ACTION PLAN SUMMARY DOCUMENT

Root cause	address root cause	Level of recommendation Individual (I) Team (T) Directorate (D) Organisation(O)	By whom	By When	Resource Requirements	Evidence of compliance	Sign off
Clinical Pharmacy monitoring	work out a process used	I	TS/SC	As required	£2/3000 per annum	Cover is provided	RS
not available due to annual leave	for obtaining agency pharmacists RS to chase appointment of technician		<	507	185		
Complacency relating professional accountability Training related to professional accountability, knowledge of legal prescription writing, issues with opiates administration and ways to prevent future mistakes.	T	TS/SC	3 framing dates have been arranged in fune		s of nee at s	BC	
	administration and ways to prevent future						
Team identity and leadership	Team building event	Т	TS/A D	December 2004	£1000	Team roles and accountability more robust	T
GPs are unfamiliar in the writing of a drug chart	Presentation from SC on things to consider in the writing of legal prescriptions.		SC/JP	Autumn 2004	None	Attendance at session	

ACTION PLAN SUMMARY DOCUMENT

Root cause	address root cause	Level of recommendation Individual (I) Team (T) Directorate (D) Organisation(O)	By whom	By When	Resource Requirements	Evidence of compliance	Sign off
Clinical Pharmacy monitoring not available due to annual leave	TS and SC to work out a process used for obtaining agency pharmacists RS to chase appointment	I	TS/SC	As required	£2/3000 per annum	Cover is provided	RS
	of technician						
Complacency relating professional accountability	Training related to professional accountability, knowledge of legal prescription writing, issues with opiates administration and ways to prevent future mistakes.	T	TS/SC	3 training dates have been arranged in June	None	Records of attendance at training sessions Drug chart monitoring	BC
Team identity and leadership	Team building event	T	TS/A D	December 2004	£1000	Team roles and accountability more robust	TS
GPs are unfamiliar in the writing of a drug chart	Presentation from SC on things to consider in the writing of legal prescriptions.		SC/JP	Autumn 2004	None	Attendance at session	

٠. .

Patient Factors	Individual Factors	Task Fac	etors	Communication Factor	rs Team and Social factors	
 Elderly, frail, uncertain 2 days post op. Recently transferred from QAH In pain – needing analgesia ? able to take medicines as a tablet ? mental state/capacity ?was patient well known to GP 	external distractions Nurses: (6 involved) Over reliance on pharmacist/GP for safe prescribing. Complacency re. accountability. Pharmacist: recently returned from leave, discovered error	policy Is it und Paracetamol: An every medicate Therape 'reason knowled Medicines Administration: Is metho Is the pre Who is rensuring is taken? Prescription Cha Was it le Was the continua	r with this erstandable? yday ion utic dose is able' common lge d appropriate? ocess safe? esponsible for the medicine rt: egible? re a tion sheet? exceptions	How is the handove conducted? How much detail is on? How are exceptions communicated Prescription Chart: 2 medicines contain paracetamol is a copain management r Is the prescription of What determined the prescribed dose was taken? Patient: How well did she communicate/under the taking of medicines. Blood result: What were the paracetamol levels. Was there adequate management?	Do the Nurses consider themselves a team? There was no cover for the pharmacist whilst she was on leave. GP Beds: There is no formal ward round There is no one clinical lead. There is no resident doctor. There is increased nursing responsibility. There are 6 elderly medicine beds with different medical support	Problems to be explored 1. Use of complex drug regime 2. Risks associated with regime not noted. 3. Culture of acceptance/non questioning 4. Leadership on a GP ward 5. Interface between
Education and Training Fac	ctors Equipment and	Resources	Workin	g Condition Factors	Organisational and strategic Factors	processes in
P use IT software to write pres n their surgeries — on Sultan the he prescription on a chart. Professional accountability is we when patient unake tablets is uncertain.	eak.	:	Interruptions or round. Interruptions or consultation.	during the Drug/Medicines during the Doctors linical Pharmacist on A/L	Is there a Clinical Governance structure across Primary and provider services? Is there 'organisational learning' between provider services and primary care? How is information particularly relating to policies and procedures cascaded within the PCT? Are there common standards of practice within the PCT?	primary care and provider services.

Care Delivery Problem

- Failure to delete previous prescription
- Nurses administered excessive paracetamol containing drugs
- The incorrect dose was repeatedly given on 20 occasions
- This is a complicated/risky prescription regime
- 6 nurses were involved paracetamol administration is a basic protocol What is their core knowledge?

 How are exceptions highlighted?
- Was this an adoption of the prescription previously determined by staff at PHT?
- Is there a 'blind' belief in the Dr's accountability?
- Is this pure 'human' error?
- Is there an over dependence on the pharmacist?
- Do all qualified professionals accept autonomous professional accountability?
- There is a need for more information regarding the liver function/blood test

Was the paracetamol at therapeutic levels?
Was there an interaction with other medications?
Was the patient receiving enough pain control?

Service Delivery Problem

- Does the culture support a reliance on the Doctor's ability to write a correct script?
- How aware are primary care and provider services of the GWMH 'sensitivity'?
- Perpetuated administration suggests a complacent, unquestioning culture.
- Is there a disengagement with organisational events/learning?
- Does an historic hierarchical structure persist to absolve professional accountability?
- Has an over emphasis of senior organisational support and encouragement post CHI, encouraged a 'we'll be rescued' model of service delivery?

BARRIER ANALYSIS

Type of barrier to be analysed: Human Action

This barrier analysis is applied retrospectively to the incident that was reviewed on April $26^{\rm th}$ 2004.

TARGET	HAZARD	BARRIERS
Safe administration of drugs containing paracetamol	• Overdosing	Current: Chart monitored by pharmacist
рат асстания	 Prescribing more than one tablet containing paracetamol in a complex pain management regime 	Chart monitored by pharmacist
,	• Patient compliance	Recorded in the care plan
	Drug chart format	Chart monitored by clinical pharmacist
	 Interruptions whilst writing prescription 	None
	 Interruptions whilst administrating drug round 	None
	• Communication at handover	Handover includes use of nursing notes

PROACTIVE BARRIER ANALYSIS

Activity		Tar			
Hazards	What barriers are in place?	Failsafe attributes Strong (S) Weak (W) Medium(M)	Improve by	Cost Implications	Who's responsibility
Over dosing Prescribing more than one tablet	Chart monitored by clinical pharmacist	M	Cover for annual leave of clinical pharmacist.	£2/3000.00	RS
containing paracetamol in a complex pain management regime			Appointment of technician		RS/JW
Drug chart format			System to note when there is an area of risk		TS/SC
Interruptions whilst administering drugs	None	W	Review skill mix at high GP attendance	ТВА	TS and Clinical Manager
Interruptions whilst writing prescription	None	W	times to ensure qualified nursing support to GPs		
Communication at handover	Use of Nursing care plan	M	Consider also using medical notes and drug chart	None	TS and Clinical Manager
Patient Compliance	Recorded in Drug chart	M	Should be included in handover and nursing care plan	None	TS and Clinical Manager
·	What additional barriers are required?				
	None identified				