

White, John

To: Code A

Subject: RE: Ann Keen

Thanks

Fascinating re the GMC. I thought the evidence given by the nurses at the inquest was "disgraceful". Please do a broad side to the Nursing and Midwifery Council.

No worries re the Ann Keen letter. Look forward to seeing it.

Glad that you are keeping direct track on the GMC hearing like this. In due course we will want all the transcripts.

Glad also you feel reassured a bit about Tom.

Best wishes

Code A

From: Code A

Sent: 24 June 2009 09:24

To: White, John

Subject: Ann Keen

Sorry John, it must be my age (we try again)

I sat in at the GMC yesterday and was absolutley appalled at what I heard. Nurse Barrett was in the hot seat for further questioning after making her initial appearance on Friday (I wasn't there). She was thoroughly mauled, over, epecially by the panel doctor, over Ann's mother (who was the main subject having given Barrett a black-eye in the scuffle). The Dr probed Elsie's drug intake relentlessly, and Barrett was forced to admit she was heavily overdosed and that the drugs probably killed her in direct contradiction to her opening statement that Barton was a marvellous doctor and all these accusations against her were 'rubbish'. She was stopped short of admitting she was complicit in murder by the panel's legal beagle who said she was entitled to refuse to answer if what she said might incriminate her. Needless to say, she was asked two more direct questions on the same theme (cannot remember the words) which she refused to answer. The Dr wound-up by informing Barrett that it was her evidence that had been rubbish in the first place (ie. she was a bare-faced liar when it suited her). She was also forced to admit that Dryad Ward was the ward where people were sent to die, although she herself had never heard the term 'death ward' !!!!! Lots of other things too - a very encouraging day which I hope is heavily reported. I am now inspired to write a stinker to the Nursing & Midwifery Council (which I would hope everybody will do), and make sure you, the press and others are openly copied.

Thank you for your comments about Tom. I sincerely hope you a right, and I will try and keep an open mind.

VBW

Code A

----- Original Message -----

From: White, John

To: Code A

Sent: Wednesday, June 24, 2009 8:27 AM

Subject: RE: Ann Keen

Hi!

Many thanks for the email. The reply from Ann Keen was not attached. I wonder if you could re-send it to me please.

24/06/2009

Many thanks

Best wishes

Code A

From: Code A

Sent: 23 June 2009 16:49

To: White, John

Subject: Ann Keen

Dear John,

In case you haven't seen this, please find attached Ann Keen's reply to Peter Walsh. At least she hasn't ruled out a public enquiry or criminal proceedings.

VBW

Code A

Blake Laphorn is an English law firm regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority whose rules can be accessed via www.sra.org.uk.

This email and any attachments are confidential, legally privileged and protected by copyright. If you are not the intended recipient, dissemination or copying of this email is prohibited. If you have received this in error, please notify the sender by replying by email and then delete the email completely from your system.

Where the content of this email is personal or otherwise unconnected with the firm's or its clients' business, Blake Laphorn accepts no responsibility or liability for such content.

Internet email may be susceptible to data corruption, interception and unauthorised amendment over which we have no control. Whilst sweeping all outgoing email for viruses, we do not accept liability for the presence of any computer viruses in this email or any losses caused as a result of viruses.

A full list of our partners is available at all our offices.

No virus found in this incoming message.

Checked by AVG - www.avg.com

Version: 8.5.374 / Virus Database: 270.12.83/2191 - Release Date: 06/21/09 05:53:00