


ABOUT CHI	NEWS RELEASES	CONTACT CHI	INVESTIGATIONS	NATIONAL STUDIES	CLINICAL GOVERNANCE REVIEWS	COMMISSION MEETINGS	REPORTS
--------------	------------------	----------------	----------------	---------------------	-----------------------------------	------------------------	---------

REPORTS



HOME
SITE INDEX
SEARCH
LINKS
PATIENTS &
THE PUBLIC
EVENTS

◀ Gosport War Memorial Hospital

Gosport War Memorial Hospital: CHI Investigation Report

July 2002

Executive summary

- ▼ Key conclusions
- ▼ Key findings

- ▶ Recommendations

CHI has undertaken this investigation as a result of concerns expressed by the police and others around the care and treatment of frail older people provided by Portsmouth Healthcare NHS Trust at Gosport War Memorial Hospital. This follows police investigations between 1998 and 2001 into the potential unlawful killing of a patient in 1998. As part of their investigations, the police commissioned expert medical opinion, which was made available to CHI, relating to a total of five patient deaths in 1998. In February 2002, the police decided not to proceed with further investigations.

Based on information gathered during their investigations, the police were sufficiently concerned about the care of older people at Gosport War Memorial Hospital to share their concerns with CHI in August 2001. CHI is grateful to the Hampshire Constabulary for sharing information with us which contributed towards the local and national recommendations CHI makes to improve the care of this vulnerable group of NHS patients.

CHI has conducted a detailed review of the systems in place to ensure good quality patient care. CHI does not have a statutory remit to investigate either the circumstances around any particular death or the conduct of any individual.

Top

Key conclusions

CHI concludes that a number of factors, detailed in the report, contributed to a failure of trust system to ensure good quality patient care:

- there were insufficient local prescribing guidelines in place governing the prescriptive of powerful pain relieving and sedative

- medicines
- the lack of a rigorous, routine review of pharmacy data led to high levels of prescribing on wards caring for older people not being questioned
- the absence of adequate trust wide supervision and appraisal systems meant that poor prescribing practice was not identified
- there was a lack of thorough multidisciplinary total patient assessment to determine care needs on admission

CHI also concludes that the trust now has adequate policies and guidelines in place which are being adhered to governing the prescription and administration of pain relieving medicines to older patients.

Top

Key findings

National and local context (Chapter 3)

- Throughout the timeframe covered by the CHI investigation, CHI received evidence of strong leadership, with a shared set of values at corporate and divisional level in Portsmouth Healthcare NHS Trust. The senior management team was well established and together with the trust board, functioned as a cohesive team.
- There was a lack of clarity amongst all groups of staff and stakeholders about the focus of care for older people and therefore the aim of the care provided. This confusion had been communicated to patients and relatives, which had led to expectations of rehabilitation which had not been fulfilled.

Arrangements for the prescription, administration review and recording of medicines (Chapter 4)

- CHI has serious concerns regarding the quantity, combination, lack of review and anticipatory prescribing of medicines prescribed to older people on Dryad and Daedalus wards in 1998. A protocol existed in 1998 for palliative care prescribing referred to as the "Wessex guidelines", this was inappropriately applied to patients admitted for rehabilitation.
- Though CHI is unable to determine whether these levels of prescribing contributed to the deaths of any patients, it is clear that had adequate checking mechanisms existed in the trust, this level of prescribing would have been questioned.
- CHI welcomes the introduction and

adherence to policies regarding the prescription, administration, review and recording of medicines. Although the palliative care Wessex guidelines refer to non-physical symptoms of pain, the trust's policies do not include methods of non-verbal pain assessment and rely on the patient articulating when they are in pain.

Quality of care and the patient experience (Chapter 5)

- Relatives speaking to CHI had some serious concerns about the care their relatives received on Daedalus and Dryad wards between 1998 and 2001. The instances of concern expressed to CHI were at their highest in 1998. Fewer concerns were expressed regarding the quality of care received on Sultan ward.
- Based on CHI's observation work and review of recent case notes, CHI has no significant concerns regarding the standard of nursing care provided to the patients of Daedalus, Dryad and Sultan ward now.

Staffing arrangements and responsibility for patient care (Chapter 6)

- Portsmouth Healthcare NHS Trust did not have any systems in place to monitor and appraise the performance of clinical assistants. There were no arrangements in place for the adequate supervision of the clinical assistant working on Daedalus and Dryad wards.
- There are now clear accountability and supervisory arrangements in place for trust doctors, nurses and allied health professional staff.

Lessons learnt from complaints (Chapter 7)

- The police investigation, the review of the Health Service Commissioner, the independent review panel and the trust's own pharmacy data did not provide the trigger for the trust to undertake a review of prescribing practices. The trust should have responded earlier to concerns expressed around levels of sedation, which it was aware of in late 1998.
- Portsmouth Healthcare NHS Trust did effect changes in patient care over time as a result of patient complaints, including increased medical staffing levels and improved processes for communication with relatives, though this learning was not consolidated until 2001. CHI saw no evidence to suggest that the impact of these changes had been

robustly monitored and reviewed.

Clinical governance (Chapter 8)

- The trust responded proactively to the clinic governance agenda and had a robust framework in place with strong corporate leadership.

Top

Home	News Releases	Contact CHI	Investigations
About CHI	Clinical Governance Reviews	Commission Meetings	Reports/Action Plans
National Studies	Patients and the public	CHI Events	Links
Jobs at CHI		Site Index	

This page last modified: November
 Copyright 2002: The Commission for Health Improvement
 Commission for Health Improvement Home
 Contact CHI: information@chi.nhs.uk
 Comments or queries about web site: webmaster@chi.nhs.uk