

Code A

40295242

4134

From: Code A
Sent: 11 April 2011 12:18
To: Code A
Subject: RE: Further enquiries from Gillian Mackenzie.

Code A

There is no DPA time limit on this request because Mrs Mackenzie is asking queries which do not relate to her own personal data.

Mrs Mackenzie also states in one of her emails "This has nothing to do with the Data Protection Act."

Many thanks

Code A

From: Code A
Sent: 11 April 2011 11:59
To: Rachel Morris Code A
Subject: FW: Further enquiries from Gillian Mackenzie.

Rachel,

I have discussed Mrs Mackenzie's queries with Peter Swain. Could you draft me a reply to her queries please.

Code A – Is there a DPA time limit for us to reply?

Code A

From: Code A
Sent: 11 Apr 2011 11:21
To: Code A
Cc: Tim Cox-Brown Code A Joanna Farrell Code A
Subject: FW: Further enquiries from Gillian Mackenzie.

Code A

Please see the emails below. Hopefully these are fairly self explanatory, but let me know if you have any queries.

Tim has advised that Mrs Mackenzie's emails should be dealt with by CPT as they primarily relate to the investigation/presentation of the Barton case. I note from Siebel that you were the responsible officer in CPT.

Please can you confirm that you or someone else from within the CPT will respond to Mrs Mackenzie's emails?

Many thanks

Code A

From: Code A
Sent: 05 April 2011 17:49

To: Joanna Farrell
Cc: Julian Graves
Subject: FW: Further enquiries from Gillian Mackenzie.

Code A

Joanna,

I'd be grateful for your advice as to who in FPD should be responsible for a number of queries which we have received from Gillian Mackenzie, the daughter of Gladys Richards, in connection with the Dr Barton case. The death of Gladys Richard was the first to be investigated by Hampshire police and sparked the subsequent investigation into

Code A

Please see my original email to **Code A** copied below for a copy of recent correspondence with Ms Mackenzie. I also attach a subsequent email which I received from Ms Mackenzie today.

<< Message: Query >>

Having discussed these emails with **Code A** earlier, we would both be struggling to provide Ms Mackenzie with an adequate response in terms of why information was presented at the Barton hearing and other information was left out. I've already copied in Rachel from FFW as I know she was heavily involved in the case but please can you advise who within the GMC should be responding to Ms Mackenzie's requests.

Grateful for your advice on this.

Many thanks

Code A

From: **Code A**
Sent: 05 April 2011 11:41
To: **Code A**
Cc: 'Cooper, Rachel'
Subject: Further enquiries from Gillian Mackenzie.

Code A Rachel

Please see the following three emails which I have received from Gillian Mackenzie (daughter of Gladys Richards) in connection with the Barton case.

<< Message: Query >> << Message: Query >> << Message: Query >>

Mrs Mackenzie originally requested confirmation from the Information Access Team that we received documentation from Hampshire police in connection with her mother and that this included a witness statement from Dr Barton. I confirmed this to her yesterday (see attached response below) but she now wishes to know:

- whether we obtained witness statements from Haslar staff in connection with her mother
- why these were not mentioned at the GMC hearing.

The answer to the first bullet is relatively straightforward in that the police did provide witness statements from Haslar staff as these formed part of the bundle at the Preliminary Proceedings Committee 29-30 Aug 2002 (pages 510 onwards). However, after speaking to **Code A** on this he thinks a combined response is better coming from FPD.

Code A can you therefore please respond to Ms Mackenzie's two enquiries?

Rachael- I've copied you into this FYI and in the hope you may be able to provide some assistance to **Code A** on this.

Regards

Code A

<< Message: RE: Jane Barton GMC Investigatoon >>

