

**White, John**

---

**To:** Charles Farthing  
**Subject:** RE: GMC

Hi!

Very good to hear from you. Thanks for the update.

I am out of the office on Friday so just catching up through out much of today. It may be tomorrow before I can email you back. I hope that will be okay.

Noted re the points below and I will come back to you about these.

Best wishes

Code A

---

**From:** Code A  
**Sent:** 20 June 2009 08:39  
**To:** White, John  
**Subject:** GMC

Dear John,

As you may have seen in the press, I had my session at the GMC the other day and, from my viewpoint, it seemed to go well apart from Jenkins trying to make me appear extreme by bringing up my comments on the coroner in 1998, however true they might have been.

That brings me to wonder about the efficacy of Bradley and, **possibly Tom**. You probably heard about my spat with Tom half way through the inquest when I questioned his loyalties. On reflection though, I do wonder if I had touched a raw nerve and, in fact, they had both been got at one way or another (knowing as I do that Tom was also a part-time coroner)?

I was given a copy of the GMC's charges after my time on the stand. These are very extensive, covering all 12 cases they are looking into, and Barton has admitted many of them already. With more incisive questioning, I do wonder how much of this information should have come out at the inquest, as it would have made the coroner's three questions look inept, which of course they were.

I would like to know where the **therapeutic question came from in particular, and who authorised it in the form it was presented?** Were the drugs justifiable would have made much more sense, and would no doubt have brought a different answer from the jury. I would be very grateful if you could make enquiries about this John as my intuition tells me that there is something seriously wrong here.

Also, where is the **coroner's report?** Surely the written verdicts should be available by now.

Finally, I would appreciate your views on whether it would be possible to somehow **rubbish Bradley's inquest** in light of Barton's admissions. If you haven't already got a copy, I will happily forward the document I was given.

VBW

Code A

h

22/06/2009