### $\underline{GMC - v - BARTON}$

### POTENTIAL ERRORS/MATTER FOR PROFESSOR FORD TO CONSIDER

## Patient B: Lavender

1. Prof Ford sometimes refers to the pagination in the bottom centre of the page in the format (eg) "468 of 640" and sometimes to the pagination in the format (eg) "-468-". A consistent approach is required, using the "-468-" format. (See the draft chronology).

## Patient C: Page

- 2. Prof Ford states the date of the diamorphine injection prescription is unclear. From the nursing notes (p170), it seems it must have been 2/3/98.
- 3. Prof Ford states the fentanyl patch was prescribed "x 3 days." From the drug chart (p272), should this be "x 5 days"?
- 4. The thioridizine and heminevrin prescribed on 28/2/98 (p276) was not prescribed by Dr Barton.

#### Patient D: Wilkie

5. The notes are in two files, both the same, but paginated sequentially across the two. Prof Ford generally refers to the first bundle, but then refers to the nursing notes from the second bundle (p635). For consistency, the Panel Bundles will come from the first bundle. The nursing notes are from p206 (see chronology).

## Patient H: Wilson

6. Drug charts: Dr Barton prescribed 10ml (20mg) nocte – p262. There is an illegible prescription underneath it for something else. Prof Ford's report needs to make this clear. It currently seems from the report as though the 10ml (20mg) oramorph prescription was by another doctor.

## Patient I: Spurgin

- 7. At paragraph 5.1, Prof Ford states Spurgin was transferred to Dryad Ward on 20/3/99. It was on 26/3/99 as stated at para 5.7. (Same mistake at para 5.9).
- 8. Prof Ford states the oramorph prescribed on 26/3/99 was subcutaneous. This must be wrong, and drug chart shows it is wrong (report p3, notes p160).
- 9. Prof Ford gives the oramorph quantities in mg. From the drug charts, they are in fact in ml, meaning that the mg amount is double that stated (see report p3, drug charts p160, 164). Does this have any bearing on conclusions?
- 10. At paragraph 5.6, Prof Ford states that morphine was given 5mg three times on 20/3/99 and twice on 21/3/99. This appears to be incorrect (drug charts p326-328). 5mg was given twice on 20/3/99 and once on 21/3/99.
- 11. Dr Reid's entry in the clinical notes dated 23/3/99 must be wrong it was 24/3/99. It comes after a referral on 24/3/99 (notes p373-4). Plus, Reid says it was 24/3/99 in correspondence (p301).

### Patient J: Packman

12. Prof Ford used the handwritten page numbers at times. Pagination to be used is as at para 1.

# Patient L: Stevens

13. Oramorph: Prof Ford states that on 20/5/99, 5mg was administered at 14.30, then 2.5mg at 18.30, 22.45 and 07.35 (report p4). This is wrong. The drug charts show it was 2.5ml (which is 5mg) that was administered at 14.30, and at 18.30, 22.45 and 07.35 – ie each time it is 2.5ml/5mg that was administered. Does this have a bearing on conclusions?

Ben FitzGerald 11<sup>th</sup> May 2009