

O/D



01200257

RED TOP CORRESPONDENCE

STANDARDS AND FITNESS TO PRACTISE DIRECTORATE

Assignee: Robert Laughlin

Section: Adjudication

Date: 08-02-10.

DO Reference:	2010-101	
DO SR:	JRI-310358248	
OCCE Reference:	320440	
Complaint Reference(s):	numerous	
Correspondent's Name:	Code A	UID: Code A
Doctor(s) concerned:	Dr Jane Barton	UID: 1587920
Subject:	outcome of Dr Barton hearing	

Action Required:

Deadline:

Acknowledge

18-02-10.

Reply direct

Draft reply for:

AD / HoS

DCE / Director – Paul Philip

Chief Executive – Niall Dickson

Chair – Peter Rubin

Provide Case Summary

Notes:

11/02 - Reply sent RL.

General Medical Council	
Original was a Photocopy	
Original was Poor Quality	
Date rec for scan	- 6 OCT 2015
Original has been Photocopied to improve Scan Quality	
Document had physical objects ref:	

Code A

From: Tyrieana Long [Code A]
Sent: 05 February 2010 15:50
To: [Code A]
Subject: FW: CEO correspondence: Dr Jane Ann Barton

[Code A]

Please see details of email correspondence that has been passed to Robert Loughlin for reply.

Thanks

Ty

From: Tyrieana Long [Code A]
Sent: 05 February 2010 15:30
To: Robert Loughlin [Code A]
Subject: FW: CEO correspondence: Dr Jane Ann Barton

Hi Robert

The OCCE reference number is 00320440.

Please send me a copy of the reply.

Thanks

Ty

From: Ben Jones [Code A]
Sent: 03 February 2010 18:33
To: Robert Loughlin [Code A]
Cc: OCCE
Subject: RE: Attention: CE Ref Dr Jane Ann Barton

Robert

Paul suggested you take a look please and handle.

Happy to discuss.

Thanks,
Ben

From: Tyrieana Long [Code A] **On Behalf Of** OCCE
Sent: 01 February 2010 14:49
To: Ben Jones [Code A]
Subject: FW: Attention: CE Ref Dr Jane Ann Barton

Ben

Please see the email below from [Code A]

Should we merely acknowledge or allocate for a more substantive reply?

Thanks

08/02/2010

Ty

From:
Sent: 31 January 2010 13:11
To: OCCE
Subject: Attention: CE Ref Dr Jane Ann Barton

Regulating doctors
 Ensuring good medical practice

List of Registered Medical Practitioners

Results

[Search Again?](#)

[Refine Search](#)

Search Values

Surname = Barton
Given Name = Jane
Sex = Woman

5 Records have been found that match your search criteria.

To be sure of finding the correct doctor you should use the GMC reference number (doctor's GMC reference number).



GMC Reference Number	Given Names	Surname	Gender	Status
1523515	Carol Jane	Barton	Woman	Not Registered - Having relinquished registration
<input type="text" value="Code A"/>			Woman	Registered with a licence to practise
<input type="text" value="Code A"/>			Woman	Registered with a licence to practise
<input type="text" value="Code A"/>			Woman	Registered with a licence to practise
<input type="text" value="Code A"/>			Woman	Registered with a licence to practise

Please Note: The absence of a record does not necessarily mean the doctor is not reg

Search for more than one doctor using their GMC reference numbers

Data Protection & Privacy Statement

Dear Mr Dickson,

I am not able to find Dr Jane Ann Barton on the above List of Registered Medical Practitioners. So much has been made of the online register by the GMC but once again we see dysfunctional secrecy at the GMC and sheer panic.

Of course, I am aware of the true reason for the absence of Dr Jane Ann Barton's name from the register. It is GMC's embarrassment; a doctor who should have been struck off but was allowed to go on. GMC is too embarrassed to give details of her case on the online register. Why you did not put a statement in the Details Section? It is so simple.

In my case GMC is not embarrassed to publish the Conditions and Details (a serious misnomer) on the List of Registered Medical Practitioners.

There are no less than 15 conditions placed on my practice despite the fact that I never harmed any patients, and I am a doctor who knows that prevention is better than a cure. Well, I am a whistle blower. A traitor to be hanged by medical professionals employed by the GMC. I know that facts did not matter, law is of no importance and dishonesty of doctors is allowed as long as there is a little busload of them. Size does matter. Do you believe that it does not? What are your policies to deal with mobbing of doctors?

People are dying because of the GMC FTP decisions taken in my case, but the lives of the mentally ill patients who have committed suicide do not matter. They are mad, are not they, and so why should GMC bother? My patients could be seen as a tax burden too. Did you know that more than 70% of the world population has one or less psychiatrists per 100,000 of population? Do you accept that it is right for the GMC to continue depriving people of mental health care just because I stood up to the bad government policy (on religious uniforms), and opposed bad decisions made by the GMC itself? What do you do when people say "No" to you?

My letters to the previous GMC President have never been acknowledged or answered or taken seriously. He is not there any more.

My case started with my objection to the wearing of religious uniforms in the setting of NHS when working with mentally ill people. It was a Catholic Mother Superior Social worker who inspired me to do research on these matters (condemned by biased GMC FTP). GMC had a Catholic for a President and UK a Catholic Prime Minister. GMC used a Catholic Barrister and so did MPS who had a religious Medical Director. MPS never called a single witness for my defense despite the fact that a Catholic priest and psychiatrist offered to give evidence. MPS used a firm of solicitors who acted as Parliamentary Agents for many years. One of the doctors on my GMC FTP wrote in his book where he described his belief that demons cause epilepsy, prayed for his NHS patient in his surgery in front of her, shivered violently and felt something cold skid across the floor and leave the room. Another demon, presumably.

I wish you courage and strength as well as good luck, Mr Dickson, as you swim through the sea of skeletons at the GMC.

 Kind regards,

Code A

Code A

From: Robert Loughlin [Code A]
Sent: 11 February 2010 15:10
To: [Code A]
Subject: Attention: CE Ref Dr Jane Ann Barton

Dear [Code A]

Thank you for your e-mail of 31 January 2010, addressed to Niall Dickson, expressing concern regarding the online publication of the outcome of Dr Barton's Fitness to Practise Panel hearing. I have been asked to reply as the Assistant Director responsible for Adjudication at the GMC.

In relation to the concern you have raised, it might be helpful if I explain the procedure we follow prior to publishing hearing minutes on our website. Once a hearing has concluded the panel chairman is required to approve the minutes to ensure that they are accurate, before they are published via the List of Registered Medical Practitioners. In most cases, the panel chairman is able to approve the minutes immediately at the end of a hearing, which enables them to be published within one working day. However, in some instances, particularly where the minutes are lengthy and detailed, as they were in Dr Barton's case, the draft minutes cannot be approved at the end of the hearing. Instead, the minutes are sent to the panel chairman for approval after the hearing, which serves to delay their publication on our website. In Dr Barton's case, I can confirm that the hearing minutes were published via the List of Registered Medical Practitioners on Friday 5 February 2010.

Yours sincerely

Robert Loughlin
Assistant Director
Adjudication

Direct line: [Code A]

11/02/2010