Dear Ms McNally,

Thank you for inviting me to comment on the application by Dr Jane Barton to have her name removed from the register.

Alas, it gives me not the slightest pleasure to again be asked to play a part in the elaborate charade that has taken place to protect this doctor, it seems, at all costs.

How it is possible to be found guilty of professional misconduct on so many counts, involving so many victims, and still be permitted to practice is totally beyond belief, especially when the GMC itself has publically stated she should have been struck off. In addition, the continued suppression of the Baker Report is a clear indication that it contains information that could be damaging, if revealed, even though its content is probably known to the GMC.

It seems obvious to me that greater forces are at play, not least being the part played by her brother as a GMC official.

I am sure you will be aware of the outstanding inquest due on Gladys Richards, another of Barton's victims, and this leads me to <u>suspect a clever ploy to avoid being struck off</u> if that case goes happens to go against her. There would not, of course, be any bar against re-application if it doesn't, and she could then proceed as if nothing had happened.

In my opinion, her application should be unconditionally <u>DEFERRED</u> until after the Richards case as, to be struck off, would be the absolute minimum that should happen to a person who should have been put behind bars 10 year ago.

I do not expect for one moment my representations will be heard, even less acted upon, so I will not waste my effort any further.

My apologies for having to forward my comments in the form of an email, which, in my present circumstances overseas, is the only option available in the timescale.

With kind regards,

Charles Stewart-Farthing