

Code A

40293970

2.111

From: Tim Cox-Brown [Code A]
Sent: 06 April 2011 11:04
To: [Code A]
Cc: [Code A]
Subject: FW: Query

Code A

[Code A] has asked me to look at this email from Ms Mackenzie, as well as a previous email.

It isn't really appropriate for [Code A] to respond to these enquiries, as she was responsible only for the monitoring of Dr B's compliance with the conditions imposed by the Panel in 2010, whereas the information requested by Ms Mackenzie relates to the investigation/presentation to the Panel of the case(s) against Dr B, which took place before CRT involvement. I think that these requests should be dealt with by CPT, who had the cases last – [Code A] appears to have been the CPT caseworker.

Regards

Tim

Tim Cox-Brown
Case Review Manager
Standards & Fitness to Practise Directorate

Code A

The GMC is changing how it deals with cases at the end of an investigation into a doctor's fitness to practise. To find out more and have your say visit www.gmc-uk.org/ftpreformconsultation

From: [Code A]
Sent: 06 Apr 2011 10:47
To: Tim Cox-Brown [Code A]
Subject: FW: Query

Tim,

Further e-mail from Ms Mackenzie.

Thanks

Code A

Fitness to Practise Directorate

Code A

The GMC is changing how it deals with cases at the end of an investigation into a doctor's fitness to practise. To find out more and have your say visit www.gmc-uk.org/ftpreformconsultation

From: [Code A]
Sent: 05 April 2011 13:19
To: [Code A]
Cc: 'Cooper, Rachel'
Subject: FW: Query

Code A

Further to my earlier emails please see below for more correspondence from Ms Mackenzie.

Again, Julian has asked that I forward this on to you for consideration alongside the earlier forwarded emails. Happy to discuss this with you when you have had a chance to review but in the meantime are you happy for me to let Ms Mackenzie know these have been forwarded to you to respond?

Thanks

Code A

From: Gillian Mackenzie [Code A]

Sent: 05 April 2011 12:06

To: [Code A]

Subject: Query

Papers supplied to you in 2001 did not include a "Statement" from Jane Barton. She was interviewed by the Police in April 2000 and immediately gave in her notice to the Health Authority 28 April 2000 leaving Gosport in July 2000. We are all well aware of the effect on diamorphine usage from the CHI Report. At the interview she was accompanied by a solicitor. Her answers to police questions consisted of "no comment" until she lost her cool with words to the effect "I do not have to put up with this" Are you sure you considered that to be a Statement? The GMC have still not admitted that sanctions were imposed on her regarding diamorphine. (presumably Paul Hylton is still in your employ?) before the ten years clean conduct reference at the GMC hearing. You are very much mistaken if you think for one moment that I am going to keep this under my hat for very much longer! Gillian M Mackenzie