## Special Crime and Counter Terrorism Division



The CPS incorporates RCPO

Private and Confidential

Mr C Stewart-Farthing

Code A

16th October 2013

Dear Mr Stewart-Farthing,

Thank you for your email dated 18<sup>th</sup> September 2013 relating to the tragic death of your step-father at the Gosport War Memorial Hospital in 1998.

You have requested that we reconsider the allegations against Ms Barton. In 2010, the CPS undertook a detailed review of the issues and I attach a copy of the letter that you were sent as a result of that review. I do not consider it necessary to revisit that review as it considered many of the issues that you raise including the GMC proceedings and its findings. However, I fully appreciate your concerns regarding the Baker Report and have considered this independently.

Having reviewed the available material, I have been unable to find any indication that the CPS considered the detailed content and conclusions of the Baker Report during their review in 2010. Although, the police appear to have used the Baker Report with other information to identify potential victims there is no evidence that the CPS had access to the detail of the report or relied on its conclusions when making decisions about the cases.

Therefore, I have carefully considered the content of the full report, which is described as an independent clinical audit, to decide if the content contributes any new evidence which could alter or affect the CPS conclusions in 2010.

Having reviewed the Baker Report I note that:

Tel: 020 3357 1137

- The report is based on historic statistical and documentary records only and contains no evidence about the treatment of specific patients and
- The author makes very clear that the intention of the report was not to investigate individual
  allegations which was the role of the police, the report instead highlights questions and areas for
  further investigation and practical improvement in the NHS and
- The judgements are general not specific to individual deceased so would not easily be admitted to a criminal court as relevant evidence and
- There are some acknowledged limitations in the audit due to incomplete data sources and, in some instances sample size which can lead to distortion from an unidentified variable.



I have concluded that the Baker Report provides contextual information and was of assistance in identifying investigation priorities and potential victims, but that it does not have sufficient evidential certainty for conclusions to be drawn based on its findings. Indeed, its conclusions reflect this concern in that they are that, "the finding of a statistical excess of deaths among patients admitted to Gosport is unlikely" and that "there were no clear clusters of deaths"

It is my view that due to the purely statistical nature of the Baker report, it would not have had an impact on the CPS decision taken in 2010 which was the result of a very substantial review of the case. As the Baker Report does not provide any further evidence there is no justification to revisit the decision made in 2010.

Crime Division in London, Sally Walsh on	Code A	pr on (	Code A	
Yours Sincerely			M .	
Hilary Reeve				
Specialist Prosecutor Special Crime			1	
Crown Prosecution Service				