating this, would it not have been better to have sent him to a hospital that could?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

DC QUADE pointed this out earlier that 'it would appear that Mr PACKMAN was actually disadvantaged by being on your ward when suffering from these illnesses that were treatable, very serious conditions but treatable. What did you do to treat them?

BARTON

No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES What did you do in order that anyone could help Mr
PACKMAN?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES When did you discuss with Doctor RAVI, or Doctor REID,
or the gastroenterologists, or medical team on call Mr
PACKMAN's condition in particular the drop in his
haemoglobin?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Why didn't you discuss him?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Paragraph (31). 'I reviewed Mr PACKMAN again the
following morning and on this occasion I made a note in
his records, which read reads as follows:- The 28th of
August 1999 (28/08/1999) remains poorly but comfortable,
please continue opiates over weekend'. Were you aware of
the blood results at this time?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES What action did you take?

BARTON No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES His blood results are here and they are saying that 'there is a significant drop' and we know you were aware of them at some time because you've signed the Lab Report. If you weren't aware and you hadn't received the Lab Report why didn't you phone the lab?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES You queried a GI bleed. Wouldn't these results have been important?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES The 28th, that was a Saturday, you didn't have the practice pressures on you, why didn't you write a more detailed note then?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Now this was coming up to the August bank holiday, so you were aware that the Monday was going to be a bank holiday. If this being the case, who was going to review Mr PACKMAN if his condition deteriorated?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES You stated: "Please continue opiates over the weekend."
How were the nurses to know how and when to increase the drugs?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

What safeguards have you put in place this time?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Paragraph (34) doctor. You write 'I do not know if I would have seen Mr PACKMAN again the following morning, Monday the 30th of August, that being a bank holiday. I have no way of knowing now if I was on duty then. If I did see him as part of my review of all the patients on the two wards, I did not have an opportunity to make a specific entry in his records on this occasion. A Barthel score was recorded as 4. The nursing record indicates that Mr PACKMAN's condition remained poor and later that day at 2.45pm (1445) the syringe driver was set up to deliver 40 milligrams of Diamorphine and 20 milligrams of Midazolam subcutaneously. I anticipate that Mr PACKMAN would have continued to experience pain and clearly in view of the significant sacral sores, it was highly likely that he would have been experiencing further significant discomfort'. So you state that 'Monday the 30th of August was a bank holiday and you have no way of knowing whether you were on duty, but you know that at 2.45pm (1445) a syringe driver was set up containing Diamorphine 40 milligrams and Midazolam 20 milligrams subcutaneously over twenty-four hours'. Why was a syringe driver considered necessary?

BARTON

No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES Why were these drugs prescribed?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES But why isn't there anything in either the doctors' or nurses' records to suggest that this decision was discussed with a doctor?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Right you stated that 'Mr PACKMAN would have been experiencing pain from his abdomen or sacral sores'. The notes do not suggest that the sores were a significant cause of pain do they doctor?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES In fact the Nursing Care Plan for sleeping, entry on the 29th of August, it records that Mr PACKMAM complained of left sided abdominal pain and queried whether this was related to his bowels'. Why therefore is Mr PACKMAN commenced in these drugs?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES I see you're there on a Saturday, you went on the Sunday, you possibly went on a Monday. Who authorised this?

BARTON No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES

Geoff?

DC QUADE

No.

DC YATES

(Pause) Paragraph (35) of your statement doctor. 'In view of his poor condition I anticipate that I considered him to be terminally ill and I would have been concerned to ensure that he did not suffer pain and distress as he was dying. Mr PACKMAN had received 60 milligrams of Oramorph daily over the preceding three days and the administration of 40 milligrams of Diamorphine subcutaneously over twenty-four hours did not represent a significant increase. Mr PACKMAN would have started to have become inured to the opiate medication and an increase of this nature was, in my view, entirely appropriate to ensure that his pain was well controlled. Indeed, the nursing record goes on to state that there were no further complaints of abdominal pain and Mr PACKMAN was able to take a small amount of food'. Like you said 'Mr PACKMAN received 60 milligrams of Morphine each day over the preceding three days, and on this basis the administration of Diamorphine, which was 40 milligrams subcutaneously over twenty-four hours, did not represent a significant increase'. How do you personally calculate an appropriate dose of subcutaneous Diamorphine based on a patient's previous oral Morphine dose?

BARTON

No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES Now DC QUADE's been through this with you as well.
Are you aware of that chart?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Pause) As we understand it the total twenty-four hour oral
dose of Morphine is divided by three or occasionally by
two, hey Geoff?

DC QUADE That's right.

DC YATES So an appropriate dose, i.e. Diamorphine at 20 milligrams
over twenty-four hours would generally be considered an
appropriate conversion on this occasion. Is that correct
doctor?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Why was Mr PACKMAN's doubled therefore?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES The first three lines of that paragraph, 'In view of his
condition I anticipate that I considered him to be terminally
ill and I would have been concerned to ensure that he did
not suffer pain and distress as he was dying'. What was he
dying of?

BARTON No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES Was he dying of a myocardial infarction?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Did he need to die of a myocardial infarction?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Isn't myocardial infarction for a heart attack? Is it treatable?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Well what did you do to treat it?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Did you do anything?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES You say 'it was your second diagnosis of a GI bleed'. Is that treatable?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES What can you do to save a person that is suffering a GI bleed?

BARTON No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES Is it always a terminal condition?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES But you were concerned to ensure that he did not suffer pain and distress as he was dying. Would it not have been better doctor to have tried to cure the underlying cause rather than increase the dose of the Diamorphine?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Geoff?

DC QUADE Well doctor you have been given a copy of those Medical Records, a full copy of the Medical Records that are available and you've had some time to read them through and then make this statement that you've presented to us and in this Paragraph (35) I'll draw your attention to five words 'poor condition, terminally ill and dying'. Not anywhere there does it say what his poor condition was, what he was terminally ill with or what he was dying from. Even now, seven years later, when you read this Hospital Record, even now you cannot state, can you, what was causing his death.

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE I am saying to you, I put it to you that at that stage you did not know what his condition was did you?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE But you were content to assume that he was dying...

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE ...so content that you told his wife that he was dying according to you,...

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE ...so content that you failed to find, or to investigate the cause of his condition,...

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE ...so content that you merely ramped up the analgesic to keep him pain free,...

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE ...but you had already suspected that he might have one of two reversible and treatable conditions.

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Why in Paragraph (35) have you not said what he was dying from?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Right Paragraph (36) then doctor. 'I anticipate that the nursing staff', it's 'I anticipate' again isn't it? 'I anticipate that the nursing staff would have liaised with me prior to the commencement of the Diamorphine and Midazolam and this would have been set up on my instruction directly if I had been at the hospital, or otherwise by phone'. Doctor this is a direct contrast to Paragraph (34). You state that 'nursing would have liaised with you and that the Diamorphine and Midazolam would have been commenced on your instruction'. So therefore did you authorise the commencement of that Diamorphine?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

If you did, why didn't you put an entry in the notes when you next came on duty as you had previously?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Did you have an arrangement with Sister HAMBLIN that she could commence patients on syringe drivers with Diamorphine when she deemed it suitable?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Well who therefore made the decision to increase Mr PACKMAN's Diamorphine by at least double the amount?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Well that is a significant increase, it's double the amount doctor.

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Well what is the purpose of medial practitioners reviewing patients and deciding on levels of prescriptions then?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Pause) You said 'this would have been on your instruction directly if you had been at the hospital, or otherwise by phone'. What's the effect then of doubling the Diamorphine?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Geoff?

DC QUADE Yeah. 'I anticipate that the nursing staff bla, bla, bla. This would have been set up on my instruction directly, or otherwise by phone'. Well let's take 'directly' shall we. If it was directly, I'm assuming that you are there in the ward. Let's take 'directly', let's assume it was 'directly', you were there in the ward. Why didn't you make a record there and then on the notes that you had authorised the setting up of that driver?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE No you didn't did you? So let's assume that it wasn't directly.

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Let's go then for 'or otherwise by phone' then surely (somebody coughs) if it was by phone again there would be some record wouldn't there?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE But there isn't is there?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE So let's go for another possibility, which you haven't put down in Paragraph (36) and that is that Sister HAMBLIN set up the syringe driver on her own...

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE ...without speaking to you?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Had you had an arrangement with Sister HAMBLIN that she could put up the syringe driver when she felt it was the right time to do so?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Was that an arrangement that was common practice between the two of you?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Was that an acceptable arrangement do you think?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Okay. Well let's go for another option then and let's say: "Is it possible that Sister HAMBLIN did that of her own accord without any consultation with you?"

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE And what was to stop her, you had prescribed the Diamorphine and the Midazolam; you'd given the broad range. Was she entitled to set up the syringe driver because you had already prescribed it?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE And if that last one was the case, is that why there's no record of it?

BARTON No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC QUADE

Well is it doctor? Is it: "Let's leave well alone and let's hope it doesn't get noticed."

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

(Pause) Obviously if it had been done on the telephone, if authority had been given over the telephone there would be more likely I suppose to be an entry because the policy says that 'it would have to be signed by two nurses'. Is that not correct doctor?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Let's take Paragraph (37) and Paragraph (38) then doctor. 'On the morning of the 31st of August Mr PACKMAN was recorded as having had a peaceful and comfortable night, though he then passed a large amount of black faeces that morning. I believe I would have seen Mr PACKMAN again that morning, though again I did not have an opportunity to make an entry in his records. I anticipate his condition would have been essentially unaltered and that he would have remained comfortable. Similarly, I would probably have seen Mr PACKMAN again on the morning of 1st of September, but would have been unable to record this. I anticipate that his condition was again unchanged. Five separate pressure sore areas were noted by the nurses. A Barthel score of only 1 was recorded'. So you stated that 'on the morning of the 31st of August Mr PACKMAN was recorded as passing a large amount of black faeces'. Isn't this a pure indication of one of your queried diagnosis, of

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

your indication of a gastro intestinal bleed?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

And I will ask you again next to the dates that we have got. When did you obtain or review that full blood count that you signed?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Why didn't you refer Mr PACKMAN to a more senior colleague at this point?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

So according to you doctor Mr PACKMAN was either suffering from a heart condition, or a GI bleed according you're your entry on the 26th of August. You've commenced him on varying, increasing doses of Diamorphine. You say that you, you stated somewhere, on the 26th, the 27th, the 28th, the 31st and the 1st of September you've made two entries in the notes and neither of which reasons why he has been given any medication. There was no evidence that an ECG, or any tests to address his heart condition had been thought about or carried out. And in relation to his GI bleed you wrote 'A large form of haemoglobin levels, passing of black stools' and yet again there was no record of investigations for treatment plans, or referrals to senior colleagues, why not?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON (Silent)

DC YATES Doctor why not?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES So what care were you providing for Mr PACKMAN?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Pause) Were you just allowing him to die?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Anything Geoff?

DC QUADE Yeah. And it's very similar to a set of questions I asked you a few moments ago doctor. Paragraph (37) - 'He then passed a large amount of black faeces that morning'. Paragraph (27) I think it was when 'you agree that you signed the Lab Report with a 7.7 reading on (inaudible). Previous to this you've written into this statement that 'you queried myocardial infarction plus you queried 'possible GI bleed', and now you have got the clearest indication that that is probably what he has got a GI bleed because you've put on here 'passed a large amount of black faeces'. Black faeces plus the 7.7, what is that an indication of doctor?

BARTON No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC QUADE

Well we both know don't we that that is an indication of a GI bleed, and yet even now at this stage, in this prepared statement, prepared statements you've had time to write it, we haven't asked you to do it in five minutes, even now Chapter 30, or Paragraph (37) you still haven't written down what is wrong with Mr PACKMAN and that's the clearest indication yet that we've got so far and we'll carry on with the questioning, but expect another question on that in a minute doctor.

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Right doctor we'll move on to Paragraph 41. 'Sister HAMBLIN recorded later in the Nursing Records that the syringe driver was renewed at 7.15pm (1915) with 60 milligrams of Diamorphine and 60 milligrams of Midazolam subcutaneously as the previous dose was not controlling Mr PACKMAN's symptoms. It appears therefore that Mr PACKMAN was experiencing yet further pain and discomfort. I anticipate that the nursing staff would have contacted me and that I authorised this moderate increase in his medication in order to alleviate the pain and distress'. So on the evening of the 1st of September now then 'the first Diamorphine was increased to 60 milligrams and Midazolam to 60 milligrams over a twenty-four hour period', that's at quarter-past-seven (1915) in the evening because the previous dose wasn't controlling the symptoms (coughs). Sister HAMBLIN has recorded this, you haven't. Who has authorised the change in dosage?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

So that's a Diamorphine increase of 50% and the Midazolam dose was trebled. Why was this?

BARTON

No comment. (TAPE BUZZES)

DC YATES

Where is it recorded in the records that Mr PACKMAN was in pain or distress?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

So you're going to say that 'you anticipate that the nursing staff would have contacted you and you have authorised this moderate increase in his medication'. Well moderate is 50% of Diamorphine and trebling the Midazolam, but where have you authorised this?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Was it over the telephone?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

In which case an entry would have been made by the nurses. Is that correct?

BARTON

No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES

Were you there?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

In which case you have signed it yourself?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Or did Sister HAMBLIN just authorise it herself?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

I'll let you think about that for a moment doctor because I'm going to take this opportunity to change the tape. The time is 1537 hours and I am going to turn the recorder off.

INTERVIEW CONCLUDED - TAPE MACHINE SWITCHED OFF.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

RECORD OF INTERVIEW

Number: Y20AQ

Enter type: ROTI
(SDN / ROTI / Contemporaneous Notes / Index of Interview with VIW / Visually recorded interview)

Person interviewed: BARTON, JANE ANN

Place of interview: FAREHAM POLICE STATION

Date of interview: 06/04/2006

Time commenced: 1538 Time concluded: 1605

Duration of interview: 27 MINUTES Tape reference nos.
(→)

Interviewer(s): DC2479 Chris YATES / DC1162 Geoff QUADE

Other persons present: MR BARKER - SOLICITOR

Police Exhibit No: Number of Pages:

Signature of interviewer producing exhibit

Person speaking	Text
DC YATES	This is a continuation of the interview with Doctor Jane BARTON. The time is 1538 hours and the date is the 6 th of April 2006 (06/04/2006). Doctor can you just confirm that it's the same people present in the room please?
BARTON	It is.
DC YATES	And has there been any conversation about this matter while the tapes have been off?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON None at all.

DC YATES Okay. Just so that we can (pause – clears throat) re-cap on this, we were discussing Paragraph (41) and who actually authorised this increase in the medication. (Pause) So where was it recorded in the records that Mr PACKMAN was in pain?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES And where was it in the records who authorised this?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Am I right in thinking had it been a telephone authorisation that two nurses would have signed the records?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Am I right in thinking that had you been at the hospital you would have signed the prescription sheet?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Geoff.

DC QUADE No not at the moment.

DC YATES No. Paragraphs (42) and (43) then. 'That night Mr PACKMAN was noted to be incontinent of black tarry

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

faeces, but otherwise he had a peaceful night and the syringe driver was said to be satisfactory. I believe I would have reviewed Mr PACKMAN again the following day, the 2nd of September. The nursing records show that his medication was again increased, the Diamorphine to 90 milligrams and the Midazolam to 80 milligrams subcutaneously. I anticipate again that Mr PACKMAN would have been experiencing pain and distress and that I and the nursing staff were concerned that the medication should be increased accordingly to ensure that he did not suffer pain and distress as he died. That night Mr PACKMAN was said to remain ill, but comfortable and the syringe driver was satisfactory'. So Mr PACKMAN was noted to have had a peaceful night, however Diamorphine was increased to 90 milligrams over a twenty-four period from 60 and the Midazolam to 80 from 60 and that was at 1840 hours on the 2nd of September. Why was this doctor?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

However there is no mention of pain and distress from the nursing or medical notes. Who authorised this increase?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Did you authorise it?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Personally?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Or by phone?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Or was it unauthorised?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Pause) Also it's mentioned in Paragraph (42) – 'That night Mr PACKMAN was noted to be incontinent of black tarry faeces otherwise he had a peaceful night'. What is that significant to?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES So we've gone from the 26th of August where you've query a GI bleed and you queried a heart attack. Well we are now on, I believe, the 1st of September (pause), overnight on the 1st of September I believe. So four or five days and you have quite a few pointers now as to what might be wrong with Mr PACKMAN haven't you?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Clears throat) And this last one 'the black tarry faeces', am I right in thinking that that is indicative of a GI bleed?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Albeit it could be indicative of a lot of things I'm sure, but you suspected a GI bleed, and why did you suspect a GI bleed doctor?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES And not only did you suspect a GI bleed on the 26th of August you, at some stage, had seen that Lab Report and you'd seen the drop in the haemoglobin. You must be pretty damn sure now that he was suffering from a GI bleed.

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES So what did you do about it?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Pause) Geoff?

DC QUADE Was it too late to do anything about it?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Well we're now up to, what was that Chapter what, Paragraph what Christopher was it?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES

That was Paragraph, well that main bit with the faeces was Paragraph (42), but we're doing (42) and (43).

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

Okay. So we've got Paragraph (39) 'passing melaena stools'. The end of Paragraph (39) 'poor prognosis'. Paragraph (40) 'terminally ill'. (Pause) Paragraph (42) 'incontinent of black tarry faeces'. (43) end of that sentence 'pain and distress as he died'. 'Mr PACKMAN was said to remain ill'. So several mentions to the things that were happening to Mr PACKMAN the stools, terminally ill, ill, pain and distress as he died and again right up to that including all the way up to Paragraph (43), you have failed to tell us in this prepared statement what was wrong with Mr PACKMAN.

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

You've been using hindsight, I think it's quite clear, throughout this prepared statement and even now you are not telling us what was clearly wrong with Mr PACKMAN.

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

(Pause) Okay Paragraph (44) doctor. 'Sadly Mr PACKMAN passed away on the 3rd of September 1999 (03/09/1999) at 1.50pm (1350). My belief was that death would have been consequent for myocardial infarction'. So

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

there you've pinned your colours to the mast and you said that it was a 'myocardial infarction'. So from the 26th of August until the 3rd of September at no stage did you say in your statement or in your notes what Mr PACKMAN was dying of, but when he's died you've said: "Yeah it was a myocardial infarction." What evidence is there that the cause of death was due to a heart condition?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Because you have repeatedly referred to symptoms that suggest a GI bleed, and even with the benefits of hindsight doctor and the review of case notes that contained details that Mr PACKMAN had a digestion like pain, he was passing fresh blood and melaena stools and the drop in his haemoglobin. Do you really think, bearing all that in mind, was your diagnosis of Mr PACKMAN correct?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

I mean was it really a diagnosis other than you've given what you believe to be a cause of death?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Possibly an incorrect cause of death.

BARTON

No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES Even if PACKMAN had died of a heart attack or a myocardial infarction and you were correct in your suspicions on the 26th of August, what did you do about it?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Why didn't he have an ECG?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES When was his heart listened to?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES When were any tests done?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Well we actually feel that everything might point towards a GI bleed, so when were any tests done for that?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES We had the blood test. When did you sign that and become aware of the drop in haemoglobin?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Something that you record in your statement 'a significant drop'.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Accompanying that with the black faeces and the passing of fresh blood, all this etcetera. What do you think Mr PACKMAN died of?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Why haven't you written any reference to the reason behind the prescription of any drug, not only in these records but also in any of the ten records that we've had?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

I admit it I'm just, I'm going to push the drugs to one side, but before I do that do you want to say anything?

DC QUADE

Only when you get to Paragraph (44) doctor, when you were writing that where were you when you typed that?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

Well I think you were up against the wall weren't you, backed into a corner with nowhere to go because you realise what you've put on that Death Certificate and yet the evidence is pointing, and it has been pointing for several paragraphs now that it has been pointing to the other diagnosis that you did consider at one stage, but

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

seemingly ignored and that was that he had the GI bleed and yet you failed to investigate didn't you?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE You failed to investigate the myocardial infarction possibility didn't you?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Can you tell me even now, through this prepared statement, your evidence that indicates that he had a myocardial infarction?

BARTON (Silence).

DC QUADE Can you?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE And can you, through this prepared statement, justify your entry on the Death Certificate?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Pause) So poor old Mr PACKMAN he came into hospital and his ongoing problems were obesity, arthritis, immobility, pressure sores and constipation. So to put it bluntly he was a fat man with arthritis in his knees, his immobility was possibly due to his size, pressure sores

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

because he wasn't getting about and he was constipated and he's died of what you consider to be a myocardial infarction. Now forget the drugs at the moment, forget the Diamorphine and the Midazolam and all the other drugs, there was two diagnoses that you made on the 26th of August, two possible diagnoses myocardial infarction or a GI bleed, now forget which one was right, but what did you do about either?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

What basic tests did you put in place?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

If you were unable to treat or look after Mr PACKMAN, why didn't you move him somewhere where he could be?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

We mentioned before that Mr PACKMAN seemed to be hampered by being in hospital, he was disadvantaged by being in hospital, he could have just as easily have been at home except then somebody could have called an ambulance couldn't they doctor?

BARTON

(Silent)

DC YATES

Did you consider anything, I mean of all the options that were open to you ECGs, all the different tests etcetera,

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

didn't you consider anything that could have been done for Mr PACKMAN?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Had he been suffering from a GI bleed or a heart attack on the 26th of August, was the terminal?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Could that have been treated?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

And could his life have been saved?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Now if you bring the drugs back into it the Diamorphine and that, was the proactive prescribing done in order that you didn't have to be bothered with nighttime call out?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

But why such a range?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

And with what eventually becomes, it could be either I suppose, but I would say quite high doses of Diamorphine

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

etcetera, was that a way of covering up the inadequate care and the treatment Mr PACKMAN received?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Just keep him quiet, out of pain and he would just eventually die of whatever was wrong with him?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Geoff?

DC QUADE

(Pause) Doctor a GI bleed is consider, you tell me if I'm wrong, is considered as a serious and life threatening medical emergency is it not?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

And as such it should require urgent and appropriate care?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

On the 25th of August Doctor BEASLEY was called wasn't he?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

And for out-of-hours and that was because Mr PACKMAN was passing fresh blood per rectum wasn't he?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Now (pause) Doctor BEASLEY, as a consequence what did he do? He ordered that the Clexane should be stopped didn't he?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Now was the Clexane, that was to stop DVT wasn't it, deep vein thrombosis wasn't it?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE So it's an anti coagulum isn't it for blood?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE It stops the blood from clotting doesn't it?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE So what Doctor BEASLEY did was quit reasonable wasn't it stopping that?

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Now we mentioned this GI bleeding before and if we get a lower bowel GI bleeding it comes out as red doesn't it?

BARTON No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC QUADE

Or it can do. And why is that doctor?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

That's because the blood hasn't had the time, has it, to be digested from stomach to rectum (somebody coughs) and turn it into that horrible black smelly melaena. Is that right?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

(Pause) So coupled with that and the fact that he had vomited, he was unwell, wasn't he at lunchtime? You were called to see him at lunchtime, then indigestion and he was becoming more unwell and that's why Mrs PACKMAN was called and we know that the HB was 7.7 from that day, but that came through later. We're pointing there, aren't we, that it was quite reasonable for you to have known that he had the GI bleed (pause) and you already knew that Doctor TANDY had asked for that haemoglobin to be chased up on the 13/08 because she suspected it. You knew that Doctor RAVINDRANE had request HB to be reviewed later on in the week when he looked at him on the 23rd (pause), so it's all pointing that was isn't it?

BARTON

No comment.

DC QUADE

So why didn't you investigate that further yourself?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC QUADE Neither of those were properly investigated were they?
Neither the myocardial infarction nor the GI bleed.

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Pause) Was that done (inaudible)?

DC QUADE Sorry?

DC YATES Was that done (inaudible)?

DC QUADE No you put...

DC YATES None of that?

DC QUADE No.

DC YATES (Inaudible). There's just a couple more things I want to ask you then, it's general things really doctor. What was your duty of care towards Mr PACKMAN?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Was it to treat him with his medical condition to make sure everything's done to treat his illnesses and things like that?

BARTON No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES

Isn't that what the public would assume the role of a doctor to be?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

To diagnose, to treat, to make better, and guidance is provided, isn't it, by things like your Job Description of what you've got to do, the extensive training you must have gone through to become a doctor in the first place, there's all sorts of other guides and policies, there's the BNF to assist you in providing that duty of care isn't there doctor?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

So is it reasonable to say that a person going into hospital would think: "I'm going to hospital, a doctor will try and make me better." Is that a reasonable assumption for a member of the public?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Right well if you have a duty of care such at that, what would you consider then doctor to be a breach of that duty?

BARTON

No comment.

DC YATES

Would you consider failing to examine Mr PACKMAN a breach?

BARTON

No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES Would you consider failing to keep records a breach?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Well how about not following drug prescription guidelines?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES What about the failure to follow up those blood results?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES What about thinking he may have a GI bleed, but doing nothing about it?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES What about thinking he may have been having a heart attack, but not doing anything about that?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES What about not carrying out an ECG when the machine's available?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES There's a handful of things. Would you consider any one of those to be a breach of duty of care doctor?

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Or all of them?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Pause) I mean people at times of negligent aren't they for any number of reasons. Were you negligent?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Well what is negligence? Is it any of those things I mentioned before failing to examine Mr PACKMAN?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Failing to keep the records?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Need I go through them all again?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Can you explain why you failed to conduct any of the above, any of the things I've mentioned?

BARTON No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES You see sometimes negligence can have tragic consequences can't it doctor? Is this what happened here?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES You see on top of all the breaches that I've mentioned about duty care and care of Mr PACKMAN, there was no referral to another hospital was there, or a doctor, or transferring Mr PACKMAN to another hospital?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Pause) How many single deviations doctor would you say, or devious good practice would you say was acceptable?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Do you think could the failure to treat his GI bleed have contributed to his death?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Could failure to identify whether he was suffering from myocardial infarction or a heart attack have contributed to his death?

BARTON No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES Could the failure to seek help or assistance from more experienced doctors or a consultant have contributed to his death?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES (Coughs) Could the rapid increase in Morphine based drugs have contributed to his death?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Could the combined failure of all of the ones I've just mentioned, all the things I've just mentioned, including the rapid increase in Morphine based drugs, have contributed to the death of Geoffrey PACKMAN?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES So then what doctor, as a doctor with over thirty years' experience, what would you consider to be an act of medical negligence?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Let's turn that round then, how would you deal with one act of negligence that you saw in either a junior or senior doctor?

BARTON No comment.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DC YATES How would you deal with repeated breaches of good practice in the medical treatment of one patient?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES When would you consider a doctor to be grossly negligence in carrying out their duties doctor?

BARTON No comment.

DC YATES Geoff?

DC QUADE (Pause) I don't have anymore.

DC YATES No. Is there anything you wish to clarify doctor?

BARTON No thank you.

DC YATES Is there anything you wish to add?

BARTON All right. We'll give you a notice explaining what will happen to the tapes and the tape recording procedure. The time is 1605 hours and I am going to turn the recorder off.

THE INTERVIEW CONCLUDED - THE TAPE MACHINE WAS SWITCHED OFF.

RESTRICTED