Euthanasia: A judge warns actors must not 'play G

rewritten last week with the revelation that King George V's death was hastened with drugs injected by his physician, a High Court judge restated the British judiciary's views on the illegality of euthanasia, whether with or without the patient's consent.

Mr Justice Mars-Jones declared: "A doctor is not entitled to play God and cut short life because the time has come to end the pain and suffering and to enable his patient to 'die with dignity'."

But despite a summing-up clearly hostile to the defence case, a jury at Leeds crown court yesterday cleared a family doctor of trying to kill a terminally ill cancer patient with an overdose of drugs. The defence claimed that the overdose was "a ghastly mistake".

The jury decided by a would have been illegal, majority verdict that Dr John Douglas Carr, 59, was not may be, however near his guilty of attempted murder in death he is, he is entitled in

by Neville Hodakinson Medical Correspondent



Mars-Jones: law to the end

having administered the overdose, given to 63-year-old Ronald Mawson.

In his summing-up, Mars-Jones told the jury that the patient did not want to die, and even if he did, killing him

our law to every hour, nay days after it was revealed by every minute of life that God, the biographer Francis Watgranted him.

"That hour or hours may be the most precious and most important hours of a man's life. There may be business to transact, gifts to be given, forgivenesses to be said, attitudes to be ex-pressed, farewells to be made, 101 bits of unfinished business which have to be concluded."

During the 14-day hearing the prosecution alleged that Carr had given the cancer patient 1,000 milligrams instead of 150 milligrams of the drug phenobarbitone to "let him die with dignity".

After the jury, seemingly determined not to brand the doctor as a criminal, returned its verdict yesterday, the judge refused to grant costs to the defence

Carr said later through his solicitor: "I have tried to serve at all times the best interests of my patients." The jury's verdict came two

ers, the guidelines state.

son that in 1936 a fatal dose of morphia and cocaine was given to George V to bring about a "brief final scene".

Those revelations, along with the Leeds case, add urgency to a current review by the British Medical Association of the guidelines it issues to doctors on euthanasia. A working party, set up at the request of delegates at the association's annual meeting earlier this year, is expected to report by next March.

The existing guidelines are acknowledged by the BMA to need clarification. They emphasise the profession's "total abhorrence" of compulsory euthanasia, in which someone's life is terminated either against; his will or without his being able to consent. But voluntary euthanasia does have follow-

Dignity in death, page 26 | the a

4 BUSINESS 1 NEWS 3 REVIEW 2 NEWS IN FOCUS Latest from Britain White House under How lke became Maxwell's plan 59 and the world ... 1-14 siege ... Overlord 45 City 50-64 Plus sport 20-24 The Arts Bazaar .27 Screen Jardine reborn 69 Rugby reports ...21 Profile of Lord Look48-49 Innovation 78 Rothschild ... Tyson: Greatest? .22 Spanish fashion 49 5 PERSONAL Football reports ...23 News Analysis 30-31 The Arts 50-51 Notebook44 FINANCE .. 79-82 Test match24 Books52-53

PETER. GODFRI BRIAN Y MICHAE EGON R JOHN PI IVAN FA

C2

tne

the

ind

and

C3B

hali

for

A

pant

ргоі

Seve

keca

but l

tices

econi