

Gillian Mackenzie

From: HOLT, Stephen Code A
Sent: 01 October 2012 13:59
To: Code A
Subject: Transcript

Gillian,

See below the transcript.

Many thanks

Steve Holt

Supplementary report on the case of Gladys Richards
 Comments on the report of Professor David Black 2nd March 2011
 RE Ferner 25th September 2012

1. Sections 1 and 2 of Professors Black's report are missing from the copy I have received.

Section 3

2. I agree that Mrs Richards was suffering from a progressive dementing illness.
3. Dr VA Banks had stated 'this is a lady with severe dementia, with, I think, end stage illness...' which is less categorical than the statement attributed to her by Professor Black at Para 3.2.
4. I agree that Mrs Richards was receiving no treatment for pain on discharge from Haslar, and there is no evidence that she suffered from pain at the time she was discharged after the first operation.
5. I agree that there is no record of a clinical examination on admission to Gosport War Memorial Hospital.
6. I agree that there is no explanation given for the administration of oral morphine (Oramorph called Oramorphine by Professor Black) from 11-14th of August 1998, except that I believe that it was clinically reasonable to give pain relief after the fall and consequent further damage to the hip. Mrs Richards was said to be screaming in pain [S. 29]
7. The prescribed dose of hyoscine was in fact 200-800 microgrammes [Professor Black, Para. 3.9]
8. Professor Black agrees that the notes state that Mrs Richards was given 'Oramorph 2.5mg in 5ml [sic] 'I should perhaps have stated explicitly in my report [Para 50] that the concentration of low-dose oramorph solution is 2 milligrammes per millilitre (10mg 5,1) so either she was given 2.5mg in 1.25ml or [more likely], 10mg in 5ml.

Section 4

9. I agree that Mrs Richards suffered from a dementing illness. However, it is often difficult to define the 'terminal stage.' And Dr Banks had not definitively done so. In any event, the 'terminal stage' can last many months.
10. I agree that elderly patients who fall commonly break their hips. I also agree that the operation at Haslar was successful. The discharge note makes clear that Mrs Richards was only mobile in the sense that she was 'walking with the aid of two nurses and a Zimmer frame.' [R.59]
11. I agree that there is no clinical justification for prescribing of both low dose oral morphine and high dose diamorphine on the prescription written by Dr Barton on 11th August 1998.
12. Professor Black characterises the prescribing 'PRN' as 'high suboptimal' and I agree.
13. I agree that prescribing a controlled drug (or at least the prescribing of diamorphine or morphine) without a clinical indication must be considered negligent. However, the absence of a record of the clinical indication does not prove that there was no clinical indication. Mrs. Richards was said to be in pain, and probably was from the time of the lunchtime fall on the 13th August 1998, at least until her dislocated hip had been reduced (restored to its correct position).
14. I disagree that 40 milligrams of diamorphine by subcutaneous infusion was a suitable dose in a woman whose pain was evidently controlled by oral morphine. (1) because there was no indication for injection as

oppose to oral administration; [Paras 87 and 99-100 of my report] (2) because the dose was far too high; [paras 88 and 101 of my report] and (3) because the records indicate that she was already sedated and 'peaceful' within 8 hours of beginning the infusion, and did not subsequently stir for the remaining days of her life.

15. Professor Black does not explicitly state whether the decision to institute palliative care was correct, or why it was taken.

Section 5

16. I agree that the sequence of events is as laid out in paragraph 5.1 of Professor Black's report. He does not, however, consider whether the 'gradual deterioration' that preceded death was hastened in any way by the decision to administer large subcutaneous doses of diamorphine. For the reasons set out in my report, I am clear that, on the balance of probabilities, the administration hastened death.
17. I agree that there were significant failing in the medical care Mrs Richards received at Gosport War Memorial Hospital, although Professor Black and I may differ in detail.
18. I agree that the prescribing was deficient at Gosport War Memorial Hospital, although Professor Black and I may differ in detail.

Declaration

19. I understand that my duty is to help the court on matters within my expertise and that this duty overrides an obligation to those by whom I am instructed or by whom I am paid. I confirm that, in writing this report, I have complied with that duty.
20. I confirm that, insofar as the facts stated in this report are within my own knowledge, I have made clear which they are and I believe them to be true, and that the opinions I have expressed represent my true and complete professional opinion.

Stephen Holt

Senior Casework Officer and Constituency Diary Manager

The Office of Stephen Lloyd, MP
Eastbourne and Willingdon Constituency.

Tel: 01323 733 030

<http://www.stephenlloyd.org.uk>



EASTBOURNE ... THE EARLIEST TOWN IN THE UK!

Please consider the environment before printing

Information in this email is confidential and may be privileged. It is intended for the addressee only. If you have received it in error please notify the sender immediately and delete it from the system. You should not otherwise copy it, retransmit it, nor use or disclose its contents unless explicitly stated. Views in personal emails do not necessarily reflect the position or opinion of Stephen Lloyd MP or the Liberal Democrats.

UK Parliament Disclaimer:

This e-mail is confidential to the intended recipient. If you have received it in error, please notify the sender and delete it from your system. Any unauthorised use, disclosure, or copying is not permitted. This e-mail has been checked for viruses, but no liability is accepted for any damage caused by any virus transmitted by this e-mail.