APPENDIX C



GOSPORT WAR MEMORIAL HOSPITAL INVESTIGATION - EVALUATION

Target Group: Investigation Team Member

Collated Results

5 Responses Received out of 5

1.	Strongly	Agree	Neither	Disagree	
During the whole	Agree		Agree		Disagree
investigation,			nor		
the team	5		Disagree		
functioned well					
as a team					

2.	Strongly	Agree	Neither	Disagree	Strongly
The briefing	Agree		Agree		Disagree
before the visit			nor		
prepared us well			Disagree		
for the actual					
visit itself					
	2	3			

3.	Strongly	Agree	Neither	Disagree	Strongly
The skills and	Agree		Agree		Disagree
knowledge of the			nor		
investigation			Disagree		
team were					
appropriate for					
this particular					
investigation					
	2	3			

4.	Strongly	Agree	Neither	Disagree	Strongly
The	Agree		Agree		Disagree
investigation			nor		
team members			Disagree		
were an					
inappropriate					
choice for this					
particular					
investigation					
				2	3

5.	Strongly	Agree	Neither	Disagree	Strongly
In my view, as a	Agree		Agree		Disagree
team, we were			nor		
not well			Disagree		

prepared for the investigation			
Investigation		1	4

- 6. Do you wish to make any comments to explain any answers that you have given to questions 1 5 above?
- Praise for Team Leader's approach
- Lots of solid team interaction during the site week, helping with cohesion of team
- Felt well prepared for investigation and appreciated the advance reading material. Prepared as well as could bearing in mind 'unknown' quantity

•	Collecti	ve range	of	experience	to	deal	with	complexity	and
	need for	diploma	СУ						

7.	Strongly	Agree	Neither	Disagree	Strongly
The 5 day visit	Agree		Agree		Disagree
to the Trust			nor		
gave us enough			Disagree		
time to get a					
realistic view					
of the areas we					
were					
investigating					
	1	3		1	

8.	Strongly	Agree	Neither	Disagree	Strongly
In my view there	Agree		Agree		Disagree
was a lack of			nor		
cohesion in the			Disagree		
investigation					
team					
				3	2

9.	Strongly	Agree	Neither	Disagree	Strongly
In general, the	Agree		Agree		Disagree
methodology and			nor		
approach for the			Disagree		
investigation					
was appropriate					
		4	1		

10.	Strongly	Agree	Neither	Disagree	
The actual visit	Agree		Agree		Disagree
was a strong			nor		
reflection of			Disagree		
what I thought					
it would be like					
	1	2	2		

11.	Strongly	Agree	Neither	Disagree	Strongly
On the whole,	Agree		Agree		Disagree
during the			nor		
investigation we			Disagree		
were well					
received by the					
Trust staff					
	2	3			

12. Do you wish to make any comments to explain any answers that you have given to questions 7 - 11 above?

- (11) Staff appeared anxious and some were visibly distressed, but as welcoming as could be expected under the circumstances
- Impossible to predict what being an Investigator would feel like. Could empathise with feeling of 'lost sleep' that interviewees experienced
- (9) Responsible for assessing quality of care made difficult as not able to engage in conversation with patients re. their care. Therefore assessments based purely on observations and staff interviews

13.	Strongly	Agree	Neither	Disagree	Strongly
The report does	Agree		Agree		Disagree
not adequately			nor		
reflect the			Disagree		
actual					
investigation					
itself					
				2	3

14.	Strongly	Agree	Neither	Disagree	Strongly
Some important	Agree		Agree		Disagree
information			nor		
gathered during			Disagree		
the					
investigation					
was not included					
in the report					
				3	2

15. The team agreed on the key aspects of the	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
report					
	2	3			

16.	Strongly	Agree	Neither	Disagree	Strongly
The report made	Agree		Agree		Disagree
it difficult for			nor		
the Trust to			Disagree		
develop an					
action plan					
			1	3	1

17.	Strongly	Agree	Neither	Disagree	Strongly
My overall	Agree		Agree		Disagree
experience of			nor		
being involved			Disagree		
in this					
investigation					
was positive					
	4	1			

•	Lay team member impressed by Julie's considerable efforts to make all tem members feel equal
•	(16) Possible that tenor of press release might generate negative local reaction in turn impeding local progress
•	(17) Nature of investigation subject obviously distressing, but overall experience positive in terms of bringing professional expertise to team and evaluating where system had broken down and how improvements could be made to prevent any recurrences in Gosport or the NHS in general

- 19. What, if anything have you learnt from this experience?
- The need to develop skills for absorbing vast amounts of info/data pre- and during visit, as quickly as possible

• (Opportunity to develop further interviewing skills
	The need to analyse and feed back information to the team members as succinctly as possible
•]	Reinforces virtue of humility
• ;	Scale of project and exhaustive amount of work involved
•]	Problems Trust's can get into by ignoring warning signs
20.	What suggestions do you have for changing the way in which the investigation is conducted?

	involved in complaints, making it easier to see more clearly the differences between the Trusts' actions at the time of the incidents and actions taken now
	Useful if Trust executives had access to Police reports long before they did
•	During visit, investigation team had info from police and expert witnesses that the Trust did not. This does not assist in promoting openness and honesty
	More time needed, but understand that no matter how much time is allocated, it might never feel like enough

21. Any other comments
Likes the questionnaire structure
Thanks for opportunity to participate

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire.