

**ONeill Kim**

---

**From:** Davies Gillian  
**Sent:** 22 September 2010 10:34  
**To:** O'Neill Kim  
**Subject:** FW: Re Gosport-Dr Jane Barton

Kim

In order for Nigel to decide how to respond, I think he will need to see the letter intimating that JR is on the cards and also their letter requesting disclosure, if it is not one and the same. Where can I find this?

After that, Nigel may want to consider a response under the pre-action protocol to avoid litigation if possible. Further to our brief discussion yesterday we may, at that point, need to review quite a lot of the files and possible even have a con with you and Paul. Just to put you on notice.

Gilly Davies

**Code A**

---

**From:** Close Paul  
**Sent:** 16 September 2010 16:59  
**To:** O'Neill Kim  
**Cc:** Deal Angela; Clements Simon; Gazley Christine  
**Subject:** FW: Re Gosport-Dr Jane Barton

FYI as before.

---

**From:** Close Paul  
**Sent:** 16 September 2010 16:51  
**To:** 'Grocott, David'  
**Cc:** **Code A**  
**Subject:** FW: Re Gosport-Dr Jane Barton

FYI. Details of my reply to John White.

---

**From:** Close Paul  
**Sent:** 16 September 2010 16:37  
**To:** 'White, John'  
**Subject:** Re Gosport-Dr Jane Barton

Dear Sirs,

Your ref: John C White [516130/000003/JCW/Gosport/HP]

Thank you for your recent correspondence which was received whilst I was away from the office. I note all you say.

As you are proposing to seek judicial review the matter will now be dealt with by another unit within Special Crime Division of CPS HQ. It will be in contact with you shortly regarding arrangements for service.

Yours Faithfully,

Paul Close,

SCD, CPS

27/09/2010