- 1			40295667
Code A			4025300
From:	Code A		2089
Sent:	11 April 2011 11:21		
To:	Code A		
Cc:	Tim Cox-Brown Code A	Joanna Farrell Code	Α
Subject:	FW: Further enquiries from	Gillian Mackenzie.	
Code A			

Please see the emails below. Hopefully these are fairly self explanatory, but let me know if you have any queries.

Tim has advised that Mrs Mackenzie's emails should be dealt with by CPT as they primarily relate to the investigation/presentation of the Barton case. I note from Siebel that you were the responsible officer in CPT.

Please can you confirm that you or someone else from within the CPT will respond to Mrs Mackenzie's emails?

Many thanks

Code A

From: Code A Sent: 05 April 2011 17:49

To: Joanna Farrel Code A

Subject: FW: Further enquiries from Gillian Mackenzie.

Joanna.

I'd be grateful for your advice as to who in FPD should be responsible for a number of queries which we have received from Gillian Mackenzie, the daughter of Gladys Richards, in connection with the Dr Barton case. The death of Gladys Richard was the first to be investigated by Hampshire police and sparked the subsequent investigation into Dr Barton.

Please see my original email to code A copied below for a copy of recent correspondence with Ms Mackenzie. I also attach a subsequent email which I received from Ms Mackenzie today.



Query

Having discussed these emails with Code A earlier, we would both be struggling to provide Ms Mackenzie with an adequate response in terms of why information was presented at the Barton hearing and other information was left out. I've already copied in Rachel from FFW as I know she was heavily involved in the case but please can you advise who within the GMC should be responding to Ms Mackenzie's requests.

Grateful for your advice on this.

Many thanks



From: Code A

Sent:	05	April	2011	11:	41
To:			Cod	e A	

Cc: 'Cooper, Rachel'

Subject: Further enquiries from Gillian Mackenzie.

Code A Rachel

Please see the following three emails which I have received from Gillian Mackenzie (daughter of Gladys Richards) in connection with the Barton case.







Query

Query

Query

Mrs Mackenzie originally requested confirmation from the Information Access Team that we received documentation from Hampshire police in connection with her mother and that this included a witness statement from Dr Barton. I confirmed this to her yesterday (see attached response below) but she now wishes to know:

- · whether we obtained witness statements from Haslar staff in connection with her mother
- why these were not mentioned at the GMC hearing.

The answer to the first bullet is relatively straightforward in that the police did provide witness statements from Haslar staff as these formed part of the bundle at the Preliminary Proceedings Committee 29-30 Aug 2002 (pages 510 onwards). However, after speaking to Julian on this he thinks a combined response is better coming from FPD.

Code A can you therefore please respond to Ms Mackenzie's two enquiries?

Rachael- I've copied you into this FYI and in the hope you may be able to provide some assistance to Code A on this.

Regards





RE: Jane Barton GMC Investigat...

	Code A			
From:	Gillian	Mackenzie	Code A	
Sent:	05 Apı	ril 2011 12:06		
To:		Code A		
Subject:	Query			

Papers supplied to you in 2001 did not include a "Statement" from Jane Barton. She was interviewed by the Police in April 2000 and immediately gave in her notice to the Health Authority 28 April 2000 leaving Gosport in July 2000. We are all well aware of the effect on diamorphine usage from the CHI Report. At the interview she was accompanied by a solicitor. Her answers to police questions consisted of "no comment" until she lost her cool with words to the effect "I do not have to put up with this" Are you sure you considered that to be a Statement? The GMC have still not admitted that sanctions were imposed on her regarding diamorphine. (presumably Paul Hylton is still in your employ?) before the ten years clean conduct reference at the GMC hearing. You are very much mistaken if you think for one moment that I am going to keep this under my hat for very much longer! Gillian M Mackenzie

<u> </u>	Code A	
From:	Gillian Mackenzie	Code A
Sent:	05 April 2011 09:22	
To:	Code A	
Subject:	Query	

Thank you for your e mail. May I have an answer referring to the statements from Haslar staff – I note that query has been ignored and I cannot find reference to those statements in the GMC hearing. Gillian M Mackenzie PS I am well aware of what went on during the interview with Dr. Barton by the police in the first instance and of course I am well ware of the evasive answers given by other Nurses. i.e. hydration was set up by drip etc after I had been to the Police and Dr. Reid was called in when a family member insisted that someone in authority saw his mother regarding another victim. This was Michael Wilson not to be mixed up with the lain Wilson case – (Mike Wilson's case was also disregarded by the police) he was threatened that he would be ejected from the Gosport War Memorial in the same way as my son was (in the Richards case) when he appeared at the Gosport War Memorial on 18 19 20 August at approximately 11pm. He only saw the night Nurses and yet Philip Beed states he met my son – absolute rubbish. I did not attend every day at the hearing as my "partner" was dying from Cancer -(31 July 2009) I am amazed at the total muddle that went on mixing up patients etc. in cross examination, questions from the panel etc. I allege that the Nursing and Midwifery Council have not examined the GMC hearing notes or if they have their comprehension and lack of investigation is on a par with most of the "Authorities" . I have not gone along so far with the widely held belief that the whole saga of Gosport has been one cover-up from the beginning - I am beginning to come to the same conclusion – but it is not over yet. I repeat I want confirmation that you received statements from Haslar staff and an explanation why they were not mentioned at the GMC hearing. Gillian M Mackenzie

Code A

From:

Gillian Mackenzie

Code A

Sent:

05 April 2011 10:57

To:

Code A

Subject:

Query

Follow Up Flag: Flag Status:

Follow up

Flagged

Just to make it abundantly clear I am not referring to the discharge letter to Gosport on 11 August and 17 August I have copies. I am not asking for sight of Haslar staff statements made to the police – I am asking for confirmation and explanation – that you received those Statements made to police and an explanation why they were not referred to at the hearing . This has nothing to do with the Data Protection Act. Gillian M Mackenzie PS I am well aware that some statement were made to police in Germany and Police Officers were sent to Germany to take them – in the same way that I am aware that the Police Officer was taken off the case just as the papers were sent to the CPS in December 2000 . How odd that the GMC received papers in June 2001 when I was not notified that my case was not going to the criminal Court until August 2001 and a police officer had already been assigned to accompany me !!Gillian M Mackenzie

	Code A		
From:	Gillian Mackenz	ie Code A	
Sent:	05 April 2011 1:	1:17	
To:	Co	ode A	
Subject:	Query		

The GMC were notified of the Richards case in 2000 – repeat 2000. The Nursing and Midwifery Council were also notified at the same time. Gillian M Mackenzie