

Summary of Meeting held at Redclyffe Annexe on 11.7.91

A meeting was arranged for the trained staff at Redclyffe Annexe following concern expressed by some staff at the prescribed treatment for 'Terminal Patients'

	Mrs. Evans	
<u>Present:-</u>	Sister Goldsmith	S/N Williams
	Sister Hamblin	S/N Donne
	S/N Giffin	S/N Tubbritt
	S/N Ryder	S/N Barrington
	S/N Barrett	E/N Turnbull

The main area for concern was the use of Diamorphine on patients, all present appeared to accept its use for patients with severe pain, but the majority had some reservations that it was always used appropriately at Redclyffe.

The following concerns were expressed and discussed:-

1. Not all patients given diamorphine have pain.
2. No other forms of analgesia are considered, and the 'sliding scale' for analgesia is never used.
3. The drug regime is used indiscriminately, each patients individual needs are not considered, that oral and rectal treatment is never considered.
4. That patients deaths are sometimes hastened unnecessarily.
5. The use of the syringe driver on commencing diamorphine prohibits trained staff from adjusting dose to suit patients needs.
6. That too high a degree of unresponsiveness from the patients was sought at times.
7. That sedative drugs such as Thioridazine would sometimes be more appropriate.
8. That diamorphine was prescribed prior to such procedures such as catheterization* - where dizepam would be just as effective. (*is easier!*)
9. That not all staffs views were considered before a decision was made to start patients on diamorphine - it was suggested that weekly 'case conference' sessions could be held to decide on patients complete care.
10. That other similar units did not use diamorphine as extensively.

Mrs. Evans acknowledged the staffs concern on this very emotive subject. She felt the staff had only the patients best interest at heart, but pointed out it was medical practice they were questioning that was not in her power to control. However, she felt that both Dr. Logan and Dr. Barton would consider staffs views so long as they were based on proven facts rather than unqualified statements. Mrs. Evans also pointed out that she was not an expert in this field and was not therefore qualified to condemn nor condone their statements, she did, however, ask them to consider the following in answer to statements made.

/...