

carl

From: [Code A]
To: <c.jewel@[Code A]>
Sent: 03 February 2011 00:32
Subject: Fwd: Dr. Jane Barton

Dear Carl,

Yes, I have just been made aware of this, thank you. I am currently in Malaysia which, thankfully, Gillian Mackenzie told them about, so they have emailed the letter direct.

Indeed, Gillian has reacted very quickly, and for private info to you only I have forwarded her response (below) to the GMC as it does include some interesting factual background that I wasn't aware of.

It is important to remember that Gillian's inquest was withheld (at least one specialist said in black and white that her mother had been killed, I saw it) at the time the others were done, and is due quite soon I hear.

This, therefore, can only be a cunning ploy by Barton to avoid the ignomy of being struck off if things go against her, with nothing to prevent her reapplying for registration later if she gets away with it (again).

What a horrible fudge of a world we live in.

Like you, I dare say, I will produce something rather cynical and to the point.

VBW

Charles

-----Original Message-----

From: Gillian Mackenzie [Code A]
To: [Code A]
Sent: Wed, 2 Feb 2011 15:58
Subject: FW: Dr. Jane Barton

And I am feeling very restrained !GMM

From: Gillian Mackenzie [Code A]
Sent: 02 February 2011 15:57
To: [Code A]
Subject: Dr. Jane Barton

Dear Mrs. McNally.

CONFIDENTIAL

I acknowledge receipt of your letter dated 31 January regarding the application from Dr. Barton to have her name removed from the GMC register voluntarily. I will try to make my comments as restrained as possible – but it will be difficult !

This is exactly the same ploy made by D. Barton when being interviewed in 2001/2002 in the presence of Dr. Lord by the GMC in connection with sanctions being lifted which had been imposed during the investigation of the Richards case 1998-2001. The comments made then by Barton was that she was quite happy to carry on with sanctions in a "voluntary" capacity. Why? she was unaware then that there would be further investigation or did she suspect it. The opinion then was that she was "earning brownie points" as indeed she was when the "feeble" defence was she had a clean bill of health for the past ten years. As she had been under suspicion since 1998 she was not likely to blot her copy book having gone through the complaints procedure raised before my mothers death and an uncomfortable police interview. She immediately put in her resignation claiming shortage of staff etc and left Gosport in July 2000. She is using the same ploy to earn "brownie points" now that the inquest on the Richards case will go ahead this year. I hope there will be a representative from the GMC present as a considerable amount of evidence will be involved which has not been in the public domain before. I understand that Dr. Bulstrode did not join the GMC Council until 2003 when it was known there would be further investigations.

In addition the same ploy was used when she resigned from the Forton Rd. Surgery two days before the case was being referred to the High Court.

03/02/2011

I find the GMC consideration now to be farcical considering Mr. Dickson's comments after the hearing that that in his opinion Dr. Barton should have been struck off. I am not surprised that Dr. Barton is now clutching at straws.

I hope you will pass this email to your panel. It appears that your "Guidance etc. " is totally inadequate in particular "where an investigation is being considered or a case is being referred to an FTP Panel" A total shambles has already been made with procedures. How much foundation was there to the cancer specialist's opinion when he did not realise it seemed that the patients did not have cancer and my mother was mobile, weight bearing and on 2 tablets of co-codomol on admission and given oramorph within half an hour. When a complaint was made haloperidol was administered which made my mother so sedated she fell out of chair and dislocated the new hip which had healed- then was not X rayed until the next day although Barton was on the ward at the time of the fall. I know the specialist concerned as at one time I had a cancer support group and of course I was fully aware of his medical opinion in the Lockerbie case. How much credence can be given to that ?

Finally (you will be glad to hear) I consider that not only Barton should have been struck off but she should be behind bars by now – I am still hopeful that my inquest may yet lead to that.

Yours very sincerely ,Gillian M Mackenzie